The letters of the British spy. By William wirt.

WILLIAM WIRT.

THE LETTERS OF THE BRITISH SPY.

BY WILLIAM WIRT, ESQ.

TENTH EDITION, REVISED AND CORRECTED.

TO WHICH IS PREFIXED, A BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH OF THE AUTHOR.

by Peter Hoffman Cruse.

NEW-YORK: PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY J. & J. HARPER, NO. 82 CLIFF-STREET, AND SOLD BY THE PRINCIPAL BOOKSELLERS THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES.

1832.

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH OF WILLIAM WIRT.

In reprinting a portion of the literary productions of Mr. Wirt, the publishers have thought that a few particulars might not be unacceptable to the reader, of an individual who has long been familiar to the public in other positions very different from that of the writer or

mere man of letters. They are indebted, in great part, for the opportunity of giving these details, to materials collected by another hand, some time since, and for another purpose. The present occasion may excuse a sketch which other obvious considerations, however, may render somewhat meager. Biography has a delicate office while her subjects are yet living, as she may be accused of flattery on the one hand, and, on the other, may be thought to misplace and mistime the impartial censure which she, no less than History, owes to truth, when, like the Egyptian tribunal, she sits in judgment on the dead. 10 With regard to the subject himself, the mind most conscious of integrity, and the most happy in deserved success, may naturally shrink from that scrupulous analysis which is necessary to a full delineation of it. It is as naturally averse to the relation of many things, trivial in themselves, but characteristic, and which on that account are eagerly sought when the actors are no more, though till then they may fail to excite curiosity or interest in the public. Contemporary actors have their sensibilities also; a consideration which, in tracing the competitions and conflicts through which an individual has wrought his way to honour and influence, may require many sketches to be withheld, much of the colouring softened, and much of what may be called the material action suppressed.

It is not so much the brief memoir designed in the following pages that leads to these suggestions, as the observation how often they are neglected in the license of the press and the rage of anecdote. But even in this hasty sketch, it is evident how many passages of a life somewhat various and busy, and how many incidents collected by his intimates, from an acute observer and lively describer, must thus be excluded, though at the expense of the vivacity of the 11 whole picture. At some future day, and by some happier hand, a more minute delineation might be profitably exhibited of singular merit gradually achieving its own reward; a career the more interesting as descriptive of a course of fortune familiar, though not peculiar indeed, to our happy country, where native talent has a fair field, and where its acquisitions of honour are more unquestionably the fruit of its own intrinsic vigour.

In point of pecuniary circumstances and early education, the subject of our memoir had what may be reckoned middling advantages, considering the aspect of our country in both particulars at that early day. His parents left him some patrimony, small indeed, but which was sufficient to procure him the usual instruction of the grammar-school. He was born at Bladensburg, in Maryland, on the 8th of November, 1772, and was the youngest of six children of Jacob and Henrietta Wirt. His father was a Swiss, his mother a German; the first died when he was yet an infant, the latter when he was but eight years old. An orphan at this tender age, he passed into the family and guardianship of his uncle, Jasper Wirt, who, as well as his wife, was a Swiss by birth, and then resided near the same 12 village, not far, we think, from the Washington road. Mr. Wirt retains very vivid impressions of the character of his aunt, which are worth preserving, both as an amiable picture of a pious and constant temper, and as an evidence of early observation in the relater. He has always spoken of her as having a cast of character worthy of the land of William Tell. She was tall and rather large framed, with a fair complexion, and a face that must have been handsome in youth. Her kindliness of temper seems to have made its usual indelible impression on sensitive and lively childhood, whose little errors often require that tender disposition to excuse, which is sure to be repaid by its warm gratitude. With this allowance for the weakness of others, she seems to have had none of her own, possessing a fine mind, and an uncommon mixture of firmness and sensibility. She was very religious, and a great reader of pious books, of which one, an old folio German Bible or family expositor, in its binding of wood or black leather, with brass clasps, was held in venerable remembrance by the boy, struck, no doubt, by the air and voice of devotion and deep feeling with which she was accustomed to read the consolatory volume aloud. A little incident exhibits a touch of heroism in 13 her not unworthy to be related. A thunderstorm came up one evening unusually violent, and as the lightning became more terrific, the aunt got down her Bible, and began to read aloud. The women were exceedingly frightened, especially when one appalling flash struck a tree in the yard, and drove a large splinter towards them. They flew from their chairs into the darkest corners of the room. The aunt alone remained firm in her seat, at a table in the middle of the floor, and noticed the peal

in no other way than by the increased energy of her voice. This contrast struck the young observer, then not more than six years of age, with so much force, that he describes the scene as fresh before him to the present moment, and as giving him an early impression of the superiour dignity with which firmness and piety invest the character.

Most lively boys remember pretty faithfully the picturesque scenes or incidents of their childhood, the village green, the haunted house, the first advent of the rope-dancer, and those “Circensian games” with which they are as universally captivated as were the Roman People themselves. The personages also that figured in the early scene, are remembered with some general notion of their being venerable or ridiculous, 2 14 good-natured or cross, in the reputation of the neighbourhood, or in the apprehension of the urchin himself. Our future jurisprudent might be thought to be born for a painter or a dramatist, to judge from his oddly minute memory of localities, persons and costume. The village of Bladensburg was at this time the most active and bustling place of trade in Maryland. It stands in the midst of a tobacco country, and was then the great place of export for the state. There was a large “tobacco inspection” there, several rich resident merchants, and some Scotch and other foreign factors, with large capitals. During this its “high and palmy state,” a lot in it was worth the price of three of the best lots in Georgetown, Belhaven, (now Alexandria,) or Baltimore. It is now a decayed, ruinous hamlet, through which the late Attorney-General of the United States has often passed, in his professional journeys, with those natural emotions, no doubt, which such a spot, revisited under such circumstances, might excite in minds of less poetical sensibility than his. But if there is a complacent satisfaction to be envied on earth, it is that which must often have arisen in his mind in retracing this scene of his childhood. At that day the free empire in which he was to be an 15 ornament and a conspicuous actor, had not even an existence; and little did those foresee, who caressed him as an apt, imitative boy, that on hills almost within sight of his humble patrimonial roof, proud domes were to arise in which he was to discharge the functions of the highest legal office of the republic, and sit in council on its most momentous concerns. When a few years afterward it was a question

with his guardian whether to continue his education with the small means devolved from his father, an expression was let fall by his worthy and not undiscerning aunt, involuntarily prophetic. In urging that he should be continued at school, “When I look at that dear child,” said she, “he hardly seems one of us, and I weep when I think of him.” They were doubtless tears of joyful pride, the full measure of which it is as natural and frequent a wish, as it is often a vain one, that the tender guardians of youthful promise might oftener live to feel.

In his seventh year he was sent from home to school; a melancholy era in the memory of most boys. There was a classical school in Georgetown, eight miles from Bladensburg, under the direction of a Mr. Rogers, and the boy was placed to board at the house of a Quaker of 16 the name of Scholfield, who occupied a small log house on Bridge-street. His wife was a kind creature, whose good nature was touched by the grief of the child at his first exile from home, and displayed itself in many characteristic topics of consolation, remembered to this day by a temper naturally sensitive and grateful. Among other little expedients by which the good-natured woman sought to allay the burst of boyish sorrow, she had recourse to the story of Joseph in Egypt. She made him enter into the distresses of the son and his aged father in their separation, and so forget his own; insinuating that, as the separation had brought Joseph to great honours, so his might turn out equally fortunate.

When the boy grew to be a man, he went to see kind Mrs. Scholfield, and a warmer meeting seldom takes place between mother and son. Schools for teaching the classics were rare in those days, and Mr. Rogers's contained quite a small army of boys and young men, of whom Richard Brent, since a member of Congress from Virginia, was one. Our tyro remained at it less than a year, and never had much pleasure in recollecting it, perhaps from some injudicious rigour, which he thought had the effect of breaking his spirit. He was transferred to a classical 17 school in Charles county, Maryland, about forty miles from Bladensburg, and boarded with an old widow lady of the name of Love. The school was kept by one Hatch Dent, in the vestry-house of Newport church. Here, being

a lively boy, he was a great favourite in the family, and seems to have been as happy as a boy can be, separated from the natural objects of his affection, and with nothing to mar his pleasure except going to school and getting tasks in the holydays, the latter of which seems to have been an ingenious contrivance of our forefathers to deform the elysium of vacations by an early hint of the transitoriness of pleasure. In these changes from place to place, he appears to have been fortunate in finding kind friends; a circumstance which, as it arose out of a natural goodness of disposition, accompanied him through life.

Mr. Dent was a most excellent man, very good-tempered, who either found no occasion, or, with the exception of a single application of the ferrule, no inclination, to punish his young pupil, who in two years advanced as far as Cæar's Commentaries, though perhaps without being properly grounded in his author. Here, as at Georgetown, there was quite a crowd of boys, and several young men fully grown. 2* 18 Among the latter was Alexander Campbell, who afterward became well known in Virginia as an orator, and still more for his untimely and melancholy death. This accomplished and unfortunate gentleman, of whose argument in the case of Ray and Garnett, reported in Washington's Reports, Mr. Pendleton, the President of the Court of Appeals, is said to have spoken as the most perfect model of forensic discussion he had ever heard, was then from eighteen to twenty years of age, manly and dignified in his deportment, and of a grave and thoughtful air, occasionally, only, relaxed into a gayer mood, and with that remarkable tremulous eye by which others of his family were also distinguished. He had just gained the prize of eloquence in the school at Georgetown, and his manners perhaps as much as his age procured him from the school-boys at Mr. Dent's, the title of *Mr.* Campbell. He began his career at the bar some years after Chief Justice Marshall and Judge Washington, who must themselves have commenced practice after the Revolutionary War. Edmund Randolph began a little before, or perhaps just at the breaking out of the war, and Patrick Henry about fifteen years earlier. All these celebrated men were still at the bar when 19 Mr. Campbell appeared at it; he was engaged frequently in the same causes with them, and it is a high praise to say that even among them he was a distinguished man.

Mr. Wirt has said of him, “he did not wield the Herculean club of Marshall, nor did his rhetoric exhibit the Gothic magnificence of Henry; but his quiver was furnished with arrows polished to the finest point, that were launched with Apollonian skill and grace.” He was yet at the bar of the superiour courts of Virginia, when Mr. Wirt had grown up and commenced the practice of law in the upper part of that state, and was held to stand in the first rank of genius. The latter adds, “Some of the most beautiful touches of eloquence I have ever heard, were echoes from Campbell which reached us in our mountains.” This promising career was cut short by a lamentable death. He left a whimsical will, in which, among other odd things, was a request that no stone might be laid on his grave, for the reason that, if a stone were placed on every grave, there would be no earth left for tillage.

From Mr. Dent's, the subject of our memoir was removed in his eleventh year, to a very flourishing school kept by the Rev. James Hunt, a Presbyterian clergyman in Montgomery county, 20 Maryland. At this school he remained till it was broken up, that is, till 1787, and here, during a period of four years, he received the principal part of his education, being carried through all the Latin and Greek classics then usually taught in grammar-schools, and instructed in geography and some of the branches of the mathematics, including arithmetic, trigonometry, surveying, and the first six books of Euclid's Elements. During the last two years of the time, he boarded with Mr. Hunt. This gentleman was a graduate of Princeton college, of some learning, fond of conversation and reading, and when engaged in the latter, of evenings, would sometimes read to the boys any interesting passages of the book before him. One of his favourites was Josephus, in which our youth was as much taken with the account of the historian's defence of the fortified town of Jotapata, as Kotzebue tells us he was captivated in like manner by the story of the siege of Jerusalem. Our clergyman, who in his suit of black velvet was quite a stately and graceful person, had a pair of globes and a telescope, with the aid of which, and by conversation, he gave his pupils some smattering of astronomy. Added to these was an electrical machine, with which he took 21 pleasure in making experiments, to the entertainment and instruction not only of his scholars, but of the ladies and gentlemen of the neighbourhood. But the most

important part of his possessions was a good general library, in which our youth, now a lad of twelve or thirteen, first contracted a passion for reading, or fed it rather, it being first kindled by “Guy, Earl of Warwick,” which he obtained from a carpenter in the employ of Mr. Hunt, and further fanned by a fragment of Peregrine Pickle, neither of which famous works, probably, was found in the library of the reverend preceptor. Those which made the nearest approach to them were the British Dramatists, which our reader devoured with insatiable appetite, and, having exhausted them, was driven from necessity on the works of Pope and Addison, and then on Horne's Elements of Criticism. As this reading was wholly a voluntary, and somewhat furtive affair on his part, he drifted along through the library pretty much like the hero of Waverley and the historian of Waverley himself, as chance or caprice directed, mastering nothing perhaps, yet increasing his stock of ideas, and deriving some cultivation of taste from the exercise; a sort of reading much too captivating and absorbing to the youthful 22 mind not to impregnate it with thought, and fit it, at all events, for better directed efforts; as the shedding from our forests prepares a richer soil for the hand of regular cultivation. The discovery that Pope began to compose at twelve years of age, begat in our student the same sort of emulation as the like example in Cowley did in Pope. He reproached himself for his backwardness when he was now already thirteen. The first attempt was a little discouraging. It was in verse, and he was embarrassed as usual by the awkward alternative of sacrificing the rhythm to the thought, or (which is the usual preference in such cases,) the thought to the rhythm. He came to the disappointing conclusion that he was no poet, but indemnified himself by more lucky efforts in prose, one of which falling into the hands of Mr. Hunt, he expressed his favourable surprise, and exhorted the adventurer to persevere, who thus encouraged became a confirmed reader and author.

One of these juvenile essays was engendered by a school incident, and was a piece of revenge, more legitimate than schoolboy invention is apt to inflict when sharpened by wrongs real or imaginary. There was an usher at the school, and this usher, who was more learned and methodical 23 than even-tempered, was one morning delayed

in the customary routine by the absence of his principal scholar, who was young Wirt himself. In his impatience he went often to the door, and espying some boys clinging like a knot of bees to a cherry-tree not far off, he concluded that the expected absentee was of the number, and nursed his wrath accordingly. The truth was, that the servant of a neighbour with whom Wirt was boarded at the time, had gone that morning to mill, and the indispensable breakfast had been delayed by his late return. This apology, however, was urged in vain on the usher, who charged in corroboration the plunder of the cherry-tree; and though this was as stoutly as truly rejoined to be the act of an *English* school hard by, the recitation of master Wirt proceeded under very threatening prognostics of storm. The lesson was in Cicero, and at every hesitation of the reciter, the eloquent volume, brandished by the yet chafing tutor, descended within an inch of his head, without quailing his facetiousness however, for he said archly, “take care, or you'll kill me.” We have heard better timed jests since from the dexterous orator, for the next slip brought a blow in good earnest, which being as forcible as if 24 Logic herself, with her “closed fist,” had dealt it, felled our hero to the ground. “I'll pay you for this, if I live,” said the fallen champion, as he rose from the field. “Pay me, will you?” said the usher, quite furious; “you will never live to do that.” “Yes, I will,” said the boy.

Our youth was an author, be it remembered, and that is not a race to take an injury, much less an affront, calmly. The quill, too, was a fair weapon against an usher, and by way of vent to his indignation at this and other continued outrages, but with no view to what so seriously fell out from it in furtherance of his revenge, he indited some time afterward an ethical essay on Anger. In this, after due exhibition of its unhappy effects, which, it may be, would have enlightened Seneca, though he has himself professed to treat the same subject, he reviewed those relations and functions of life most exposed to the assaults of this Fury. A parent with an undutiful son, said our moralist, must often be very angry; —a master with his servant, an inn-keeper with his guests;—but it is an usher that must the oftenest be vexed by this bad passion, and, right or wrong, find himself in a terrible rage; and so he went on, in a manner very edifying, and very descriptive of 25 the case,

character and manner of the expounder of Cicero. Well pleased with his work, our author found a most admiring reader in an elder boy, who, charmed with the mischief as much as the wit of the occasion, pronounced it a most excellent performance, and very fit for a Saturday morning's declamation. In vain did our wit object strenuously the dangers of this mode of publication. The essay was “got by heart,” and declaimed in the presence of the school and of the usher himself, who, enraged at the satire, demanded the writer, otherwise threatening the declaimer with the rod. His magnanimity was not proof against this, and he betrayed the *incognito* of our author, who happened the same evening to be in his garret when master usher, the obnoxious satire in hand, came into the apartment below to lay his complaint before his principal. Mr. Hunt's house was one of those one-story rustic mansions yet to be seen in Maryland, where the floor of the attic, without the intervention of ceiling, forms the roof of the apartment below, so that the culprit could easily be the hearer, and even the partial spectator, of the inquisition held on his case. “Let us see this offensive libel,” said the preceptor, and awful were the first silent moments of its perusal, 3 26 which were broken, first by a suppressed titter, and finally, to the mighty relief of the listener, by a loud burst of laughter. “Pooh! pooh! Mr.—, this is but the sally of a lively boy, and best say no more about it; besides that, *in foro conscientiœ,* we can hardly find him guilty of the ‘publication.’” This was a victory; and when Mr. Hunt left the room, the conqueror, tempted to sing his “Io triumphe” in some song allusive to the country of the discomfited party, who was a foreigner, was put to flight by the latter's rushing furiously into the attic, and snatching from under his pillow some hickories, the fasces of his office, and inflicting some smart strokes on the flying satirist, who did not stay, like Voltaire, to write a receipt for them. The usher left the school in dudgeon not long afterward, like the worthy in the doggerel rhymes,— “The hero who did 'sist upon't He wouldn't be deputy to Mr. Hunt.”

Many years after, the usher and his scholar met again. Age and poverty had overtaken the poor man, and his former pupil had the opportunity of showing him some kindnesses which were probably not lessened by the recollection of this unpremeditated revenge.

Another little incident that occurred at this 27 school had some effect in shaping the fortunes of the subject of this sketch. Mr. Hunt was in the habit of giving his boys one day in the court week at Montgomery court-house, to go and hear the lawyers plead. There were then some distinguished men at that bar, and among them one who had just commenced practice, the late William H. Dorsey. This was a great treat to the boys, who made their way on foot, early of a morning, to the court-house, about four miles; took their position in some gallery or box, from which they could hear and see all that passed; and looked and listened with all the greedy attention of young rustics at their first visit to a theatre. The struggles of young Dorsey with the veterans opposed to him, found most favour in the eyes of these exoterick disciples of the law. He was fluent, keen, animated and dexterous, and as often the foiler as the foiled. This sport was so delightful to them that they determined to have a court of their own, and Wirt was appointed to draft a constitution and body of laws, which he reported accordingly, with an apologetic letter prefixed. In this court he was a practitioner of eminence. The semi-annual examinations and exhibitions at the school afforded another theatre of competition. On 28 these occasions they delivered speeches and acted plays, and as Mr. Hunt had high notions of oratory, and duly instructed them in tone and gesture, and as there were always large audiences of gentlemen and ladies, the occasion was full of excitement and emulation. Wirt bore off one of the prizes of eloquence at these exhibitions; his speech was a prologue of Farquhar's, adapted to the occasion by Mr. Hunt, and, young as he was, he could not help suspecting that his reverend teacher's partiality for his own work had some share in the award of the preference. There was another exercise at this school, now, we believe, fallen into disuse, at least in America. This was “capping verses,” as it is called,—a sort of game of the memory to which we suspect the orators of St. Stephen's chapel are as much indebted for the quotations from the classics in vogue there, us to any warm poetic sensibility. In this exercise, which is not an unuseful one, the boys became at length so well supplied with the appropriate weapons, that the venerable teacher had to

close it himself, which he was wont to do with Virgil's “Claudite jam rivos, pueri, sat prata biberunt.”

When Mr. Hunt's school was broken up, his pupil was but fifteen, and his little patrimony 29 being insufficient either to support him at college or meet the expense of a professional education he was exposed to the danger of an idle residence in the village of Bladensburg, under no other control than that which his guardian thought proper to exercise, which practically was no control at all. From the dangers of this situation the “constitution” and prefatory letter before mentioned, chanced to be instrumental in delivering him. Among the boys at school when that juvenile trifle was produced, was Ninian Edwards, the late governor of Illinois, the son of Mr. Benjamin Edwards, who resided in Montgomery county, and subsequently represented that district in Congress. On his return home, young EdWards took with him the aforesaid constitution and letter for the amusement of his father; and that gentleman fancied that he saw something of promise in the letter which deserved a better fate than the young author's seemed likely to be. On the evidence of this little essay, for he had never seen him, and learning that he had completed the course of the grammar-school, and had not the means to push his education further; perhaps, too, on the favourable report of his school-fellows, he kindly wrote to invite him to take up his residence in 3* 30 his family, where, he said, he could prepare the writer's son and nephews for college, while he could at the same time continue his studies with the aid of the small library there. The invitation was accepted, and fortunately so, it being Mr. Wirt's conviction, often expressed, that it was to this gentleman's peculiar and happy cast of character that he owed most of what may be praiseworthy in his own. Mr. Edwards's education was limited; but he had that natural vigour of mind which more than atones for its defects. He had found leisure, nevertheless, amidst his occupations as planter and merchant, to acquaint himself with the historians, from whom he had imbibed as lively a veneration for the Catos and Brutuses as Algernon Sydney himself. His own person and presence had much of the heroic character. To these he added a polite and easy manner, which, though a little stately abroad, was sportive and facetious in private. This gentleman,

so well adapted to win the regard of a young man, while his character presented a model very proper to be imitated, was also a natural orator, unaffected, but with all that unction which natural benignity imparts. On some occasion that concerned the interests of his country, he pronounced a maiden speech in 31 the assembly of Maryland, which was so well received by the patriot, Samuel Chase, that he came across the house, and warmly congratulated the speaker. He had a melodious and flexible voice, his enunciation was distinct and clear, and his language astonishingly copious, correct and appropriate. A still better point than these for forming a young mind, was the candour and moderation of his way of thinking. Intellectual arrogance, he often took occasion to say, was the strongest proof of ignorance and imbecility; and though an independent thinker, with bold and original conceptions, he liked to draw out those about him to combat his opinions. One dwells with satisfaction on characters of this cast, of which our revolutionary age, like all other great and stirring crises, was profuse. Indeed, Mr. Edwards added to the properties we have described, the full inspiration of that remarkable period; and having been conversant with its scenes and its actors, felt that warm and high patriotism which the difficulties and the happy issue of the struggle were equally adapted to create.

This kind and judicious man, whose share in forming the character of his young friend, and giving his fortunes a favourable turn, has led us 32 to speak of him more at large, took great pains to draw out the qualities and talents of the youth from the cloud of a natural bashfulness. This timidity was so great that he could scarcely get through a sentence intelligibly; and to correct this bias of temper, his friend endeavoured to raise his estimate of himself, kindly reminding him of his natural advantages, and that, in the common phrase, the game of his fortune was in his own hand. He pointed his attention to many men who had emerged from an obscure condition by force of their own exertions; efforts to which our political institutions were especially propitious, as they threw open the lists of honour to generous emulation. “Mr. Dorsey,” said he, “whom you so much admire, and Mr. Pinkney, whom you have not seen, but who is more worthy still of your admiration, are making their own way to distinction, under as great disadvantages as any you have to

encounter.” These encouragements and assurances were regarded by the youth as kindly overcharging his adviser's real estimate of him, and as a kind of pious fraud, intended for his good; till many years after, when he was chancellor at Williamsburg, in Virginia, he received a long letter from his old friend, reminding him of these predictions, and 33 adding that he considered his career as only begun.

Mr. Wirt's enunciation was at this time of life thick and hasty, and he was alternately counselled and rallied on this defect by his friend, whose discernment and native goodness of heart, seem equally to have engaged him in developing the mind and manners of the young man, and urging him upon a career befitting his natural good parts. As this impeded utterance arose chiefly from the bashfulness which Mr. Edwards, as we have said, took such kind means to counteract, the latter, among other examples of encouragement, used to tell the story of his own *debut* in the Maryland Assembly, when, as he declared, his alarm spread such a mist before his eyes that he spoke, as it were, in the dark, and was surprised to find from Mr. Chase's congratulation, that he had even been talking sense. He at the same time directed our youth's attention to historical studies, which had formed no part of his reading in his miscellaneous and accidental selections from Mr. Hunt's library.

Under the roof of Mr. Edwards, or in his immediate neighbourhood, the subject of our memoir remained about twenty months, in the 34 occupations already described. These increased his familiarity with the Latin and Greek classics, and led him to exercises of his own pen, which often served for the declamations of the boys under his instruction. Thus, at a most critical age, and under circumstances which but for Mr. Edwards, might have plunged him into that idle career which is often the consequence of discouraging prospects, he was engaged in a course of life highly favourable to his mental habits, while in the lessons and example of a valuable friend, he found not less propitious impulses to his morals, and to raising his hopes and views in life. It were ascribing too much sway to mere accident in “shaping our ends,” not to interpose a remark which these anecdotes may have already suggested. Doubtless the merit was not small which could awake so

friendly and tender a concern; and must, under any circumstances, have attracted regard, and found efficient friends. Men seldom achieve more than they deserve; a proposition for the most part denied by those only who in some way have been wanting to themselves.

In this year, 1789, showing some symptoms of what was feared to be consumption, he was advised, by his physician, to pass the winter in 35 a southern climate. He went accordingly on horseback, as far as Augusta, in Georgia, and remained there till the following spring. On his return, he commenced the study of law at Montgomery court-house, with Mr. William P. Hunt, the son of his old preceptor; this he pursued subsequently with Mr. Thomas Swann, now the United States' Attorney for the District of Columbia, on whose application, aided by his good offices, he obtained a license for practice in the autumn of 1792. In the same autumn he removed to Culpepper court-house, in Virginia, and commenced his professional career there, being at the time only twenty years of age.

His health had now become confirmed, and he entered with the advantage of a vigorous constitution, on a profession whose toilsomeness renders that advantage hardly less essential to splendid success, than, in the opinion of the Great Captain of the age, it was to military fortune. He had, from nature, the further recommendation of a good person and carriage, and of a prepossessing appearance. The urbanity which now belongs to him, was then alloyed by some impetuousness of manner. It arose, we believe, chiefly out of his own diffidence, a feeling which often makes the expression turbid, 36 and gives an air of vehemence to what is only hurry. His utterance was still faulty. A friend who knew him a little after this period says, that when heated by argument, his ideas seemed to outstrip his power of expression; his tongue appeared too large; he clipped some of his words sadly; his voice, sweet and musical in conversation, grew loud and harsh, his articulation rapid, indistinct and imperfect. With these advantages and defects, such as they were, he was to begin the competitions of the bar in a part of the country where he was quite unknown, and where much talent had preoccupied the ground with experience on its side, and acquaintance with the people and their affairs. There is no part of the world where, more than in Virginia, these embarrassments would be lessened to a new adventurer; as

there is nowhere a more courteous race of gentlemen, accessible to the prepossessions which merit excites. There was however another embarrasment; our lawyer had no cause; but he encountered here a young friend much in the same circumstances, but who had a single case, which he proposed to share with Wirt as the means of making a joint *debut;* and with this small stock in trade, they went to attend the first county-court.

37

Their case was one of joint assault and battery, with joint judgment against three, of whom two had been released subsequently to the judgment, and the third, who had been taken in execution, and imprisoned, claimed the benefit of that release as enuring to himself. Under these circumstances, the matter of discharge having happened since the judgment, the old remedy was by the writ of *audita querela.* But Mr. Wirt and his associate had learned from their Blackstone that the indulgence of courts in modern times, in granting summary relief, in such cases, by motion, had, in a great measure, superseded the use of the old writ; and accordingly presented their case in the form of a motion.

The motion was opened by Wirt's friend, with all the alarm of a first essay. The bench was then, in Virginia county-courts, composed of the ordinary justices of the peace; and the elder members of the bar, by a usage the more necessary from the constitution of the tribunal, frequently interposed as *amici curiœ,* or informers of the conscience of the court. It appears that on the case being opened, some of these customary advisers denied that a release one after judgment released the other, and they denied also 4 38 the propriety of the form of proceeding. The ire of our beginner was kindled by this reception of his friend, and by this voluntary interference with their motion; and, when he came to reply, he forgot the natural alarms of the occasion, and maintained his point with recollection and firmness. This awaked the generosity of an elder member of the bar, a person of consideration in the neighbourhood, and a good lawyer. He stepped in as an auxiliary, remarking that he also was *amicus curiœ,* and perhaps as much entitled to act as such, as others; in which capacity he would state his conviction of the propriety of the motion, and that the court was not at liberty to disregard it; adding that its having come from a new quarter gave it but

a stronger claim on the candour and urbanity of a Virginian bar. The two friends carried their point in triumph, and the worthy ally told his brethren, in his plain phrase, that they had best make fair weather with one who promised to be “a thorn in their side.” The advice was, we dare say, unnecessary. The bar of that county wanted neither talent nor courtesy; and the champion having vindicated his pretensions to enter the lists, was thenceforward engaged in many a courteous “passage at arms.”

39

The auxiliary mentioned in the above anecdote was the late General John Miner, of Fredericksburg, of whom Mr. Wirt, in subsequent years, often spoke with strong gratitude and esteem. “There was never,” he says, “a more finished and engaging gentleman, nor one of a more warm, honest, and affectionate heart. He was as brave a man, and as true a patriot, as ever lived. He was a most excellent lawyer too, with a most persuasive flow of eloquence, simple natural, graceful, and most affecting wherever there was room for pathos; and his pathos was not artificial rhetoric; it was of that true sort which flows from a feeling heart, and a noble mind. He was my firm and constant friend from that day through a long life; and took occasion, several times in after years, to remind me of his prophecy, and to insist on my obligation to sustain his ‘prophetic reputation.’ He left a large and most respectable family, and lives embalmed in the hearts of all who knew him.”

In a year or two he extended his practice to the neighbouring county of Albemarle, where, in the spring of 1795, he married Mildred, the eldest daughter of Doctor George Gilmer, and took up his residence at Pen Park, the seat of 40 that gentleman, near Charlottesville. The family with which he formed this connexion, was in the first rank of society, a condition which it adorned with substantial excellence, with the graces that give elegance to life, and with a full share of Virginian hospitality. His father-in-law was among the most eminent physicians of the day, but not more distinguished for professional skill than for his classical learning and his eloquence; and he is well remembered in Virginia for a flow of pure, natural wit; to which he added the higher charm of warm benevolence. Of these qualities his daughter inherited a large portion, and was a woman of rare endowments both of

mind and heart. The removal of Mr. Wirt brought him into a very agreeable and desirable neighbourhood, and introduced him to the acquaintance of many persons of much worth, some of them of high celebrity, among whom it is sufficient to mention Mr. Monroe and Mr. Jefferson, whose cordial friendship he gained and held without abatement to the end of their lives. Dr. Gilmer was the intimate friend and constant associate of both these gentlemen, as well as of Mr. Madison, who lived in the next county, and was in the habit of visiting Monticello and its neighbourhood; and 41 he thus brought his son-in-law into an intercourse with these eminent men. Mr. Wirt's serious associations in life have been of this uniform stamp. “Doctores sapientiæ secutus est, qui sola bona quæ honesta, mala tantum quæ turpia.” It was here, in the latter part of 1796, that the gentleman to whose sketch we have mentioned ourselves to be indebted, first saw and made acquaintance with him. He had never, he says, met with any man so highly engaging and prepossessing. His figure was strikingly elegant and commanding, with a face of the first order of masculine beauty, animated, and expressing high intellect. His manners took the tone of his heart; they were frank, open and cordial; and his conversation, to which his reading and early pursuits had given a classic tinge, was very polished, gay and witty. Altogether, his friend adds, he was a most fascinating companion, and to those of his own age irresistibly and universally winning. This was a dangerous eminence to one of his social turn and mercurial temperament, as the young and gay sought his company with eagerness. The intellectual bias, however, was that which prevailed, and filled his hours of retirement with befitting studies. He read and wrote constantly 4* 42 and habitually, earnestly employing the periods thus “dedicate to closeness and the bettering of his mind,” in studying the fathers of English literature, Bacon, Boyle, Locke, Hooker and others, with whose works the excellent library of Dr. Gilmer abounded. In this course of study and social enjoyment interchanged, his mind improved by habitual intercourse with men who were already the personages of history, he continued to reside at Pen Park, practising professionally in the surrounding counties.

His business was rapidly increasing, and he was already considered as well one of the best lawyers in the circle of his practice, as destined to greater eminence, when, in September, 1799, he lost his wife, to whom he was tenderly attached, and with whom he had lived most happily. Their union was not blessed with children. This event fell heavily on his spirits, and broke in, for a time, on his professional occupations and aims; and with a view, we believe, to diverting his chagrin by change of scene, his friends urged him to allow himself to be nominated in the next election of Clerk of the House of Delegates. This was pressed also by several members of influence in the House. He consented, and was elected. The duties of this 43 office occupied only a few of the winter months. A respectable salary was attached to it, and it had been held by several persons of character and celebrity,—by John Randolph, by his son Edmund, and by Wythe, the venerable Chancellor of Virginia. It brought him into familiar intercourse with another circle of the active and vigorous minds of the state, among them many choice, gay spirits, to whom the wit and other fascinations of the new clerk carried their usual allurement. His immediate predecessor, John Stewart, of witty memory, had been displaced from political considerations, the republican party having just gained the ascendency. It was a period of great political excitement in Virginia. The celebrated “Resolutions of 1798” in relation to the Alien and Sedition laws, had been passed in the Assembly the preceding year, and the ensuing session of the legislature was expected with unusual interest by both the parties into which the fundamental constitutional questions that had by that time taken body and shape, had divided not the state only, but the whole Union. The illustrious Patrick Henry, who in this question took side with the general government, had been elected to the House of Delegates, and suitable preparation was made to oppose in that 44 assembly an adversary who, though infirm with age and disease, was still regarded as formidable. Mr. Madison, Mr. Giles, Mr. Taylor of Carolina, and Mr. Nicholas, were arrayed against the veteran, who never came, however, to the conflict. His death, which happened not long before the session of the Assembly, disappointed Mr. Wirt of seeing the subject of

his future biography, and left him to paint the picture from tradition, to which his actual contemplation of the man might have given its most characteristic touches.

He held the post of Clerk, by two succeeding elections, till February, 1802. In the mean time he did not wholly relinquish his practice, and volunteered, in 1800, as counsel for the accused in the trial of Callender, whose prosecution makes such a figure in the domestic political history of the United States. Mr. Wirt, it may be remembered from a popular anecdote, did not escape his share of the judicial asperities which gave such offence to Callender's counsel, and afterward made part of the charges in the impeachment of the judge. The latter appears to have appreciated his equableness of temper and manners. During the trial or shortly after it, meeting the father of Mr. Wirt's second wife, he asked after his son-in-law with some marks of 45 regard. “They did not summon *him,* ” he observed, “on my trial; had I known it, I might have summoned him myself; yet it was only to that young man I said any thing exceptionable, or which I have thought of with regret since.” On the fourth of July, 1800, Mr. Wirt was selected by the democratic party at Richmond, to pronounce the anniversary oration. This brief composition, which we have seen, is fervid and rapid, and has so unpremeditated an air, and was pronounced, we have heard, so little like other prepared orations, as to have been thought extemporary.

In 1802 the legislature of Virginia gave him an unexpected proof of its confidence and esteem. It was found necessary at this time to divide the business of the court of Chancery, in which Mr. Wythe then presided, a man of the deepest learning, and the best civilian that ever appeared in that state. Of three chancery districts now created, Mr. Wirt was appointed Chancellor of the eastern, comprehending the Eastern Shore of Virginia, and all the counties below Richmond. This appointment was wholly unexpected to him till the very moment before the election came on in the House of Delegates, and his first notice of it, we believe, was his being requested 46 by his friends to withdraw till the nomination should be made, and the votes taken. Sensible of the gravity of the trust, he went, even after the election, to Mr. Monroe, then governor of Virginia, to express an apprehension of its unsuitableness to either his years or attainments. Mr. Monroe replied

that the legislature, he doubted not, knew very well what it was doing, and that it was not probable he would disappoint either it or the suitors in the court. Mr. Wirt was then but twenty-nine years of age, and his appointment to a court whose jurisdiction involves important interests, and requires weight of character, and integrity, as much as extensive attainments, was an emphatic mark of consideration from men who, from his post of Clerk to the House, had opportunities of knowing him more than usually familiar. The duties of the chancellorship called him to reside at Williamsburg, where he presided in his court with industry and ability, and with equal satisfaction to counsel and parties. In the autumn of the same year he married Elizabeth, a daughter of the late Colonel Gamble, of Richmond; an estimable lady, still living, in the bosom of a large family of sons and daughters.

This marriage led to his resignation of the 47 chancellorship, and his resumption of the practice of law. The salary was inadequate to support a family; but other considerations probably conduced to this step. Emulation is not extinct at thirty, and a more stirring scene of action was perhaps more agreeable to his temperament. In the first instance he designed a removal to Kentucky, and had even made some preparations with that view. But Mr. Tazewell, who then resided at Norfolk, earnestly urged him on the contrary to remove thither, and enforced his advice with many friendly representations and offers. We believe it was chiefly owing to the influence of this gentleman, then already eminent in the profession which he adorns, that Mr. Wirt abandoned his design of going to the west, and went, in the winter of 1803–4, to reside at Norfolk.

Just after his resigning the chancellorship, he was employed, together with Mr. Tazewell and Mr. Semple, afterward Judge Semple, in the defence of a man apprehended and tried on some points of circumstantial evidence so curious, that we are tempted to relate them. A person named St. George, who resided near Williamsburg, was shot dead one night through the window of his own house. No trace appeared of the assassin, 48 nor any circumstances that could indicate his enemy; only some duck-shot appeared in the wall, near the ceiling. While the crowd called out by the scene, stood confounded around the dead body, a bystander, who had been employed by the late Chancellor, a person

remarkable to some degree of oddity for his habits of close and curious investigation, went out of the house, and placing himself in the line of direction that the shot must have taken to the spot where they lodged, endeavoured to ascertain from that circumstance the exact position of the person who discharged the gun. While thus occupied, his eye was caught by a very small piece of paper on the ground betwixt himself and the window, which appeared, on taking up, to have been part of the wadding, and had on it what seemed to be two of the three strokes composing the letter *m.* One of the crowd exclaimed at this moment, “I wonder where Shannon is; has any one seen Shannon?” Shannon was the son-in-law of the deceased, and resided on the opposite shore of the James river; and it was soon ascertained that he had been seen in Williamsburg that day, with a gun on his shoulder. The gun, however, had no cock upon it, and a blacksmith to whom he had gone to have it 49 repaired, stated that Shannon had left workshop with it in this condition. The man was pursued, nevertheless, over the river, and to his own house, to which he was found not to have returned; and was traced at length to a tavern, some thirty miles off, and caught in bed with all his clothes on, sound asleep. He was seized as he lay, and on being searched, some duck shot was found about him, and a letter, with part of it torn off. When this letter was afterward compared with the fragment of the wadding, the two were found to fit, and the letter *m,* before mentioned, to form part of the word *my* in the letter. On these circumstances, strengthened by the fact that the death of his father-in-law would have put Shannon in possession of his wife's fortune, he was brought to trial. A single juryman “stood out,” as the phrase is, for ten days, and the defendant was discharged in consequence of this disagreement among his triers. No other circumstances ever threw light on the truth of this transaction. Some person, struck with Mr. Wirt's defence in the case, and having a remarkable memory, afterward repeated it with little variation.

It was immediately before his removal to Norfolk that Mr. Wirt wrote the letters published in 5 50 the Richmond Argus under the title of “The British Spy,” which form part of the present volume. They were composed in a great degree for diversion of mind, with little care, and with still less expectation of the favourable reception they met at the time, or

of the popularity they retained afterward. They have since been collected into a small volume, of which the present is the tenth edition. The sketches of living characters were received with a good deal of curiosity by the public, and are probably faithful pictures.

At Norfolk he found for competitors the Tazewells, the Taylors, the Neversons and others, men in the first rank of their profession, who at that time adorned its bar. In a commercial place too, whose foreign commerce was then very extensive, the questions most abundant before the courts were those of maritime law, to which in the theatre of his former practice he had been wholly a stranger, but to which he now applied himself with that indefatigable labour of which few men are more capable. There are no more willing witnesses than his opponents, of his learning, and vigorous conduct of his causes, and, consequently, his rapid rise in the public esteem. He continued to practise in Norfolk 51 and in the courts of the surrounding counties till 1806, when he once more changed his residence to Richmond, solicited to it by his family and friends, who conceived that he would find there a wider and more lucrative professional field. In this city he remained till his appointment to the Attorney-Generalship of the United States.

Among the names which then gave remarkable celebrity to the Richmond bar, were those of Edmund Randolph, John Wickham, Daniel Call, George Hay, and George Keith Taylor, not to mention several others who mingled their rays in what was quite a constellation of legal learning and talents. If the competitions of such a theatre required all his resources, they were also of a nature to fashion and strengthen them. The sphere of his business and his reputation enlarged according to the expectation of his friends. He was often called into distant parts of the country both in criminal and great civil causes, and in the course of a various practice of more than ten years, with men of abilities as various, he rose in the general opinion to a level with the first of them. He seems at no point of his career, nor in any of the different scenes to which it was successively transferred, to have encountered the neglects which conspicuous 52 talent has often had to struggle with in its outset. In more than one instance we have seen that the esteem of others anticipated his own modesty. We are little disposed to attribute to accident any permanent success

or popularity, though the reader's recollection may furnish him with one or more striking examples to the contrary. However this may be in political life, or in other branches of affairs, “it is not at the bar, at least,” as Mr. Pinkney used to say, perhaps with some conscious triumph, “that a man can acquire or preserve a false and fraudulent reputation for talents.” Fortune, indeed, as is commonly said, is wont to smile upon such as know how to make a discreet use of her favours.

A fortunate occasion of this sort, for his professional fame, occurred in the year following his removal to Richmond, when the celebrated trial of Aaron Burr took place in that city, on a charge which, deeply moving the interest and passions of the whole nation, made familiar with every person who could read a newspaper, all the parties and actors in the cause. This trial commenced in the winter of 1807, and Mr. Wirt was retained, under the direction of President Jefferson, to aid the Attorney for the United States in the prosecution. We believe it was 53 designed to engage him on the side of the prosecuted; but Mr. Wirt was absent from Richmond at the moment, and no application was made to him.

Few trials in any country ever excited a greater sensation than this. The crime imputed was of the deepest guilt; the accused, a person of the highest eminence both for talents and political station, having but lately occupied the second post, with pretensions to the first, in the country the government of which he was charged with a design to subvert. Conspicuous persons were implicated in the supposed plot; and the party violence which marked the period, mingled itself in the opposite opinions which the transactions themselves might naturally create. Public attention was consequently fixed with eager curiosity on every step of the trial, and the counsel, the bench, and the government, scanned the proceedings with the most inquisitive scrutiny. The overt act of treason being charged to have been committed within the jurisdiction of the circuit court for the District of Virginia, the trial was brought by this circumstance to the city of Richmond, whose bar we have already mentioned to have been adorned by some of the first men of the profession. The defence, which was 5* 54 conducted by some of the most conspicuous of

these, derived additional aid from the legal learning of Luther Martin, who was familiarly called, in his native state, “the law-leger,” and not a little from the legal acumen of the accused himself, whose great talents did not desert him on this occasion. A judge presided at the tribunal, on whose intellectual vigour and moral dignity, time and long trial have conferred a character of grandeur. The court was incessantly thronged with earnest spectators and hearers, both from Virginia and other states, many of them enlightened and conspicuous men. It is evident that this was not a theatre where, in the language of Mr. Pinkney, a spurious reputation could be supported, as, on the other hand, it gave scope to the greatest reach of abilities. It is justly remarked by the reporter, a competent judge, that “perhaps no trial for treason has taken place in any country, in which more ability, learning, ingenuity and eloquence were displayed. All the important decisions on treason in England and this country, were acutely and thoroughly examined, and their application to questions before the court discussed with great ingenuity and skill; nor was less industry or judgment shown in arguing the application and 55 effect of the Constitution of the United States, and of the common law, if it existed at all as a law of the Union.” The encomium of the Chief Justice is as emphatic, and more authoritative. “The question,” says he, (speaking of one of the principal arguments before the court,) “has been argued in a manner worthy of its importance. A degree of eloquence seldom displayed on any occasion, has embellished solidity of argument and depth of research.”

In a cause so vigorously urged and defended Mr. Wirt enhanced and extended into every part of the country, a reputation which is seldom attained at thirty-five. His principal speech, which occupied four hours, was replete throughout with a creative fancy, polished wit, keen repartee, or logical reasoning; it is especially marked by that comprehensiveness of thought which “travels beyond the record,” and brings illustrations, analogies and aid from universal reason and abstract truth. This diffuses a dignity and force over the production which his technical learning, which is abundant and apt, could not have bestowed alone. The diction is chaste, never redundant; and he here displays conspicuously that lucid order which is perhaps the most remarkable quality of his

eloquence; 56 the texture of the whole oration happily showing that in this sense the saying of Seneca is untrue, “Non est ornamentum virile, concinnitas.” One well-known popular passage in this speech has shared the fate of many a classic page, of palling by familiar repetition.

But we might quote several others as very happy examples of oratorical skill; the exordium, in which he repels the charge repeatedly urged, of personality and persecution to the accused; and the passage in which he describes the rhetorical arts employed against him by the opposite counsel, Mr. Wickham. In his argument on the motion to commit Burr and others for trial in Kentucky, a vein of ridicule enlivens and enforces the reasoning into which the picture of the blasted ambition and daring despair of Burr is inwoven with great effect.

We may add, in taking leave of this celebrated cause, that the excitements of the period which gave it so much of its interest with the public, elicited from the counsel themselves something more than the ordinary keenness of forensic debate. Readiness, firmness, and a large portion of that civic courage which is perhaps the most commanding quality of mind, were perpetually struck out in a proceeding in which the 57 whole public erected itself into a tribunal, or rather took sides with all the eagerness of partisans.

In 1808, Mr. Wirt was elected, without any canvass on his part, a member of the Virginia House of Delegates for the city of Richmond. This was the first and last time he ever sat in any legislative body, preferring the more congenial or more necessary pursuits of his profession, from which neither his popularity nor the suggestions of those who thought they saw in politics a more conspicuous theatre of action, prevailed on him to withdraw. He was one of the special committee appointed by the House of Delegates in that session, to whom were referred certain resolutions touching our foreign relations, and the measures of administration which grew out of them at that exceedingly embarrassing and critical period. The report of the committee is from the pen of Mr. Wirt. It reviews energetically and impartially the measures of the two belligerents, the French edicts and the British orders in

council, and comments indignantly on the tone of the British diplomacy towards America, especially on the impertinent and insulting discrimination of Mr. Canning between the people of this country and their government. The 58 report vindicates the measures of Mr. Jefferson's administration in this crisis, and urges the support of them on the nation. In the preceding July he was one of a committee appointed by “the Friends of the Manufacturing Association” of Virginia, to prepare an address to the people of the state. This paper, which was published in the Richmond Enquirer, reviews the above mentioned measures of the belligerents, and deduces from their unhappy operation on our commerce the necessity of fostering domestic manufactures, to which it argues that the capital, resources and mechanical skill of the country were entirely adequate.

In the same year, 1808, he wrote the essays in the Enquirer signed “One of the People,” addressed to the members of Congress who had joined in a protest against the nomination of Mr. Madison to the presidency. In these he pourtrays the character and services of that venerable statesman with a warmth and emphasis which, now that time has mellowed the asperity of the period, and the illustrious sage of the constitution reposes in honoured retirement, one wonders to think should ever have been necessary.

It must be the sentiment of all good natures, in reviewing this and similar periods of political 59 heats—when their eager contentions have lost their edge, and when so many of the acutest and ablest minds find in the opposite opinions so keenly maintained, so much to be modified, explained or reconciled—to retrace their whole career with some humility on their own part, and great indulgence to contemporary actors. Of this feeling we hardly know a stronger and more affecting instance than in the two illustrious sages of Monticello and Quincy; nor one that reads a more salutary and magnanimous lesson to the fierce rivalries of politicians. It cannot be doubted that the same sentiment which, in the meditative period of life, approached to each other, these leaders and idols of two parties so earnest and so angry, must be shared in a large degree by the subordinate actors in the contentious scene. Such, at least, we believe to be the view which all better spirits cast back on this period of our domestic politics, when, indeed, our foreign relations were so

perplexing and provoking as unavoidably to sharpen the bitterness of other dissensions. In reviewing these scenes, the author of the Life of Patrick Henry holds this candid language:

“It is not my function to decide between these parties; nor do I feel myself qualified for such an office. I have lived 60 too near the times, and am conscious of having been too strongly excited by the feelings of the day, to place myself in the chair of the arbiter. It would, indeed, be no difficult task to present, under the engaging air of historic candour, the arguments on one side in an attitude so bold and commanding, and to exhibit those on the other under a form so faint and shadowy, as to beguile the reader into the adoption of my own opinions. But this would be unjust to the opposite party, and a disingenuous abuse of the confidence of the reader. Let us then remit the question to the historian of future ages; who, if the particular memory of the past times shall not be lost in those great events which seem preparing for the nation, will probably decide that, as in most family quarrels, both parties have been somewhat in the wrong.”

In his discourse on the death of Adams and Jefferson, he puts this subject in a still more amiable and interesting point of light. The orator says,—

“There was one solace of the declining years of both these great men, which must not be passed. It is that correspondence which arose between them, after their retirement from public life. That correspondence, it is to be hoped, will be given to the world. If it ever shall, I speak from knowledge when I say, it will be found to be one of the most interesting and affecting that the world has ever seen. That “cold cloud” which had hung for a time over their friendship, passed away with the conflict out of which it had grown, and the attachment of their early life returned in all its force. They had both now bid adieu, a final adieu, to all public employments, and were done with all the agitating passions of life. They were dead to the ambitious world; and this correspondence resembles, more than any thing else, one of those 61 conversations in the Elysium of the ancients, which the shades of the departed great were supposed by them to hold, with regard to the affairs of the world they had left. There are the same playful allusions to the points of difference that

had divided their parties; the same mutual, and light, and unimpassioned raillery on their own past misconceptions and mistakes; the same mutual and just admiration and respect for their many virtues and services to mankind. That correspondence was to them both, one of the most genial employments of their old age; and it reads a lesson of wisdom on the bitterness of party spirit, by which the wise and the good will not fail to profit."

But this candid mood was far from prevailing at the period which we have reached in this biographical sketch. Questions of portentous magnitude agitated the nation, and called forth no less passion than talent. Mr. Jefferson was just about to leave the Presidential chair; under Mr. Madison who was to succeed him, the same policy was to be pursued, and the same strenuous opposition to be anticipated. Under these circumstances, when honest men of both sides naturally looked about for the most capable agents—with the high confidence of his party, and with abilities that might have led him to any political distinctions—Mr. Wirt, however interested in the questions of the times, and with a large knowledge of them derived from his familiarity with the events and actors, declined 6 62 to abandon the path of professional life. Though urged to it by such as could the most competently estimate both the turn of his genius and the value of his services to the public, he seems sedulously to have constrained himself from this bustling field within the calmer region of an intellectual pursuit, undazzled by the prospect of popular honours, though no man feels more the sting of a laudable ambition. Of those who saw in his capacity a broad foundation for fame in this new department of affairs, was his friend Mr. Jefferson, who, about the time of his own retirement, in language equally complimental of Mr. Wirt, and indicative of his profound interest in the crisis approaching under his successor, pointed out to him this career as equally worthy of his ambition and advantageous to the public, and one of which he might expect to bear off the first honours. His expressions denote as large a share of admiration and esteem as the ambition of any man can desire. One of the last acts, indeed, of Mr. Jefferson's life was an offer to Mr. Wirt on the part of the University of Virginia, accompanied by some circumstances that particularly evinced the respect he was held in by himself and the rest of that body.

From this period, therefore, till 1817, Mr. Wirt continued to practise law in Richmond and its vicinity, and we have little to record of the interval except his increasing reputation. During this period he gained several suits of particular celebrity and interest. In 1812 he wrote the series of papers entitled “The Old Bachelor.” They were originally published in the Richmond Enquirer, and have since, in a collected form, passed through several editions. They are now republished, for the fourth time, in the ensuing volumes. It would appear from the second number, that the immediate occasion of them was the review of Ashe's Travels in America, in the thirtieth number of the Edinburgh Review; a well known scandalous libel on American institutions, manners and literature, in a periodical whose flippancy often exceeded even its wit. There were various contributors; but much the larger part of the papers were furnished by Mr. Wirt, and, like those in the Spy, were hastily thrown together in brief hours of relaxation.

The “Life of Patrick Henry,” a work contemplated for some years, but put aside by professional pursuits, and eventually completed amidst the incessant hurry of them, was published 64 in September, 1817. This is the longest, and, judging by its whole effect on the reader, the best of Mr. Wirt's literary productions. Mr. Jefferson's praise of it is the justest, and perhaps the best an author can desire; that “those who take up the book will find they cannot lay it down, and this will be its best criticism.” Though not included in the present publication, we have some observations to make hereafter on this work. It had an extensive circulation, which would have been greater yet but for circumstances having no connexion with its popularity or literary merit. In 1816 he was appointed, by Mr. Madison, the Attorney of the United States for the District of Virginia, and in the autumn of the following year, by Mr. Monroe, Attorney-General of the United States. Both these appointments were unsolicited and unexpected by him. In consequence of the latter, he removed in the winter of 1817–18 to Washington.

At the bar of the Supreme Court he found the highest forensic theatre in the country, and perhaps there never was one in any country that presented a more splendid array of learning and talents conjoined. In the causes, too, which it is the official duty of the Attorney-General to prosecute or defend, the most conspicuous counsel 65 of that bar are commonly combined against him. In how many conflicts he sustained these odds against him, with a vigour always adequate to the occasion, is very well known to those who are familiar with our judicial history. The office of Attorney-General he held twelve years, through the entire administrations of Mr. Monroe and Mr. Adams,—longer by many years than it has ever been held by any other; and in this post, always arduous, his labours seem much to have surpassed those of his predecessors. Scarcely any of them resided at Washington, nor did they act as members of the cabinet. They left no written precedents nor opinions, nor any other trace of their official course, to aid their successors. Mr. Wirt, on the contrary, left behind him three large volumes of official opinions. His practice soon became large in the Supreme Court, and with it his celebrity as a profound jurist no less than an orator of the first rank of his contemporaries. A friend has remarked of him that his diligent labour well deserved this success. “He was always,” he says, “a man of labour; occasionally of most intense and unremitting labour. He was the most *improving* man, also, I ever knew; for I can truly say that I never heard him speak after any length of time, without being surprised 6* 66 and delighted at his improvement both in manner and substance.” This testimony of an old intimate, a man of parts and discernment, is quoted as well for the praise it conveys, as in proof of the unrelaxing toil by which men must gain judicial eminence. Mr. Pinkney was to the end of his days a model of this indefatigable labour, and died, as it were, in the very act of struggle.

At the close of Mr. Adams's administration, Mr. Wirt, having resigned the Attorney-Generalship, removed to Baltimore, where he now resides. He had been previously selected by the citizens of Washington, on the death of Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Adams, to pronounce a discourse on the lives and characters of those two remarkable men; this was delivered on the nineteenth of October, 1826. It contains several passages of a strain

altogether worthy of one of the most impressive occasions that ever happened in any age or country. In 1830 he delivered an address to one of the literary societies at Rutgers' College, and another in the same year, at the celebration in Baltimore of the triumph of liberty in France. These various discourses have been printed, and are in the hands of the public.

67

It remains to add to this sketch of Mr. Wirt's professional career, some notice of him as an orator and a writer, in which latter capacity he is presented in the ensuing publication. This contains, indeed, but his fugitive essays, the effusions rather of haste than leisure. The more strenuous efforts of his mind are to be sought in his forensic arguments, a great portion of which will share the fate of the labours of other great lawyers, and live only in the tradition of his hearers, and the admiring report of the day. Such, it is to be lamented, has been the fate of the greater part of the displays of Mr. Pinkney. The report of Burr's trial is in many hands however, and in the speeches of Mr. Wirt in that case the jurist will applaud more his extensive learning and comprehensive reasoning, than popular readers the more adorned and familiar passages. Others of his arguments, on questions of law or great constitutional principles, may still be preserved, and we hope will be collected. Among his writings not mentioned before, are the essays published in the Richmond Enquirer in 1809, under the signature of “The Sentinel,” which throw light on some of the debated questions of the day. The essays in the following volumes, the interludes of graver business, apart 68 from their intrinsic merit, may have some further curiosity as the recreations of a mind more than usually engrossed by the toils of the most laborious of professions. In a criticism of “The Old Bachelor,” written some years ago by an accomplished scholar and critic, the writer observes, “We look with gratitude and wonder upon a gentleman of the bar, in whom the severest labours, and highest offices, and amplest emoluments, and brightest laurels of his profession, have not stifled the generous ambition of letters; Whose mind has been for a long term of years exposed to the atmosphere of the courts, and the attrition of the

world of business, without losing any of the finer poetical qualities with which it was richly endowed."*

* Review of "The Old Bachelor;" Analectic Magazine, October, 1818.

"The British Spy" obtained, on its first appearance, the most flattering proof of merit, popularity, which, to judge from its nine editions, it has continued to retain. The story of the Blind Preacher was almost as current as those of Le Fevre and La Roche. The sketches of character, a difficult department of good writing, were esteemed so highly descriptive, in the circles 69 where the depicted orators were known, as to be in every hand. This kind of literature was little practised among us when these essays appeared; and if they were the more kindly received on that account, they have not however been succeeded, in a period of nearly thirty years, by any others of equal merit, of the same stamp. "The Old Bachelor" seems, like its predecessor, to have obtained an unexpected popularity. The critic just quoted, says of these essays, "they constitute one of the most successful experiments which have been made in this department of letters since the era of Johnson." The disquisitions on eloquence, "originally," says the author, "a prominent figure in his design," are those, perhaps, which display most vigour, are imbued the deepest with observation and thought, and best show the influence on the author's mind, of his familiar reading of the ancient classics. The reader would be glad to see this topic resumed and expanded by one who may remind him, in some of the better passages, of the graceful composition imputed to Tacitus, "The Dialogue concerning Oratory."

Both these series of essays give a general impression that, had the author devoted himself to letters, he would have reached some of the 70 first excellences of writing. His conceptions are vigorous and plentiful, his sentiments elevated and warm; his fancy, if it sometimes betrays him into hyperbole, is generally delicate and natural, and varies from grave to gay, though not with equal facility in both. He is serious and fervent for the most part; but some of his best papers are those which, in the midst of their earnestness and even warmth, have a dash of good humour that shows he could have played easily

and cheerfully with his subject. An example of this is in the third letter of the Spy, where, exposing the “cold conceit of the Roman division of a speech,” he describes ludicrously the bustle of the modern orator when he reaches the peroration, where by established usage he is expected to be sublime or pathetic. This “hysterical vehemence” is sketched from life, with the felicity of Steele or Addison. The same vein of humorous description appears in the thirty-first and thirty-second numbers of the Old Bachelor, and one of the illustrative anecdotes would shine in a new treatise *peri bathous.* This sort of painting, though in so different a style, might be expected from a hand from which we have the inspired sketch of the Blind Preacher.

71

The mere diction of these essays is for the most part what he himself describes as a good one, “simple, pure and transparent, like the atmosphere, which never answers its purpose so well to make objects seen, as when free from vapours of every kind.” But though this medium is never itself misty or obscure, it is now and then the vehicle of images somewhat meteoric and glaring. His redundancy, however, is not that of words, but of the thought, “vivo gurgite exundans;” nor is it the redundancy of weakness, nor often of wrong taste, but that which is incident to hurried composition. His images, therefore, are frequently natural and elegant. Of the figure of amplification, we had admired the beginning of the twenty-third number of the Old Bachelor as a very happy example, when we found it mentioned in the same light in the criticism already quoted. The moral tone of the writer, and the “amiable fire” with which he paints virtue and inculcates her lessons, merit the most emphatic praise, as being the chief characteristic and aim of all his productions. Indeed this amiable temper meets us at every turn; and to that quality, and not to any mawkish affectation of sentiment, is to be referred much of the warm colouring of some of his 72 descriptions. He looks on the bright side of nature and human life; a turn of mind in a lawyer of two score years of practice, that indicates a large original fund of candour, generosity and good nature. It must be mentioned that some of the best papers of the Old

Bachelor are from other hands; of this number are the twenty-fifth, twenty-ninth and thirty-third, and the letters in the fifteenth and twenty-first.

If we were to select a single passage from Mr. Wirt's writings in which he has most succesfully addressed our moral passions, and called in the beauty and grandeur of external nature to heighten the effect, it would be the description in the discourse upon Adams and Jefferson, of the habitations and domestic habits of these two civic heroes. In that of Monticello, the reader is so skilfully wrought up by the mute majesty of the material images which the orator has been gradually assembling around him, that he sympathetically starts at the announcement of the “time-honoured” habitant of the spot. We do not fear to trespass on the reader by quoting the whole passage.

“Of ‘the chief of the Argonauts,’ as Mr. Jefferson so classically and so happily styled his illustrious friend of the North, it is my misfortune to be able to speak only by report. 73 But every representation concurs, in drawing the same pleasing and affecting picture of the Roman simplicity in which that Father of his Country lived; of the frank, warm, cordial, and elegant reception that he gave to all who approached him; of the interesting kindness with which he disbursed the golden treasures of his experience, and shed around him the rays of his descending sun. His conversation was rich in anecdote and characters of the times that were past; rich in political and moral instruction: full of that best of wisdom, which is learnt from real life, and flowing from his heart with that warm and honest frankness, that fervour of feeling and force of diction, which so strikingly distinguished him in the meridian of his life. Many of us heard that simple and touching account given of a parting scene with him, by one of our eloquent divines: When he rose up from that little couch behind the door, on which he was wont to rest his aged and weary limbs, and with his silver locks hanging on each side of his honest face, stretched forth that pure hand, which was never soiled even by a suspicion, and gave his kind and parting benediction. Such was the blissful and honoured retirement of the sage of Quincy. Happy the life, which, verging upon

a century, had met with but one serious political disappointment! and for that, too, he had lived to receive a golden atonement. ‘Even there where he had garnered up his heart.’

“Let us now turn for a moment to the patriot of the South. The Roman moralist, in that great work which he has left for the government of man in all the offices of life, has descended even to prescribe the kind of habitation in which an honoured and distinguished man should dwell. Is should not, he says, be small, and mean, and sordid: nor, on the other hand, extended with profuse and wanton extravagance. It should be large enough to receive and accommodate the visiters which such a man never fails to attract, and suited 7 74 in its ornaments, as well as its dimensions, to the character and fortune of the individual. Monticello has now lost its great charm. Those of you who have not already visited it, will not be very apt to visit it hereafter: and, from the feelings which you cherish for its departed owner, I persuade myself that you will not be displeased with a brief and rapid sketch of that abode of domestic bliss, that temple of science. Nor is it, indeed, foreign to the express purpose of this meeting, which, in looking to ‘his life and character,’ naturally embraces his home and his domestic habits. Can any thing be indifferent to us, which was so dear to him, and which was a subject of such just admiration to the hundreds and thousands that were continually resorting to it, as to an object of pious pilgrimage?

“The Mansion House at Monticello was built and furnished in the days of his prosperity. In its dimensions, its architecture, its arrangements and ornaments, it is such a one as became the character and fortune of the man. It stands upon an elliptic plain, formed by cutting down the apex of a mountain; and, on the west, stretching away to the north and the south, it commands a view of the Blue Ridge for a hundred and fifty miles, and brings under the eye one of the boldest and most beautiful horizons in the world: while, on the east, it presents an extent of prospect bounded only by the spherical form of the earth, in which nature seems to sleep in eternal repose, as if to form one of her finest contrasts with the rude and rolling grandeur on the west. In the wide prospect, and scattered to the north and south, are several detached mountains, which contribute to animate and diversify this enchanting landscape; and among them, to the south, Willis's Mountain, which is so

interestingly depicted in his Notes. From this summit, the Philosopher was wont to enjoy that spectacle, among the sublimest of Nature's operations, the looming of the distant 75 mountains; and to watch the motions of the planets, and the greater revolution of the celestial sphere. From this summit, too, the patriot could look down, with uninterrupted vision, upon the wide expanse of the world around, for which he considered himself born; and upward, to the open and vaulted heavens which he seemed to approach, as if to keep him continually in mind of his high responsibility. It is indeed a prospect in which you see and feel, at once, that nothing mean or little could live. It is a scene fit to nourish those great and high-souled principles which formed the elements of his character, and was a most noble and appropriate post for such a sentinel, over the rights and liberties of man.

“Approaching the house on the east, the visiter instinctively paused, to cast around one thrilling glance at this magnificent panorama: and then passed to the vestibule, where, if he had not been previously informed, he would immediately perceive that he was entering the house of no common man. In the spacious and lofty hall which opens before him, he marks no tawdry and unmeaning ornaments: but before, on the right, on the left, all around, the eye is struck and gratified with objects of science and taste, so classed and arranged as to produce their finest effect. On one side, specimens of sculpture set out, in such order, as to exhibit at a *coup d'œil,* the historical progress of that art; from the first rude attempts of the aborigines of our country, up to that exquisite and finished bust of the great patriot himself, from the master hand of Caracci. On the other side, the visiter sees displayed a vast collection of specimens of Indian art, their paintings, weapons, ornaments, and manufactures; on another, an array of the fossil productions of our country, mineral and animal; the polished remains of those colossal monsters that once trod our forests, and are no more; and a variegated display of the branching honours 76 of those ‘monarchs of the waste,’ that still people the wilds of the American Continent.

“From this hall he was ushered into a noble saloon, from which the glorious landscape of the west again bursts upon his view; and which, within, is hung thick around with the finest productions of the pencil—historical paintings of the most striking subjects from all

countries, and all ages; the portraits of distinguished men and patriots, both of Europe and America and medallions and engravings in endless profusion.

“While the visiter was yet lost in the contemplation of these treasures of the arts and sciences, he was startled by the approach of a strong and sprightly step, and turning with instinctive reverence to the door of entrance, he was met by the tall, and animated, and stately figure of the patriot himself—his countenance beaming with intelligence and benignity, and his outstretched hand, with its strong and cordial pressure, confirming the courteous welcome of his lips. And then came that charm of manner and conversation that passes all description—so cheerful—so unassuming—so free, and easy, and frank, and kind, and gay—that even the young, and overawed, and embarrassed visiter at once forgot his fears, and felt himself by the side of an old and familiar friend.”

In the “Life of Patrick Henry,” though a work of Mr. Wirt's more mature age, the manner of the narrative has been thought too ambitious, and the subject of it to be decked in the colours of declamation and fancy. These are faults to repel the judicious reader; yet the volume is not one which the most judicious will 77 lay down unfinished, or will read with weariness. It often occurred to us, we confess, in our first perusal of this work, that the hero of it seemed more like the creation of a rhetorician, than a personage of history, however grave, eloquent and eminent in the view of his contemporaries; and, in common with others of the author's readers, we gave him credit for having filled up his drawing with colours over rich and splendid. Yet when we referred again to the incidents and anecdotes, and found them often told in the words of the relaters; when we recollected, however vaguely the causes might be assigned, there was a general concurrence as to the effects of this traditionary eloquence; we began to think that the exaggeration, if any, was that of the witnesses and not of the advocate in the cause. Nor will it account for this lavish praise, that these orations, so celebrated in Virginia, were addressed, as has been said, to the more popular kinds of assemblies, “whose feelings are easily excited, and whose opinions are seldom founded on the basis of rational conviction.”* This is not true of a large portion of these efforts; on the contrary, the auditors who 7*

* North American Review for March, 1818.

78 are witnesses in the case, were many of them men not only of the first eminence in their own state, but famous throughout the continent, and some of them themselves the men of posterity. Mr. Jefferson, who is surely one of the latter class, uses language that justifies the boldest praise of the biographer, and proves that the powers of Henry were felt alike by all degrees both of condition and discernment. That eminent man is cited, it may be remembered, as authority for many passages in the work; and in some of his letters communicating information to the author, he is known to have spoken of the oratory of Henry as “bold, grand and overwhelming,” giving “examples of eloquence such as probably had never been exceeded,” and the man himself as having been “the idol of his state,” beyond example. Of the same tone is the evidence of many other persons whose celebrity is some warrant of their good taste; and many authentic anecdotes are afloat, some of them odd enough, and not such as to find place in a serious work, which would show what an extraordinary impression prevailed in his native state, of the command of this memorable person over the reason as well as the passions of men. Of one of these great displays the old Congress was the 79 theatre; an assembly compared with the most venerable senates of ancient or modern days, by one who would himself have been the ornament of any; and yet the tradition of its effect is not less constant or emphatic.

No anecdotes, therefore, related of ancient eloquence are more authentic than those of the oratory of our illustrious countryman. Yet, when the modern reader, in an age too, as has been sarcastically observed, when writing and printing are not unknown, asks for even the fragments of this splendid web, and finds them few and meager, he is inclined to regard the evidence with some disbelief, and the writer who reflects its warmth in his work, as credulous and declamatory. But such a conclusion is to disregard unjustifiably a cloud of judicious contemporary witnesses on the one hand, and on the other, to forget with what imperfect remains, carelessly preserved, mutilated and defaced by the collectors, and never repaired by the hand of the original designer, we are to compare their descriptions. Of the greater part of these orations we have only such fragments as could be carried

away in the memory of the hearers, who, however fit to estimate their excellence as critics, might not have the faculty nor the occasion to relate them 80 correctly. Of those, again, more regularly reported, as in the debates of the Virginia Convention, it is a striking and very curious circumstance, that the reporter seems to have “dropped” Mr. Henry, to use his biographer's expression, in those very passages where the reader would be most anxious to follow him. So in the stenographical notes of the argument on the British debts, it is, as the biographer informs us, where we are prepared for the most captivating or overwhelming flights, that the frequent erasures bear most marks of an apparent but ineffectual effort to recall what the enchantment of the moment caused to escape the verbal record of the reporter. Attentively considered, this circumstance, which deprives us of the language of the orator, is another of the many homages of his hearers to his enchanting faculty.

Recollecting and weighing these circumstances, we doubt whether the author of the Life of Patrick Henry has done more in his fervid delineation of him, than reflect the united testimony of witnesses of all classes, whether friends or foes. Had he, in fact, practised a rhetorical art; had he seemed to kindle less himself in bringing these glowing traditions before his reader, and in reality heightened their effect by 81 a kind of reluctant exhibition of their energy and unanimousness, we are tempted to think he would more completely have won the conviction which we cannot reasonably withhold from the evidence he has adduced. The same thing seems true of the companion-pieces of the principal portrait. They were a body of men altogether remarkable and splendid, and Mr. Jefferson, through whose hands the author's manuscript passed, declares the characters to be “inimitably and justly drawn.” Tradition, it must be remarked, so uniform in respect to Mr. Henry's oratory is no less so as to his defects; and it is another vindication of the biographer's impartiality, that these are noted without hesitation in his memoir. In both he echoed the voice of contemporaries, and in regard to his eloquence, only joined in a general acclaim.

These observations are exceeding our limits, or we might remark it as somewhat curious, that the “action” which Demosthenes has been thought to have disproportionably lauded,

and which, by universal concurrence, formed the secret and chief charm of Patrick Henry's elocution, has in some sort caused his pretensions to be doubted. Unwilling to impute such extraordinary effects to such a cause, we prefer to reject 82 at once both the judgment of the Greek orator and this modern evidence of its truth; thus denying to the critic the confirmation of the example, and to the example the authority of the critic. There are, however, brief passages of Henry's, as they are given in his life, which, mutilated as they have come down to us, are worthy of Chatham, and worthy of any orator, in any age. The biography, we think, is not likely to perish either from want of interest in its subject, or of skill in the writer, who, without alteration of the facts—which, besides the popular belief, we have the venerable authority already quoted, that he took great pains “to sift and scrutinize,”—but by subduing the warm tone of the narrative, may render it an enduring portion of our popular literature. The subject has been pursued to such length, however, chiefly from its interest as a general question.

In taking leave of it we may add the opinion of a writer* who, though snatched away in the morning of a promising day, may be cited on a subject which he has treated with no less knowledge than eloquence. The passage is equally complimentary to Patrick Henry and Mr. Wirt.

* The late Francis Walker Gilmer.

83 “Had one,” he says, “with so rich a genius, with such a soul for eloquence, as Mr. Wirt certainly possesses, seen Mr. Henry in some of his grandest exhibitions, I should not now have to deplore the want of a finished orator at any American bar. But that bright meteor shot from its mid-heaven sphere too early for Mr. Wirt, and the glory of his art descended with him.” As the most effective and correct description of Mr. Wirt's oratory to which we can add nothing, and which we should be unwilling to retrench, we extract the remainder of this passage, though it is probably familiar to many. The reader may recollect that the elocution of Mr. Wirt was originally faulty in several particulars. Of these defects his nice

ear and good taste rendered him painfully sensible, and he bent himself determinedly to the cure of them; with what success will appear from Mr. Gilmer's picture of him.

“But I have seen no one who has such natural advantages and so many qualities requisite for genuine eloquence as Mr. Wirt. His person is dignified and commanding; his countenance open, manly and playful; his voice clear and musical; and his whole appearance truly oratorical. Judgment and imagination hold a divided dominion over his mind, and each is so conspicuous that it is difficult to decide which is ascendant. His diction unites force, purity, variety and splendour, more perfectly than that of any speaker I have heard, except Mr. Pinkney. He had great original 84 powers of action, but they have been totally unassisted by the contemplation of a good model. His wit is prompt, pure, and brilliant, but these lesser scintillations of fancy are lost in the blaze of his reasoning and declamation.

“His premises are always broad and distinctly laid down, his deductions are faultless, and his conclusions of course, irresistible from the predicate. In this he resembles what he has observed of Mr. Marshall, admit his first proposition and the conclusion is inevitable. The march of his mind is direct to its object, the evolutions by which he attains it, are so new and beautiful, and apparently necessary to the occasion, that your admiration is kept alive, your fancy delighted, and your judgment convinced, through every stage of the process. He leaves no objection to his reasoning unanswered, but satisfies every doubt as he advances. His power over his subject is so great, and so judiciously directed, that he sweeps the whole field of discussion, rarely leaves any thing for his assistants to glean, and sometimes anticipating the position of his enemy's battery, renders it useless, by destroying before-hand the materials of which its fortifications were to be erected. He has been sometimes known to answer, by anticipation, all the arguments of the opposing counsel so perfectly, as to leave him nothing to say which had not been better said already. These great combinations are so closely connected, the succession of their parts so natural, easy, and rapid, that the whole operation, offensive and defensive, appears but one effort. There is no weak point in his array, no chink in the whole line of his extended

works. Then the sweet melody of voice, the beautiful decorations of fancy, the easy play of a powerful reason, by which all this is accomplished, amaze and delight. His pathos is natural and impressive; there is a pastoral simplicity and tenderness in his pictures of distress, when he describes female innocence, helplessness, and beauty, 85 which the husband on whom she smiled should have guarded even from tho winds of heaven which might visit it too roughly, “shivering at midnight on the winter banks of the Ohio, and mingling her tears with the torrent, which froze as they fell;” it is not a theatrical trick, to move a fleeting pity, but a deep and impressive appeal to the dignified charities of our nature.”*

* Gilmer's Sketches, &c. pp. 38, 39.

An opinion prevailed perhaps, at one time, that it was rather in the ornate than the severer qualities of oratory that Mr. Wirt excelled. Except indeed that some of his brilliancies, if we may call them so, found their way into popular works, there was, perhaps, no better reason for supposing a person who wrote with taste, and spoke with force and feeling, on that account to want argument, than for the converse in the case of the attorney, who, as the jest goes, was reported to be a great lawyer because he was a miserable speaker. Those who knew him the earliest, concur that the striking feature of his mind “was the power of argument, of close, connected, cogent, logical reasoning.” In the unforeseen points that arise before a court, where the argument of counsel must be instant and extemporaneous, he was always eminent for ready force as well as for lucid order. The writer remembers 8 86 the first forensic encounter between him and Mr. Pinkney, in Baltimore, and the impression also of his speech compared with that of his formidable rival. If, to use an old figure, he was struck by the elaborate Gothic beauties of the one, he drew a calmer pleasure from the Grecian elegance and proportions of the other, where grace was subservient to utility, and all the parts were happily disposed toward the main design. In the structure of his speeches there is much of what Quintilian calls the “apta junctura.” He seemed, however, in his own words, “not decorated for pomp, but armed for battle.” Yet this opinion of his ornament, “scilicet nimia facilitas magis quam facultas,” appeared to

have been somewhat diffused; for it is not long since an eminent judge, on first hearing the advocate in some cause of moment, observed to him that he did not know till then that he was a logician. The well known description of Blennerhasset and his Island has been thought no more than the creation of the orator's fancy. But it is as well known to many, that the evidence on which that passage of the speech was founded, (which does not appear in the report of the trial,) was quite as high-wrought in the description. In fine, we may appositely quote on this subject, a 87 passage in the Dialogue concerning Oratory. The unknown but graceful writer says of some of Cicero's earlier orations, “Firmus sane paries, et duraturus, sed non satis expolitus et splendens;” and he continues the figure naturally, “Non eo tantùm volo tecto tegi, quod imbrem ac ventum arceat, sed etiam quod visum et oculos delectet.”

Mr. Wirt has appeared in causes in Philadelphia and Boston. Of his many arguments before the Supreme Court it is not our purpose to speak; but an extract may not be unacceptable from a speech in what will be recollected as the “steam-boat case,” decided by that court in 1824. It was a cause of deep interest, and important not only from the nature of the individual rights involved, but on account of the collisions which gave rise to it, of the state of New-York, with those of Connecticut and New Jersey. The arguments of counsel,—Webster and Wirt for the appellant, Oakly and Emmet for the appellee,—were most able and profound, and the papers of the day, which were much occupied with the cause, dwelt with emphasis on the ability of the Attorney-General's speech, particularly of the concluding passages, in which with rare felicity he had retorted on his eminent 88 antagonist, Mr. Emmet, a quotation of the latter from Virgil.

The Attorney-General observed, that his learned friend (Mr. Emmet) had eloquently personified the state of New-York, casting her eyes over the ocean, witnessing every where the triumph of her genius, and exclaiming, in the language of Æneas,

“‘Quæ regio in terris, nostri non plenæ laboris?’

“Sir, it was not in the moment of triumph, nor with the feelings of triumph, that Æneas uttered that exclamation. It was when, with his faithful Achates by his side, he was surveying the works of art with which the palace of Carthage was adorned, and his attention had been caught by a representation of the battles of Troy. There he saw the sons of Atreus, and Priam, and the fierce Achilles. The whole extent of his misfortunes; the loss and desolation of his friends; the fall of his beloved country; rushed upon his recollection.

‘Constitit et lachrymans, quis jam locus, inquit, Achate, Quæ regio in terris, nostri non plenæ laboris?’

“Sir, the passage may hereafter have a closer application to the cause than my eloquent and classical friend intended. For if the state of things which has already commenced, is to go on; if the spirit of hostility which already exists in three of our states, is to catch by contagion, and spread among the rest, as, from the progress of the human passions, and the unavoidable conflict of interests, it will too surely do; what are we to expect? Civil wars, arising from far inferior causes, have desolated some of the fairest provinces of the 89 earth. History is full of the afflicting narratives of such wars; and it will continue to be her mournful office to record them, till ‘time shall be no longer.’ It is a momentous decision which this court is called on to make. Here are three states almost on the eve of war. It is the high province of this court to interpose its benign and mediatorial influence. The framers of our admirable constitution would have deserved the wreath of immortality which they have acquired, had they done nothing else than to establish this guardian tribunal, to harmonize the jarring elements in our system. But, sir, if you do not interpose your friendly hand, and extirpate the seeds of anarchy which New-York has sown, you *will* have civil war. The war of legislation which has already commenced, will, according to its usual course, become a war of blows. Your country will be shaken with civil strife. Your republican institutions will perish in the conflict. Your constitution will fall. The last hope of nations will be gone. And what will be the effect upon the rest of the world? Look

abroad at the scenes now passing on our globe, and judge of that effect. The friends of free government throughout the earth, who have been heretofore animated by our example, and have cheerfully cast their glance to it, as to their polar star, to guide them through the stormy seas of revolution, will witness our fall, *with dismay and despair.* The arm that is every where lifted in the cause of liberty, will drop unnerved by the warrior's side. Despotism will have its day of triumph, and will accomplish the purpose at which it too certainly aims. It will cover the earth with the mantle of mourning. *Then,* sir, when New-York shall look upon this scene of ruin, if she have the generous feelings which I believe her to have, it will not be with her head aloft, in the pride of conscious triumph, 'her rapt soul sitting in her eyes.'—No, sir, no! Dejected with shame and confusion, drooping under the weight of her 90 sorrow, with a voice suffocated with despair, *well* may she *then* exclaim, "'—Quis jam locus,— Quæ regio in terris, nostri non plenæ laboris?'"

Mr. Wirt has just entered his sixtieth year, and still resides in Baltimore, an eminent ornament of a state which may number with some pride among her sons, a Dulany, a Chase, a Martin, and a Pinkney. For the narrative given in the preceding pages, we have the brief apology of the classic: "hujus vitam narrare, fiduciam potius morum, quam arrogantiam."

The subject of the above memoir has acquired a new interest with the public from his nomination by the Anti-Masonic Convention, assembled at Baltimore in October last, as a candidate for the Presidency of the United States; an eminence to which he brings the pretensions of pure morals and native dignity; of a high intellect, clear, vigorous and direct, refined by knowledge, and by a large acquaintance with mankind,especially with the eminent talents of his age; of profound constitutional learning, and of an intimate knowledge of the points and course of our 91 national policy, acquired during a period of twelve years, during which, in the capacity of Attorney-General, he held a seat in the cabinet. No man has more integrity in private life, and none would bring into the administration of public affairs a more sincere, candid, elevated or patriotic purpose. Though, restrained by personal and professional considerations, he has never mingled

in the competitions of politics, he has spoken and written on many of the questions which have agitated and divided the public opinion. Such a mind, with such opportunities and occasions of observation, must have cast over the whole field of our policy, that broad and comprehensive glance which justifies this recent proof of the confidence of a considerable portion of the public.

THE LETTERS OF THE BRITISH SPY.

ADVERTISEMENT.

The publishers having become possessed of a copy of “The British Spy,” which has passed through the hands of the author, eagerly embrace an opportunity of submitting a correct edition of that work to the patronage of the public. These letters were originally inserted in a daily journal; and they appeared with all the imperfections to which such a mode of publication is unavoidably liable. In the present edition, a variety of errors have been corrected; and nothing has been spared which it was supposed could add to its value.

Of the literary merit of a work which has passed the ordeal of criticism with honour, not only to the author but to his country, it would be impertinent to speak. Common fame has decided it to be the fruit of an American pen; and classical taste has pronounced it to be the offspring of genius. To those who would inculcate the degrading doctrine, that this is the country “Where Genius sickens, and where Fancy dies,”* we would offer the letters of the British Spy as an unquestionable evidence that America is entitled to a high rank in the republic of letters; and that the empyreal flame may be respired under any region. 9

* Clifton.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE VIRGINIA ARGUS.

Sir,

The manuscript, from which the following letters are extracted, was found in the bed-chamber of a boarding-house in a seaport town of Virginia. The gentleman, who had previously occupied that chamber, is represented, by the mistress of the house, to have been a meek and harmless young man, who meddled very little with the affairs of others, and concerning whom no one appeared sufficiently interested to make any inquiry. As it seems from the manuscript that the name by which he passed was not his real name, and as, moreover, she knew nothing of his residence, so that she was totally ignorant to whom and whither to direct it, she considered the manuscript as lawful prize, and made a present of it to me. It seems to be a copy of letters written by a young Englishman of rank, during a tour through the United States, in 1803, to a member of the British parliament. They are dated from almost every part of the United States, contain a great deal of geographical description, a delineation of every character of note among us, some literary disquisitions, with a great mixture of moral and political observation. 9 The letters are prettily written. Persons of every description will find in them a light and agreeable entertainment; and to the younger part of your readers they may not be uninstructive. For the present I select a few which were written from this place, and by way of distinction, will give them to you under the title of the *British Spy.*

THE BRITISH SPY.

LETTER I.

Richmond, September 1.

You complain, my dear S . . . . . ., that although I have been resident in Richmond upward of six months, you have heard nothing from me since my arrival. The truth is, that I had suspended writing until a more intimate acquaintance with the people and their country should furnish me with the materials for a correspondence. Having now collected those materials, the apology ceases, and the correspondence begins. But first, a word of myself.

I still continue to wear the mask, and most willingly exchange the attentions, which would be paid to my rank, for the superior and exquisite pleasure of inspecting this country and this people, without attracting to myself a single eye of curiosity, or awakening a shade of suspicion. Under my assumed name, I gain an admission 100 close enough to trace, at leisure, every line of the American character; while the plainness, or rather humility of my appearance, my manners and conversation, put no one on his guards but enable me to take the portrait of nature, as it were, asleep and naked. Beside, there is something of innocent roguery in this masquerade, which I am playing, that sorts very well with the sportiveness of my temper. To sit and decoy the human heart from behind all its disguises: to watch the capricious evolutions of unrestrained nature, frisking, curvetting and gambolling at her ease, with the curtain of ceremony drawn up to the very sky—Oh! it is delightful!

You are perhaps surprised at my speaking of the attentions which would be paid in this country to my rank. You will suppose that I have forgotten where I am: no such thing. I remember well enough that I am in Virginia, that state, which, of all the rest, plumes herself most highly on the democratic spirit of her principles. Her political principles are indeed democratic enough in all conscience. Rights and privileges, as regulated by the constitution of the state, belong in equal degree to all the citizens; and Peter Pindar's remark is perfectly true of the people of this country, that “every blackguard 101 scoundrel is a king.”* Nevertheless, there exists in Virginia a species of social rank, from which no country can, I presume, be entirely free. I mean that kind of rank which arises from the different degrees of wealth and of intellectual refinement. These must introduce a style of living and of conversation, the former of which a poor man cannot attain, while an ignorant one would be incapable of enjoying the latter. It seems to me that from these causes, wherever they may exist, circles of society, strongly discriminated, must inevitably result. And one of these causes exists in full force in Virginia; for, however they may vaunt of “equal liberty in church and state,” they have but little to boast on the subject of equal property. Indeed there is no country, I believe, where property is more unequally

distributed than in Virginia. This inequality struck me with peculiar force in riding through the lower counties on the Potomac. Here and there a stately aristocratic palace, with all its appurtenances, strikes the view; while all around, for many miles, no other buildings are to be seen but the little smoky huts and log cabins of poor, laborious, ignorant 9*

* The reader needs scarcely to be reminded that the writer is a Briton, and true to his character.

102 tenants. And, what is very ridiculous, these tenants, while they approach *the great house,* cap in hand, with all the fearful, trembling submission of the lowest feudal vassals, boast in their court-yards, with obstreperous exultation, that they live in a land of freemen, a land of equal liberty and equal rights. Whether this debasing sense of inferiority, which I have mentioned, be a remnant of their colonial character, or whether it be that it is natural for poverty and impotence to look up with veneration to wealth, and power, and rank, I cannot decide. For my own part, however, I have ascribed it to the latter cause; and I have been in a great degree confirmed in the opinion, by observing the attentions which were paid by the most genteel people here to . . . . . the son of lord . . . . . .

You know the circumstances in which his lordship left Virginia: that so far from being popular, he carried with him the deepest execrations of these people. Even now, his name is seldom mentioned here but in connexion with terms of abhorrence or contempt. Aware of this, and believing it impossible that . . . . . was indebted to his father, for all the parade of respect which was shown to him, I sought, in his own personal accomplishments, a solution of 103 the phenomenon. But I sought in vain. Without one solitary ray of native genius, without one adventitious beam of science, without any of those traits of soft benevolence which are so universally captivating, I found his mind dark and benighted, his manners bold, forward and assuming, and his whole character evidently inflated with the consideration that he was the son of a lord. His deportment was so evidently dictated by this consideration, and he regarded the Virginians so palpably, in the humiliating light of inferior plebeians, that I have often wondered how such a man, and the son too of so very unpopular a father, escaped from this country without personal injury, or, at least,

personal insult. I am now persuaded, that this impunity, and the great respect which was paid to him, resulted solely from his noble descent, and was nothing more than the tribute which man pays either to imaginary or real superiority. On this occasion, I stated my surprise to a young Virginian, who happened to belong to the democratic party. He, however, did not choose to admit the statement; but asserted, that whatever respect had been shown to . . . . . ., proceeded solely from the federalists; and that it was an unguarded evolution of their private 104 attachment to monarchy and its appendages. I then stated the subject to a very sensible gentleman, whom I knew to belong to the federal phalanx. Not willing to degrade his party by admitting that they would prostrate themselves before the empty shadow of nobility, he alleged that nothing had been manifested towards young . . . . . ., beyond the hospitality which was due to a genteel stranger; and that if there had been any thing of parade on his account, it was attributable only to the ladies, who had merely exercised their wonted privilege of coquetting it with a fine young fellow. But notwithstanding all this, it was easy to discern in the look, the voice, and whole manner, with which gentlemen as well as ladies of both parties saluted and accosted young . . . . . ., a secret spirit of respectful diffidence, a species of silent, reverential abasement, which, as it could not have been excited by his personal qualities, must have been homage to his rank. Judge, then, whether I have not just reason to apprehend, that on the annunciation of my real name, the curtain of ceremony would fall, and nature would cease to play her pranks before me.

Richmond is built, as you will remember, on the north side of James river, and at the head of tide 105 water. There is a manuscript in this state which relates a curious anecdote concerning the origin of this town. The land hereabout was owned by Col. William Byrd. This gentleman, with the former proprietor of the land at the head of tide water on Appomatox river, was appointed, it seems, to run the line between Virginia and North Carolina. The operation was a most tremendous one; for, in the execution of it, they had to penetrate and pass quite through the great Dismal Swamp. It would be almost impossible to give you a just conception of the horrors of this enterprise. Imagine to

yourself an immense morass, more than forty miles in length and twenty in breadth, its soil a black, deep mire, covered with a stupendous forest of juniper and cypress trees, whose luxuriant branches, interwoven throughout, intercept the beams of the sun and teach day to counterfeit the night This forest, which until that time, perhaps, the human foot had never violated, had become the secure retreat of ten thousand beasts of prey. The adventurers, therefore, beside the almost endless labour of felling trees in a proper direction to form a footway throughout, moved amid perpetual terrors, and each night had to sleep *en militaire,* upon their arms, surrounded with the 106 deafening, soul-chilling yell of those hunger-smitten lords of the desert. It was, one night, as they lay in the midst of scenes like these, that Hope, that never-failing friend of man, paid them a consoling visit, and sketched in brilliant prospect the plans of Richmond and Petersburg.*

* So at least, speaks the manuscript account which Col. Byrd has left of this expedition, and which is now in the hands of some of his descendants; perhaps of the family at Westover.

Richmond occupies a very picturesque and most beautiful situation. I have never met with such an assemblage of striking and interesting objects. The town, dispersed over hills of various shapes; the river descending from west to east, and obstructed by a multitude of small islands, clumps of trees, and myriads of rocks; among which it tumbles, foams, and roars, constituting what are called the falls; the same river, at the lower end of the town, bending at right angles to the south, and winding reluctantly off for many miles in that direction! its polished surface caught here and there by the eye, but more generally covered from the view by trees; among which the white sails of approaching and departing vessels exhibit a curious and interesting appearance: then again, on the opposite 107 side, the little town of Manchester, built on a hill, which, sloping gently to the river, opens the whole town to the view, interspersed, as it is, with vigorous, and flourishing poplars, and surrounded to a great distance by green plains and stately woods—all these objects, falling at once under the eye, constitute, by far, the most finely varied and most animated landscape that I have ever seen. A mountain, like the Blue Ridge, in the western horizon,

and the rich tint with which the hand of a Pennsylvanian farmer would paint the adjacent fields, would make this a more enchanting spot than even Demascus is described to be.

I will endeavour to procure for you a perspective view of Richmond, with the embellishments of fancy which I have just mentioned; and you will do me the honour to give it a place in your pavilion.

Adieu for the present, my dear S . . . . . May the perpetual smiles of heaven be yours.

LETTER II.

Richmond, September 7.

Almost every day, My dear S . . . ., some new evidence presents itself in support of the Abbe Raynal's opinion, that this continent was once covered by the ocean, from which it has gradually emerged. But that this emersion is, even comparatively speaking, of recent date, cannot be admitted; unless the comparison be made with the creation of the earth; and even then, in order to justify the remark, the era of the creation must, I fear, be fixed much further back than the period which has been inferred from the Mosaic account.*

* Some error has certainly happened in computing the era of the earth's creation from the five books of Moses. Voltaire informs us, that certain French philosophers, who visited China, inspected the official register or history of the eclipses of the sun and moon, which, it seems, has been continually kept in that country; that on calculating them back, they were all found correct, and conducted those philosophers to a period, (I will not undertake to speak with certainty of the time, but I think,) twenty-three centuries before the Mosaic era. It is notorious, however, that the Chinese plume themselves on the antiquity of their country; and in order to prop this, it would have been just as easy for the Chinese astronomers to have fabricated and dressed up the register in question, by posterior calculations, as for the French astronomers to have made their retrospective examination

of the accuracy of those eclipses. The same science precisely was requisite for both purposes; and although the improvement of the arts and sciences in China, was found by the first Europeans who went amongst them, to bear no proportion to the antiquity of the country, yet there is no reason to doubt that the Chinese mandarins were at least as competent to the calculation of an eclipse as the Shepherds of Egypt. Indeed we are, I believe, expressly told, that the Chinese, long before they were visited by the people of Europe, had been in the habit of using a species of astronomical apparatus; and of stamping almanacs from plates or blocks, many hundred years, even before printing was discovered in Europe. I see no great reason, therefore, to rely with very implicit confidence on the register of China. Indeed I am very little disposed to build my faith, as to any historical fact, on evidence perfectly within the reach of human art and imposture; comprehending all writings, inscriptions, literary or hieroglyphic, medals, &c. which tend either to flatter our passion for the marvellous, or aggrandize the particular nation in whose bosom they are found. And, therefore, together with the Chinese register, I throw out of the consideration of this question another record which goes to the same purpose; I mean the Chaldaic manuscript found by Alexander in the city of Babylon.

The inferences reported by Mr. Brydone, as having been drawn by Recupero, from the *lavas* of mount Etna (those stupendous records which no human art or imposture could possibly have fabricated) deserve, I think, much more serious attention. They are subject, indeed, to one of the preceding objections, to wit: that the data, from which all the subsequent calculations are drawn, are inscriptions: appealing not only to our passion for the marvellous, but flattering the vanity of the Sicilians, by establishing the great age of their mountain, at once their curse and their blessing. These inscriptions, however, do not rest merely on their own authority: they allege a fact which is very strongly countenanced by recent and unerring observation. As Brydone may not be in the hands of every person who may chance to possess and read this *bagatelle,* and as this subject is really curious and interesting, I beg leave to subjoin those parts of that traveller's highly entertaining letters which relate to it.

“The last *lava* we crossed, before our arrival there (*Jaci Reale*) is of vast extent. I thought we never should have had done with it; it certainly is not less than six or seven miles broad, and appears in many places to be of an enormous depth.

“When we came near the sea, I was desirous to see what form it had assumed in meeting with the water. I went to examine it, and found it had driven back the waves for upward of a mile, and had formed a large, black, high promontory, where, before, it was deep water. This *lava,* I imagined, from its barrenness, for it is, as yet, covered with a very scanty soil, had run from the mountain only a few ages ago; but was surprised to be informed by Signor Recupero, the historiographer of Etna, that this very *lava* is mentioned by Diodorus Siculus to have burst from Etna in the time of the second Punic war, when Syracuse was besieged by the Romans. A detachment was sent from Taurominum to the relief of the besieged. They were stopped on their march by this stream of lava, which having reached the sea before their arrival at the foot of the mountain, had cut off their passage, and obliged them to return by the back of Etna, upwards of a hundred miles about. His authority for this, he tells me, was taken from inscriptions on Roman monuments found on this lava, and that it was likewise well ascertained by many of the old Sicilian authors. Now as this is about two thousand years ago, one would imagine, if *lavas* have a regular progress in becoming fertile fields, that this must long ago have become at least arable; this, however, is not the case: and it is, as yet, only covered with a very scanty vegetation, and incapable of producing either corn or vines. There are indeed pretty large trees growing in the crevices which are full of a rich earth; but in all probability, it will be some hundred years yet, before there is enough of it to render this land of any use to the proprietors.

“It is curious to consider, that the surface of this black and barren matter, in process of time, becomes one of the most fertile soils upon earth. But what must be the time to bring it to its utmost perfection, when after two thousand years, it is still, in most places, but a barren rock?”— *Vol. I. Letter* 6.

“Signior Recupero, who obligingly engages to be our cicerone, has shown us some curious remains of antiquity; but they have been all so shaken and shattered by the mountain, that hardly any thing is to be found entire.

“Near to a vault, which is now thirty feet below ground, and has, probably, been a burial place, there is a draw-well, where there are several strata of *lavas, with earth to a considerable thickness over the surface of each stratum.* Recupero has made use of this as an argument to prove the great antiquity of the mountain. For if it require two thousand years or upward, to form but a scanty soil on the surface of a *lava,* there must have been more than that space of time betwixt each of the eruptions which have formed the strata. But what shall we say of a pit they sunk near to *Jaci* of a great depth. They pierced through *seven* distinct *lavas,* one under the other, the surfaces of which were parallel, and *most of them covered with a thick bed of rich earth.* Now, says he, the eruption which formed the lowest of these *lavas,* if we may be allowed to reason from analogy, must have flowed from the mountain at least fourteen thousand years ago.”— *Vol. I. Letter* 7. Whereas the computation inferred, but without doubt inaccurately, from the Pentateuch, makes the earth itself only between five and six thousand years old.

109

The following facts are authenticated beyond any kind of doubt. During the last spring a gentleman in the neighbourhood of Williamsburg, 10 110 about sixty miles below this place, in digging a ditch on his farm, discovered about four or five feet below the surface of the earth, a considerable 111 portion of the skeleton of a whale. Several fragments of the ribs and other parts of the system were found; and all the *vertebræ* 112 regularly arranged and very little impaired as to their figure. The spot on which this skeleton was found, lies about two miles from the nearest shore of James river, and fifty or sixty from the Atlantic Ocean. The whole phenomenon bore the clearest evidence that the animal had perished in its native element; and as the ocean is the nearest resort of the whale, it follows that 113 the ocean must once have covered the country, at least as high up as Williamsburg.

Again, in digging several wells lately in this town, the teeth of sharks were found from sixty to ninety or a hundred feet below the surface of the earth. The probability is that these teeth were deposited by the shark itself; and as this fish is never known to infest very shallow streams, the conclusion is clear that this whole country has once been buried under several fathoms of water. At all events, these teeth must be considered as ascertaining what was once the surface of the earth here; which surface is very little higher than that of James river. Now if it be considered that there has been no perceptible difference wrought in the figure or elevation of the coast, nor, consequently, in the precipitation of the interior streams since the earliest recorded discovery of Virginia, which was two hundred years ago, it will follow, that James river must, for many hundreds, perhaps thousands of years, have been running, at least here, with a very rapid, headlong current; the friction whereof must certainly have rendered the channel much deeper than it was at the time of the deposition of these teeth. The result is clear, that the surface of the stream, which even now, after all this 10* 114 friction and consequent depression, is so nearly on a level with the site of the shark's teeth, must, originally, have been much higher. I take this to be an irrefragable proof, that the land here was then inundated; and as there is no ground between this and the Atlantic, higher than that on which Richmond is built, it seems to me indisputably certain, that the whole of this beautiful country was once covered with a dreary waste of water.*

* An elegant and well informed writer on the theory of the earth, under the signature of “An Inquirer,” whose remarks were suggested by the perusal of this letter of the British Spy, observes that sea shells and other marine substances are found in every explored part of the world, “on the loftiest mountains of Europe and the still loftier Andes of South America.” As the British Spy was not writing a regular and elaborate treatise on the origin of the earth, he did not deem it material to congregate all the facts which have been seen, and supposed, in relation to this subject.

Whether the British Spy is to be considered as an Englishman of rank on a tour through America, and writing the above letter in Richmond to his friend in London; or whether he is to be considered as one of our own citizens disposed to entertain the people of Richmond and its vicinity with a light and amusing speculation on the origin of their country, in either instance it was both more natural, and more interesting that the speculation should appear to have grown out of recent facts discovered in their own town or neighbourhood, and with which they are all supposed to be conversant, than on distant and controvertible facts, which it was not important to the inquiry, whether they knew or believed, or not.

115

To what curious and interesting reflections does this subject lead us? Over this bill on which I am now sitting and writing at my ease, and from which I look with delight on the landscape that smiles around me—over this hill and over this landscape, the billows of the ocean have rolled in wild and dreadful fury, while the leviathan, the whale and all the monsters of the deep, have disported themselves amid the fearful tempest.

Where was then the shore of the ocean? From this place, for eighty miles to the westward, the ascent of the country is very gradual; to and even up the Blue Ridge, marine shells and other phenomena are found, which demonstrate that *that* country too, has been visited by the ocean. How then has it emerged? Has it been by a sudden convulsion? Certainly not. No observing man, who has ever travelled from the Blue Ridge to the Atlantic, can doubt that this emersion has been effected by very slow gradations. For as you advance to the east, the proofs of the former submersion of the country thicken upon you. On 116 the shores of York river, the bones of whales abound; and I have been not a little amused in walking on the sand beach of that river during the recess of the tide, and looking up at the high cliff or bank above me, to observe strata of sea shells not yet calcined, like those which lay on the beach under my feet, interspersed with strata of earth (the joint result, no doubt, of sand and putrid vegetables) exhibiting at once a sample of the manner in which

the adjacent soil had been formed, and proof of the comparatively recent desertion of the waters.

Upon the whole, every thing here tends to confirm the ingenious theory of Mr. Buffon; that the eastern coasts of continents are enlarged by the perpetual revolution of the earth from west to east, which has the obvious tendency to conglomerate the loose sands of the sea on the eastern coast; while the tides of the ocean, drawn from east to west, against the revolving earth, contribute to aid the process, and hasten the alluvion. But admitting the Abbe Raynal's idea, that America is a far younger country than either of the other continents, or in other words, that America has emerged long since their formation, how did it happen that the materials, which compose this continent, were not accumulated 117 on the eastern coast of Asia? Was it that the present mountains of America, then protuberances on the bed of the ocean, intercepted a part of the passing sands which would otherwise have been washed on the Asiatic shore, and thus became the rudiments of this vast continent? If so, America is under much greater obligations to her barren mountains, than she has hitherto supposed.

But while Mr. Buffon's theory accounts very handsomely for the enlargement of the eastern coast, it offers no kind of reason for any extension of the western; on the contrary, the very causes assigned, to supply the addition to the eastern, seem at first view to threaten a diminution of the western coast. Accordingly, Mr. Buffon, we see, has adopted also the latter idea; and, in the constant abluvion from the western coast of one continent, has found a perennial source of materials for the eastern coast of that which lies behind it. This last idea, however, by no means quadrates with the hypothesis, that the mountains of America formed the original stamina of the continent; for, on the latter supposition, the mountains themselves would constitute the western coast; since Mr. Buffon's theory precludes the idea of any accession in that quarter. 118 But the mountains do not constitute the western coast. On the contrary, there is a wider extent of country between the great mountains in North America, and the Pacific or the northern oceans, than there is between the same mountains and the Atlantic ocean. Mr. Buffon's theory, therefore,

however rational as to the eastern, becomes defective, as he presses it, in relation to the western coast; unless, to accommodate the theory, we suppose the total abrasion of some great mountain which originally constituted the western limit, and which was itself, the embryon of this continent. But for many reasons, and particularly the present contiguity to Asia, at one part, where such a mountain, according to the hypothesis, must have run, the idea of any such limit will be thought rather too extravagant for adoption. The fact is, that Mr. Buffon has considered his theory rather in its operation on a continent already established, than on the birth or primitive emersion of a continent from the ocean.

As to the western part of this continent, I mean that which lies beyond the Alleghany mountains, if it were not originally gained from the ocean, it has received an accumulation of earth by no means less wonderful. Far beyond 119 the Ohio, in piercing the earth for water, the stumps of trees, bearing the most evident impressions of the axe, and on one of them the rust of consumed iron, have been discovered between ninety and a hundred feet below the present surface of the earth. This is a proof, by the by, not only that this immense depth of soil has been accumulated in that quarter; but that *that new country,* as the inhabitants of the Atlantic states call it, is, indeed, a very ancient one; and that North America has undergone more revolutions in point of civilization, than have heretofore been thought of, either by the European or American philosophers. That part of this continent, which borders on the western ocean, being almost entirely unknown, it is impossible to say whether it exhibit the same evidence of immersion which is found here. M'Kenzie, however, the only traveller who has ever penetrated through this vast forest, records a curious tradition among some of the western tribes of Indians, to wit: that the world was once covered with water. The tradition is embellished, as usual with a number of very highly poetical fictions. The fact, which I suppose to be couched under it, is the ancient submersion of that part of the continent, which certainly looks much 120 more like *a world* than the petty territory that was inundated by Eucalion's flood. If I remember aright, for I cannot immediately refer to the book, Stith, in his History of Virginia, has recorded a similar tradition among the Atlantic tribes of Indians. I have no doubt that if M'Kenzie had been as

well qualified for scientific research, as he was undoubtedly honest, firm and persevering, it would have been in his power to have thrown great lights on this subject, as it relates to the western country.

For my own part, while I believe the present mountains of America to have constituted the original *stamina* of the continent, I believe at the same time, the western as well as the eastern country to be the effect of alluvion; produced too by the same causes: the rotation of the earth, and the planetary attraction of the ocean.

The perception of this will be easy and simple, if, instead of confounding the mind, by a wide view of the whole continent as it now stands, we carry back our imaginations to the time of its birth, and suppose some one of the highest pinnacles of the Blue Ridge to have just emerged above the surface of the sea. Now whether the rolling of the earth to the east give to the ocean, which floats loosely upon its bosom, an actual 121 counter-current, to the west,* which is occasionally further accelerated by the motion of the tides in that direction, or whether this be not the 11

* This idea, which is merely stated *hypothetically,* is considered, by the Inquirer, as having been a position *absolutely* taken by the British Spy: and as the reverse principle, (to wit, that the motion of the waters is taken from and corresponds with that of the solid earth,) *is so well established,* he concludes that it must have been contested by the British Spy through mere inadvertence. But, for my part, I do not perceive how this hypothetical idea of the British Spy is, at all, in collision with the doctrine of the diurnal or annual revolution of the terraqueous globe.

The British Spy could not have been guilty of so great an absurdity as to intend that the waters of the ocean deserted their bed and broke over the eastern coasts and lofty mountains of opposing continents, in order to maintain their actual counter-current to the west. It must have been clear to him, that the ocean, keeping its bed, must attend the motion of the earth, “not only on its axis, but in its orbit.” But the question here is not as to the position of the whole ocean as it relates to the whole earth; the question is merely as to

the locomotion of the particles of the ocean, among themselves. For although the ocean, as well as the solid earth, must perform a complete revolution around their common axis once in twenty-four hours, it does not follow, as I take it, that the globules of the fluid ocean must, all this time, remain as fixed as the atoms of the solid earth: they certainly may and certainly have, from some cause or other, a subordinate motion among themselves, frequently adverse to the general motion of the globe; to wit, a current to the west. The atmosphere belongs as much to this globe as the waters of the ocean do: that is to say, it cannot any more than the ocean fly off and attach itself to any other planet. It feels, like the ocean, the gravitating power of the earth and the attraction of the neighbouring planets. It is affected, no doubt, very sensibly (at least the lower region of it) by the earth's diurnal rotation, and like the ocean, is compelled to attend her in her annual journey around the sun. But what of this? Does the atmosphere remain fixed in such a manner, as that the part of it, which our antipodes are respiring at this moment, is to furnish our diet, our *pabulum vitœ,* twelve hours hence? Certainly not; the atoms which compose the atmosphere are, we know, in spite of the earth's diurnal and annual motion, agitated and impelled in every direction; and so also, we equally well know, are the waters of the ocean.

If the Inquirer, when he says that “the motion of the earth is communicated to every part of it, whether solid or fluid,” intend that the motion of the loose and fluid particles of the ocean take, from the earth, a flux among themselves to the east, the result would be an actual current to the east; which is not pretended. If he mean, that the globules of the ocean, unaffected by any other cause than the motion of the earth, would always maintain the same position in relation to each other, he may, indeed, allege a principle which is well established; but as it does not meet the approbation of my reason, and as I am not in the habit of reading merely that I may understand and believe, I must beg permission to enter my dissent to the principle. It would be difficult, if not impossible, so close as we are in the neighbourhood of the earth's attraction, to invent any apparatus by which a decisive experiment could be made on this subject. But, by the way of illustration, let us suppose the earth at rest; let us suppose the atmosphere, by the hand of the great Chymist who

raised it into its present aeriform state, once more reduced to a fluid; let us suppose it, like a great ocean, to surround the earth within the torrid zone, (partitioned at right angles, by two or three mountains running from north to to south) and all its parts reposing in a halcyon calm: let us then suppose the earth whirled on its axis to the east, what would be the probable effect? it is clear that the lower region of this superincumbent ocean would be most strongly bound by the earth's attraction; it is equally clear that the stratum of globules, immediately in contact with the earth, would adhere more strongly thereto, than to the fluid stratum which rested upon it; while this adhesion to the surface of the earth would be assisted by the many rugged protuberances on that surface. Hence the first motion of the earth, the lowest part of this circumambient ocean, being most powerfully attracted and attached to the earth, would slide under the fluid mass above it, and thereby produce an inequality in the upper surface of the water itself; an elevation in the eastern, a concavity in the western side of each partition; while the waters, from their tendency to seek their level, would strive to restore the balance, by falling constantly from east to west.

Whether this effect would continue for ever, or how long it would continue in our oceans as they are at present arranged, it is not easy to solve. But that a current from the east to the west would be at first produced, is as evident as the light of heaven; if it be denied, I demand the solution of the following phenomenon: if a plate be filled with oil or other fluid, and the plate be then drawn in any direction, how does it happen that the fluid will manifest a tendency to flow in the opposite direction; insomuch that if the draught of the plate be sudden, the fluid, running rapidly over the adverse edge of the plate, shall discharge itself completely; leaving little behind but the inferior stratum? I take it, that the man who solves this phenomenon, satisfactorily, will be compelled to resort to principles, which, when applied to our oceans, resting loosely as they do on the earth which rolls under them, would inevitably produce a western current; and this current once produced it will be difficult to say why and when it should cease. A current thus produced would be unequal from the nature of its cause, at various depths: it would be subject to temporary affections and alterations near its surface, by the winds, the tides and the diversified shapes of the

coasts on which the ocean rolls. The general tendency, however, of the great mass of the waters would be to the west.

I see no sound reason in renouncing Mr. Buffon's theory either on account of the eloquent and beautiful manner in which it is explained; nor because it has long had its just portion of admirers; nor because there are other more modern theories. While we are children, it may be well enough to lie passively on our backs and permit others to prepare and feed us with the pap of science; but when our own judgments and understandings have gained their maturity, it behoves us, instead of being “a feather for every wind that blows,” instead of floating impotently before the *capricious current* of fashion and opinion, to heave out all our anchors; to take a position from which nothing shall move us but reason and truth, not novelty and fashion. In the progress of science, many principles, in my opinion, have been dropped to make way for others, which are newer but less true. And among them Mr. Buffon's theory of the earth. The effect of alluvion is so slow, that any one generation is almost unable to perceive the change wrought by it; hence, many people, unable to sit down and reflect on the wonders which time can do, fly off with a kind of puerile impatience, and resort to any thing, even a *bouleversemente* of a whole continent, rather than to depend on so slow and imperceptible an operation as that of alluvion. This is not philosophical. Neither on the other hand would it be philosophical to reject a theory because it might be new and unsupported by a name. On the contrary, the man who, on any branch of philosophy starts a new hypothesis, which has even the guise of reason, confers a benefit on the world; for he enlarges the ground of thought, and although not immediately in the temple of truth himself, may have dropped a hint, an accidental clew, which may serve to lead others to the door of the temple. In this spirit, I not only excuse, but am grateful even for the wildest of Dr. Darwin's philosophical chimeras. In the same spirit, I offer, without the expectation of its final adoption, the idea suggested by this note as to the cause of a western current.

122 case; still to our newly emerged pinnacle, which is whirled, by the earth's motion, through the waters of the deep, the consequences will be the 123 same as if there were

this actual and strong current. For while the waters will be continually accumulated on the eastern coast of this pinnacle, 124 it is obvious that on the western coast, (protected, as it would be, from the current, by the newly risen earth,) the waters will always be 125 comparatively low and calm. The result is clear. The sands, borne along by the ocean's current over the northern and southern extremities of this pinnacle, will always have a tendency to settle in the calm behind it; and thus, by 11* 126 perpetual accumulations, form a western coast, more rapidly perhaps than an eastern one; as we may see in miniature, by the capes and shallows collected by the still water, on each side, at the mouths of creeks, or below rocks, in the rapids of a river.

After this new-born point of earth had gained some degree of elevation, it is probable that successive coats of vegetation, according to Dr. Darwin's idea, springing up, then falling and dying on the earth, paid an annual tribute to the infant continent, while each rain which fell upon it, bore down a part of its substance and assisted perpetually in the enlargement of its area.

It is curious that the arrangement of the mountains both in North and South America, as well as the shape of the two continents, combine to strengthen the preceding theory. For the mountains, as you will perceive on inspecting your maps, run in chains from north to south; thus opposing the widest possible barrier to the sands, as they roll from east to west. The shape of the continent is just that which would naturally be expected from such an origin: that is, they lie along, collaterally, with the mountains. As far north as the country is well 127 known, these ranges of mountains are observed; and it is remarkable, that as soon as the Cordilleras terminate in the south, the continent of South America ends: where they terminate in the north, the continent dwindles to a narrow isthmus.

Assuming this theory as correct, it is amusing to observe the conclusions to which it will lead us.

As the country is supposed to have been formed by gradual accumulations, and as these accumulations were most probably equal or nearly so in every part, it follows that, broken as this country is in hills and dales, it has assumed no new appearance by its emersion; but that the figure of the earth's surface is the same throughout, as well where it is now covered by the waters of the ocean as where it has been already denudated. So that Mr. Boyle's mountains in the sea, cease to have any thing wonderful in them.

Connected with this, it is not an improbable conclusion, that new continents and islands are now forming on the bed of the ocean. Perhaps, at some future day, lands may emerge in the neighbourhood of the Antarctic circle, which by progressive accumulations and a consequent increase of weight, may keep a juster balance 128 between the poles, and produce a material difference in our astronomical relations. The navigators of that day will be as successful in their discoveries in the southern seas, as Columbus was heretofore in the northern. For there can be little doubt that there has been a time when Columbus, if he had lived, would have found his reasonings, on the balance of the earth, fallacious; and would have sought these seas for a continent, as much in vain, as Drake, Anson, Cook and others, encouraged perhaps by similar reasoning, have since sought the ocean of the south.

If Mr. Buffon's notion be correct, that the eastern coast of one continent is perpetually feeding on the western coast of that which lies before it, the conclusion is inevitable, that the present materials of Europe and Africa, and Asia, in succession, will at some future day, compose the continents of North and South America; while the latter, thrown on the Asiatic shore, will again make a part, and, in time, the whole of that continent, to which by some philosophers, they are supposed to have been originally attached. It is equally clear that, by this means, the continents will not only exchange their materials, but their position; so that, in process of 129 time, they must respectively make a tour around the globe, maintaining still the same ceremonious distance from each other, which they now hold.

According to my theory, which supposes an alluvion on the western as well as the eastern coast, the continents and islands of the earth, will be caused, reciprocally, to approximate, and (if materials enough can be found in the bed of the ocean, or generated by any process of nature) ultimately to unite. Our island of Great Britain, therefore, at some future day, and in proper person, will probably invade the territory of France. In the course of this work of alluvion, as it relates to this country, the refulgent waters of the Atlantic will be forced to recede from Hampton Roads and the Chesapeake; the beds whereof will become fertile valleys, or, as they are called here, river bottoms; while the lands in the lower district of the state, which are now only a very few feet above the surface of the sea, will rise into majestic eminences, and the present sickly site of Norfolk be converted into a high and salubrious mountain. I apprehend, however, that the present inhabitants of Norfolk would be extremely unwilling to have such an effect wrought in their day; since there can be little 130 doubt that they prefer their present commercial situation, incumbered as it is by the annual visits of the yellow fever, to the elevation and health of the Blue Ridge.

In the course of this process, too, of which I have been speaking, if the theory be correct, the gulf of Mexico will be eventually filled up, and the West India islands consolidated with the American continent.

These consequences, visionary as they may now appear, are not only probable, but, if the alluvion which is demonstrated to have taken place already, should continue, they are inevitable. There is very little probability that the isthmus of Darien, which connects the two continents, is coeval with the Blue Ridge or the Cordilleras; and it requires only a continuation of the cause which produced the isthmus, to effect the repletion of the gulf and the consolidation of the islands with the continent.

But when? I am possessed of no *data* whereby the calculations can be made. The depth at which *Herculaneum* and *Pompeia* were found to be buried in the course of sixteen hundred years, affords us no light on this inquiry; because their burial was effected not by

the slow alluvion and accumulation of time, but by the sudden 131 and repeated eruptions of Vesuvius. As little are we aided by the repletion of the earth around the *Tarpein* rock in *Rome;* since that repletion was most probably effected in a very great degree, by the materials of fallen buildings. And besides, the original height of the rock is not ascertained with any kind of precision; historians having, I believe, merely informed us, that it was sufficiently elevated to kill the criminals who were thrown from its summit.

But a truce with philosophy. Who could have believed that the skeleton of an unwieldy whale, and a few mouldering teeth of a shark, would have led me such a dance!

Adieu, my dear S . . . . . ., for the present. May the light of heaven continue to shine around you!

132

LETTER III.

Richmond, September 15.

You inquire into the state of your favourite art in Virginia. Eloquence, My dear S. . . . ., has few successful votaries here: I mean eloquence of the highest order; such as that to which, not only the bosom of your friend, but the feelings of the whole British nation bore evidence, in listening to the charge of the Begums in the prosecution of Warren Hastings.

In the national and state legislatures, as well as at the various bars in the United States, I have heard great volubility, much good sense, and some random touches of the pathetic; but in the same bodies, I have heard a far greater proportion of puerile rant, or tedious and disgusting inanity. Three remarks are true as to almost all their orators.

First, They have not a sufficient fund of general knowledge.

Secondly, They have not the habit of close and solid thinking.

133

Thirdly, They do not aspire at original ornaments.

From these three defects, it most generally results, that although they pour out, easily enough, a torrent of words, yet these are destitute of the light of erudition, the practical utility of just and copious thought, or those novel and beautiful allusions and embellishments, with which the very scenery of the country is so highly calculated to inspire them.

The truth is, my dear S . . ., that this scarcity of genuine and sublime eloquence, is not confined to the United States: instances of it in any civilized country have always been rare indeed. Mr. Blair is certainly correct in the opinion, that a state of nature is most favourable to the higher efforts of the imagination, and the more unrestrained and noble raptures of the heart. Civilization, wherever it has gained ground, has interwoven with society a habit of artificial and elaborate decorum, which mixes in every operation of life, deters the fancy from every bold enterprise, and buries nature under a load of hypocritical ceremonies. A man, therefore, in order to be eloquent, has to forget the habits in which he has been educated; and never will he touch his audience so exquisitely as when he 12 134 goes back to the primitive simplicity of the patriarchal age.

I have said that instances of genuine and sublime eloquence have always been rare in every civilized country. It is true that Tully and Pliny the younger have, in their epistles, represented Rome, in their respective days, as swarming with orators of the first class; yet from the specimens which they themselves have left us, I am led to entertain a very humble opinion of ancient eloquence.

Demosthenes we know has pronounced, not the chief, but the sole merit of an orator to consist in *delivery,* or as Lord Verulam translates it, in *action,* and, although I know that the world would proscribe it as a literary heresy, I cannot help believing Tully's merit to have been principally of that kind. For my own part, I confess very frankly, that I have never met with any thing of his, which has, according to my taste, deserved the name of superior

eloquence. His style, indeed, is pure, polished, sparkling, full and sonorous; and perhaps deserves all the encomiums which have been bestowed on it. But an oration, certainly, no more deserves the title of superior eloquence, because its style is ornamented, than the figure of an Apollo would 135 deserve the epithet of elegant, merely from the superior texture and flow of the drapery. In reading an oration, it is the mind to which I look. It is the expanse and richness of the conception itself, which I regard, and not the glittering tinsel wherein it may be attired. Tully's orations, examined in this spirit, have, with me, sunk far below the grade at which we have been taught to fix them.

It is true, that at school, I learned, like the rest of the world, to lisp, “Cicero the orator:” but when I grew up and began to judge for myself, I opened his volumes again and looked in vain for that sublimity of conception, which fills and astonishes the mind; that simple pathos which finds such a sweet welcome in every breast; or that resistless enthusiasm of unaffected passion, which takes the heart by storm. On the contrary, let me confess to you that, whatever may be the cause, to me he seemed cold and vapid, and uninteresting and tiresome: not only destitute of that compulsive energy of thought which we look for in a great man, but even void of the strong, rich and varied colouring of a superior fancy. His masterpiece of composition, his work, De Oratore, is, in my judgment, extremely light and unsubstantial; and in truth is little 136 more than a tissue of rhapsodies, assailing the ear indeed with pleasant sounds, but leaving few clear and useful traces on the mind. Plutarch speaks of his person as all grace, his voice as perfect music, his look and gesture as all alive, striking, dignified and peculiarly impressive; and I incline to the opinion, that to these theatrical advantages, connected with the just reliance which the Romans had in his patriotism and good judgment, their strong interest in the subjects discussed by him, and their more intimate acquaintance with the idiom of his language, his fame while living, arose; and that it has been since propagated by the schools on account of the classic purity and elegance of his style.

Many of these remarks are, in my opinion, equally applicable to Demosthenes. He deserves, indeed, the distinction of having more fire and less smoke than Tully. But in the

majestic march of the mind, in the force of thought, and splendour of imagery, I think, both the orators of Greece and Rome eclipsed by more than one person within his majesty's dominions.

Heavens! how should I be anathematized and excommunicated by every pedagogue in Great Britain, if these remarks were made public! Spirits of Car and of Ascham! have mercy upon 137 me! Wo betide the hand that plucks the wizard beard of hoary error! From lisping infancy to stooping age, the reproaches, the curses of the world shall be upon it! But to you, my dearest S . . . . . ., my friend, my preceptor, to you I disclose my opinions with the same freedom, and for the same purpose, that I would expose my wounds to a surgeon. To you, it is peculiarly proper that I should make my appeal on this subject; for when eloquence is the theme, your name is not far off.

Tell me then, you, who are capable of doing it, what is this divine eloquence. What the charm by which the orator binds the senses of his audience; by which he attunes and touches and sweeps the human lyre, with the resistless sway and master hand of a Timotheus? Is not the whole mystery comprehended in one word, SYMPATHY? I mean not merely that tender passion which quavers the lip and fills the eye of the babe when he looks on the sorrows and tears of another; but that still more delicate and subtile quality by which we passively catch the very colours, momentum and strength of the mind, to whose operations we are attending; which converts every speaker, to whom we listen, into a *Procrustes,* and enables him, for the moment, 12* 138 to stretch or lop our faculties to fit the standard of his own mind.

This is a very curious subject. I am sometimes half inclined to adopt the notion stated by our great Bacon in his original and masterly treatise on the advancement of learning "Fascination," says he, "is the power and act of imagination intensive upon other bodies than the body of the imaginant; wherein the school of Paracelsus and the disciples of pretended natural magic have been so intemperate, as that they have exalted the power of the imagination to be much one with the power of miracle-working faith: others that draw

nearer to probability, calling to their view the secret passages of things, and especially of the contagion that passeth from body to body, do conceive it should likewise be agreeable to nature, *that there should be some transmissions and operations from spirit to spirit, without the mediation of the senses;* whence the conceits have grown, now almost made civil, of the mastering spirit, and the force of confidence, and the like." This notion is further explained in his Sylva Sylvarum, wherein he tells a story of an Egyptian soothsayer, who made Mark Anthony believe that his genius, which was otherwise brave and confident, 139 was, in the presence of Octavianus Cæsar-poor and cowardly: and therefore he advised him to absent himself as much as he could, and remove far from him. It turned out, however, that this soothsayer was suborned by Cleopatra, who wished Anthony's company in Egypt.

Yet, if there be not something of this secret intercourse from spirit to spirit, how does it happen that one speaker shall gradually invade and benumb all the faculties of my soul as if I were handling a torpedo; while another shall awaken and arouse me, like the clangour of the martial trumpet? How does it happen that the first shall infuse his poor spirit into my system, lethargize my native intellects and bring down my powers exactly to the level of his own? or that the last shall descend upon me like an angel of light, breathe new energies into my frame, dilate my soul with his own intelligence, exalt me into a new and nobler region of thought, snatch me from the earth at pleasure, and rap me to the seventh heaven? And, what is still more wonderful, how does it happen that these different effects endure so long after the agency of the speaker has ceased? Insomuch, that if I sit down to any intellectual exercise, after listening to the first speaker, my performance shall be 140 unworthy even of me, and the num-fish visible and tangible in every sentence; whereas, if I enter on the same amusement, after having attended to the last mentioned orator, I shall be astonished at the elevation and vigour of my own thoughts; and if I meet, accidentally, with the same production, a month or two afterward, when my mind has lost the inspiration, shall scarcely recognise it for my own work.

Whence is all this? To me it would seem that it must proceed either from the subtile commerce between the spirits of men, which Lord Verulam notices, and which enables the speaker thereby to identify his hearer with himself; or else that the mind of man possesses, independently of any volition on the part of its proprietor, a species of pupillary faculty of dilating and contracting itself, in proportion to the pencil of the rays of light which the speaker throws upon it; which dilatation or contraction, as in the case of the eye, cannot be immediately and abruptly altered.

Whatever may be the solution, the fact, I think, is certainly as I have stated it. And it is remarkable that the same effect is produced, though perhaps in a less degree, by perusing books into which different degrees of spirit and 141 genius have been infused. I am acquainted with a gentlemen who never sits down to a composition, wherein he wishes to shine, without previously reading, with intense application, half a dozen pages of his favourite Bolingbroke. Having taken the character and impulse of that writer's mind, he declares that he feels his pen to flow with a spirit not his own; and that, if, in the course of his work, his powers begin to languish, he finds it easy to revive and charge them afresh from that same never-failing source.

If these things be not visionary, it becomes important to a man, for a new reason, what books he reads, and what company he keeps, since, according to Lord Verulam's notion, an influx of the spirits of others may change the native character of his heart and understanding, before he is aware of it; or, according to the other suggestion, he may so habitually contract the pupil of his mind, as to be disqualified for the comprehension of a great subject, and fit only for microscopic observations. Whereas by keeping the company and reading the works of men of magnanimity and genius only, he may receive their qualities by subtile transmission, and eventually, get the eyes, the ardour and the enterprise of an eagle.

142

But whither am I wandering? Permit me to return. Admitting the correctness of the principles formerly mentioned, it would seem to be a fair conclusion that whenever an orator wishes to know what effect he has wrought on his audience, he should coolly and conscientiously propound to himself this question: Have I, myself, throughout my oration, felt those clear and cogent convictions of judgment, and that pure and exalted fire of the soul, with which I wished to inspire others? For, he may rely on it, that he can no more impart (or to use Bacon's word, transmit) convictions and sensations which he himself has not, at the time, sincerely felt, than he can convey a clear title to property, in which he himself has no title.

This leads me to remark a defect which I have noticed more than once in this country. Following up too closely the cold conceit of the Roman division of an oration, the speakers set aside a particular part of their discourse, usually the peroration, in which, they take it into their heads that they will be pathetic. Accordingly when they reach this part, whether it be prompted by the feelings or not, a mighty bustle commences. The speaker pricks up his ears, erects his chest, tosses his arms with hysterical vehemence, 143 and says every thing which he supposes ought to affect his hearers; but it is all in vain; for it is obvious that every thing he says is prompted by the head; and, however it may display his ingenuity and fertility, however it may appeal to the admiration of his hearers, it will never strike deeper. The *hearts* of the audience will refuse all commerce except with the *heart* of the speaker; nor, in this commerce is it possible, by any disguise, however artful, to impose false ware on them. However the speaker may labour to seem to feel, however near he may approach to the appearance of the reality, the heart nevertheless possesses a keen unerring sense, which never fails to detect the imposture. It would seem as if the heart of man stamps a secret mark on all its effusions, which alone can give them currency, and which no ingenuity, however adroit, can successfully counterfeit.

I have been not a little diverted, here, in listening to some fine orators, who deal almost entirely in this pathos of the head. They practise the start, the pause—make an immense

parade of attitudes and gestures, and seem to imagine themselves piercing the heart with a thousand wounds. The heart all the time, developing every trick that is played to cajole 144 her, and sitting serene and composed, looks on and smiles at the ridiculous pageant as it passes.

Nothing can, in my opinion, be more ill-judged in an orator, than to indulge himself in this idle, artificial parade. It is particularly unfortunate in an exordium. It is as much as to say *caveat auditor;* and for my own part, the moment I see an orator rise with this menacing majesty; assume a look of solemn wisdom; stretch forth his right arm, like the *rubens dexter* of Jove; and hear him open his throat in deep and tragic tone; I feel myself involuntarily braced, and in an attitude of defence, as if I were going to take a bout with Mendoza.

The Virginians boast of an orator of nature, whose manner was the reverse of all this; and he is the only orator of whom they do boast, with much emphasis. I mean the celebrated Patrick Henry, whom I regret that I came to this country too late to see. I cannot, indeed, easily forgive him, even in the grave, his personal instrumentality in separating these fair colonies from Great Britain. Yet I dare not withhold from the memory of his talents, the tribute of respect to which they are so justly entitled.

I am told that his general appearance and manners were those of a plain farmer or planter 145 of the back country; that, in this character, he always entered on the exordium of an oration; disqualifying himself, with looks and expressions of humility so lowly and unassuming, as threw every heart off its guard and induced his audience to listen to him, with the same easy openness with which they would converse with an honest neighbour: but, by and by, when it was little expected, he would take a flight so high, and blaze with a splendour so heavenly, as filled them with a kind of religious awe, and gave him the force and authority of a prophet.

You remember this was the manner of Ulysses; commencing with the look depressed and hesitating voice. Yet I dare say Mr. Henry was directed to it, not by the example of Ulysses, of which it is very probable, that, at the commencement of his career, at least, he was entirely ignorant: but either that it was the genuine, trembling diffidence, without which, if Tully may be believed, a great orator never rises; or else that he was prompted to it by his own sound judgment and his intimate knowledge of the human heart.

I have seen the skeletons of some of his orations. The periods and their members are short, 13 146 quick, eager, palpitating, and are manifestly the extemporaneous effusions of a mind deeply convinced, and a heart inflamed with zeal for the propagation of those convictions. They afford, however, a very inadequate sample of his talents: the stenographer having never attempted to follow him, when he arose in the strength and awful majesty of his genius.

I am not a little surprised to find eloquence of this high order so negligently cultivated in the United States. Considering what a very powerful engine it is in a republic, and how peculiarly favourable to its culture the climate of republics has been always found, I expected to have seen in America more votaries to Mercury than even to Plutus. Indeed it would be so sure a road both to wealth and honours, that if I coveted either, and were an American, I would bend all my powers to its acquirement, and try whether I could not succeed as well as Demosthenes in vanquishing natural imperfections. Ah! my dear S . . . ., were you a citizen of this country! You, under the influence of whose voice a parliament of Great Britain has trembled and shuddered, while her refined and enlightened galleries have wept and fainted in the excess of feeling! what might you not accomplish? But, for the 147 honour of my country, I am much better pleased that you are a Briton.

On the subject of Virginian eloquence, you shall hear further from me. In the mean time adieu, my S . . . ., my friend, my father.

148

TO THE EDITOR OF THE VIRGINIA ARGUS.

Sir, As the theory of the earth derives importance from its dignity, if not from its utility, and has of late years given birth to many ingenious speculations, I shall offer no apology for troubling you with the following remarks, which were suggested by an essay, in last Wednesday's Argus, entitled “The British Spy.”

Sea shells and other marine productions, differing in no respect from those which now exist in their native element, have been found in every explored part of the globe. They are found, too, in the highest as well as in the lowest situations: on the loftiest mountains of Europe, and the still loftier Andes of South America. To go no farther from home, our own Alleghany abounds with them. How were these substances separated from their parent ocean? Do they still remain in their primitive beds? and has the water deserted them? or have they deserted the water? These questions, differently answered, give rise to different theories.

149

Among these theories, that of the Count de Buffon stand conspicuous. Adorned with all the graces of style, and borrowing a lustre from his other splendid productions, it has long had its full share of admirers. After exhibiting new proofs of a former submersion, in which he discovers great ingenuity, and is certainly entitled to great praise, he proceeds to account for the earth in its present form, by a natural operation of the ocean which covered it. This hypothesis, which the British Spy has partially adopted, is liable to many objections, which, to me at least, are insuperable. I will briefly notice some of the most obvious.

Although alluvion may account for small accessions of soil nearly on a level with the ocean, it cannot explain the formation of mountains. It is contrary to all the known laws of nature to suppose that a fluid could lift, so far above its own level, bodies many times heavier than itself.

Again, if the ocean, as Buffon maintains, have a tendency to wear away all points and eminences over which it passes, it would exert this tendency on the mountains itself had formed; or rather, it would prevent their formation. It is surely inconsistent to suppose the ocean would 13* 150 produce mountains, and at the same time wear away those that already existed. Indeed, the author himself seemed to be aware of the invincible objections to this part of his theory, and endeavours to evade their force by sinking a part of the earth, in the cavity occasioned by which, the superfluous waters find a sufficient receptacle; thus abandoning the agency of alluvion, and adopting a new and totally different hypothesis.

But while marine substances are found far *above* their proper element, vegetable bodies are often found far *below* the seat of their production. In Europe they often meet with wood, at great depths of the earth, in a state of perfect preservation; and in sinking wells, in this country, trunks of trees frequently obstruct the progress of the work. A Mr. Peters, of Harrison county, not long since, met with pieces of pine, twenty feet below the surface, on a hill of considerable elevation, and at a distance from any watercourse. In this town, leaves, believed to be those of the hazle, were found mingled with marine productions. These vegetable matters must have been once exposed to air, heat and light, to have attained the state in which they were found; and the same exposure would have afterwards caused their decay, unless their interment 151 had been sudden and complete. Bones, shells and other extraneous substances, are often found bedded in marble and other hard bodies; and I myself have seen a specimen of those human bones, which in the fortifications of Gibraltar are often found incorporated with the solid rock. What less than some great throe of nature, or some mighty agent, now dormant and unknown, could have produced the general *bouleversement* which these appearances indicate?

But the hypothetical reasoning of Monsieur de Buffon is founded on a fact no less hypothetical. The arguments in favour of a general current to the west, are, I confess, very cogent, and would be convincing but for the following difficulties:

1. If the operation of the sun and moon, in producing alternate elevations and depressions of the ocean, produce also a current, the force of this current will be in proportion to the mass of water thus raised and depressed. Now, contrary to the assertion of Buffon, the tides are highest in high latitudes, and gradually diminish towards the equator, where I believe they hardly exceed a foot. By the observations of Captain Cook, the same difference exists in the Pacific ocean as was long known in the Atlantic. If then 152 there be a general current to the west, it should be strongest in high latitudes and weakest under the line. But the contrary is the fact. No general current to the west is found without the tropics; and that which prevails irregularly between them is usually and rationally ascribed to the trade winds.

2. If this supposed current existed, its effect would be readily perceived by our navigators in the difference of their passages to and from Europe; but, the one before referred to excepted, they meet with nothing of the kind. A current, at the rate of one mile an hour, would make a difference of near two thousand miles between an ordinary voyage to and from Europe.

3. By actual observations, detailed in the second volume of the Philosophical Transactions, the prevailing currents about some islands in the Atlantic ocean are to the east. At Owhyhee, which lies within the tropics, and nearly in the middle of the Pacific ocean, Captain Cook observed the current to set, without any regularity, sometimes to the west and sometimes to the east.

4. But one argument may be deemed conclusive. The air is a fluid at least as sensible to the gravitating power of the planet as the ocean, and like that, must also have its tides. If, on 153 the one hand, the tides of the air are more liable to be disturbed by its compressibility, by partial rarefaction or condensation, its obstacles, on the other hand, to a free motion round the earth, are comparatively inconsiderable. Its course is somewhat impeded, but never arrested. If then such a general law existed, as is contended for, there would be, either a steady east wind, or greater flow of air from that quarter than from the

west, in every climate of the globe. But this is the case only between the tropics; and the prevalence of the east wind, in that region, has been almost universally ascribed to rarefaction by heat, since no other solution can account for the sea and land breezes, monsoons, and other phenomena of those climates.

From these considerations I am disposed to think, that there is no uniform current to the west; or that it is too inconsiderable to have any effect on the figure of the earth. Admitting the existence of a general current, it may be merely superficial. Currents, whose force gradually diminishes from the surface downwards, are known to exist; and the practice of seamen, when they wish “to try the current,” is evidently founded on the belief that they do not extend to great depths. The accession of water by the 154 tides is too small to require a general movement of the ocean to its bottom.

In weighing the probability of a general current to the west, I have confined myself to the operation of the tides; as the mere motion of the earth, either in its orbit, or on its axis, can have no possible effect this way. This motion is communicated to every part of the earth, whether solid or fluid; and while it continues equable, they are both affected alike, and their relative situations remain the same. So well established a principle must have been contested by the British Spy through mere inadvertence.

If, after all that has been said, arguments, in favour of a current from the surface to the bottom, be deemed conclusive, it is worth while to inquire into its probable effects.

The British Spy supposes that this general current enlarges both the eastern and western coasts of continents; in which hypothesis, he differs less from Buffon than that elegant but fanciful theorist differs from himself. For, in his theory on the formation of the planets, he advances that the ocean is continually wearing away the eastern coasts, and by a process, which he does not even hint at, enlarging the western; and that Asia is an older country than Europe. 155 But in a subsequent work, his Epochs, he maintains the direct

reverse, and mentions the abruptness of the western, and the greater number of islands of the eastern coasts, as evidences that the former have been abraded by the ocean.

But I find neither reasoning nor fact to warrant either of these conclusions. It has been observed that a shore forms a convex outline where it gains on the ocean, and a concave where it loses. On inspecting the map of the world, we perceive nothing which by this standard indicates a greater increase on one continent than on the other, or even any increase at all. We see no vast prominence of coast under the line; but on taking both shores of the ocean, *in both hemispheres,* into comparison, we find that the convexities on the western side are balanced by equal convexities on the eastern. Besides it is clear that in proportion as the contents of the ocean are cast on the land, in the same degree it becomes deeper, and its shores more steep and abrupt. This is as true of the ocean as it is of a ditch. By this increasing declivity of growing shores, the additional gravity to be overcome will, in time, check the alluvion of any current, however strong. An opposite equalizing tendency occurs, where the coast is worn away by 156 the ocean. Successive fragments of rocks and precipices, by sloping the shore, gradually abate the impetus of the waters, until the coast attains that due inclination by which the gravity to be overcome exactly counterbalances the projectile force of the ocean. Without doubt, small variations continually take place in the outline of all coasts; but the equilibrium for which I contend, is founded on correct principles; and every coast, whether eastern or western, approaches to that form, if it have not already attained it, when what it loses *by the ocean* will be precisely equal to what it gains.

It should be remarked that Buffon, in his last addition to his *Theorie,* conscious of the insufficiency of alluvion in the formation of continents, supposes that the cavities, with which the earth abounds, are continually falling in, and from the consequent retreat of the ocean, that continents are continually approximating. This conjecture certainly renders his theory more consistent; but it substitutes a cause for the immersion of the earth totally different from his first hypothesis of alluvion: and it has been that alone which I have considered. This last supposition is merely gratuitous; as neither observation nor history

afford us any proofs of the existence of these 157 immense caverns, or of any general retreat of the ocean.

For the reasons which I have given, and for many more, the theory of this celebrated naturalist has long been deemed both improbable and inadequate, and is now confined to the merit, (no small merit by the by,) of having collected valuable materials, and detected the fallacies of Burnet, Woodward and other dreamers on the subject. It has accordingly given place to new theories, more consistent at least, if not more satisfactory.

Volcanoes, and intense heat in the centre of the earth, the recrements of animals and vegetables, have been employed, as separate or joint agents, by the speculators on this curious subject. Dr. Hutton, by far the most celebrated of these, supposes the exuviæ of shell fish to have constituted the basis of the earth; and that it has assumed its present form and appearance by the fusion produced by the earth's internal heat. He supports this opinion by a train of elaborate reasoning, and a chemical examination of the bodies which compose the outer crust of the earth. I regret that I am acquainted with the work only at second hand. But I believe 14 158 that even this theory, ingenious and scientific as it is, gives little more general satisfaction than those which preceded it. It is, in common with the other late hypothesis, opposed by the fine reasoning of Buffon, in favour of the immediate action of water in producing the correspondent angles of mountains, their waving outline, parallel strata, &c., as well as by many of the facts I have glanced at; and it is, moreover, said to be contradicted by some chemical experiments, at once pertinent and clear.

On the whole, then, I fear we have not yet arrived at that certainty which will satisfy the inquirer who is neither enamoured with the fancies of his own brain, nor seduced by the eloquence of others; and therefore, to use the words of an elegant writer of our own country, who discovers the same acuteness, the same philosophic caution on this as on other occasions, “we must be contented to acknowledge that this great phenomenon is, yet, unsolved. Ignorance is preferable to error; and he is less remote from the truth who believes nothing, than he who believes what is wrong.”

Before we can obtain a sober conviction on the subject, or even properly compare the probability 159 of the respective theories, many questions now contested must be settled; new facts must be discovered; new powers of nature developed.

How far does the power of aqueous solution and of crystallization extend? Does the earth borrow all its heat from the sun? or has it a perennial source in its own bowels? are there general currents in the ocean? if so, what are their courses, periods and strength? It is clear that every rain that falls, every wind that blows, transports some portion of the earth we inhabit to the ocean. Is there any secret and magical process in nature, as some have supposed, by which this perpetual waste is perpetually repaired? and do mountains receive accessions by rain, by attraction, or any other mode equal to what they evidently lose? Again, water is converted into vegetables, vegetables into animals, and both of these again into earth. Is this same earth reconverted into water, and by one unvaried round of mutation, each preserved in its present proportion to all eternity?

Science, with an ardour of inquiry never before known, and a daily increase of materials, advances with hasty steps to answer these preliminary 160 questions; but till they are solved I incline to think that every theory is premature and shall, therefore, remain satisfied with the safe, but humble character of AN INQUIRER.

161

LETTER IV.

Richmond, September 22.

I have just returned, my dear S . . . . . ., from an interesting morning's ride. My object was to visit the site of the Indian town, Powhatan; which you will remember was the metropolis of the dominions of Pocahuntas's father, and, very probably, the birth-place of that celebrated princess.

The town was built on the river, about two miles below the ground now occupied by Richmond; that is, about two miles below the head of tide water. The land whereon it stood is, at present, part of a beautiful and valuable farm belonging to a gentleman by the name of William Mayo.

Aware of the slight manner in which the Indians have always constructed their habitations, I was not at all disappointed in finding no vestige of the old town. But as I traversed the ground over which Pocahuntas had so often bounded and frolicked in the sprightly morning 14* 162 of her youth, I could not help recalling the principal features of her history, and heaving a sigh of mingled pity and veneration to her memory.

Good Heaven! What an eventful life was hers! To speak of nothing else, the arrival of the English in her father's dominions must have appeared (as indeed it turned out to be) a most portentous phenomenon. It is not easy for us to conceive the amazement and consternation which must have filled her mind and that of her nation at the first appearance of our countrymen. Their great ship, with all her sails spread, advancing in solemn majesty to the shore; their complexion; their dress; their language; their domestic animals; their cargo of new and glittering wealth; and then the thunder and irresistible force of their artillery; the distant country announced by them, far beyond the great water, of which the oldest Indian had never heard, or thought, or dreamed—all this was so new, so wonderful, so tremendous, that I do seriously suppose, the personal descent of an army of Milton's celestial angels, robed in light, sporting in the bright beams of the sun and redoubling their splendour, making divine harmony with their golden harps, or playing with 163 the bolt and chasing the rapid lightning of heaven, would excite not more astonishment in Great Britain excite did the debarkation of the English among the aborigines of Virginia.

Poor Indians! Where are they now? Indeed, my dear S....., this is a truly afflicting consideration. The people here may say what they please; but, on the principles of eternal truth and justice, they have no right to this country. They say that they have bought it—

bought it! Yes;—of whom? Of the poor trembling natives who knew that refusal would be vain; and who strove to make a merit of necessity by seeming to yield with grace, what they knew that they had not the power to retain. Such a bargain might appease the conscience of a gentleman of the green bag, “worn and hackneyed” in the arts and frauds of his profession; but in heaven's chancery, my S....., there can be little doubt that it has been long since set aside on the ground of duress.

Poor wretches! No wonder that they are so implacably vindictive against the white people; no wonder that the rage of resentment is handed down from generation to generation; no wonder that they refuse to associate and mix permanently with their unjust and cruel invaders and 164 exterminators; no wonder that in the unabating spite and frenzy of conscious impotence, they wage an eternal war, as well as they are able; that they triumph in the rare opportunity of revenge; that they dance, sing and rejoice, as the victim shrieks and faints amid the flames, when they imagine all the crimes of their oppressors collected on his head, and fancy the spirits of their injured forefathers hovering over the scene, smiling with ferocious delight at the grateful spectacle, and feasting on the precious odour as it arises from the burning blood of the white man.

Yet the people, here, affect to wonder that the Indians are so very unsusceptible of civilization; or, in other words, that they so obstinately refuse to adopt the manners of the white men. Go, Virginians; erase, from the Indian nation, the tradition of their wrongs; make them forget, if you can, that once this charming country was theirs; that over these fields and through these forests their beloved forefathers, once, in careless gaiety, pursued their sports and hunted their game; that every returning day found them the sole, the peaceful, the happy proprietors of this extensive and beautiful domain. Make them forget, too, if you can, that in the midst 165 of all this innocence, simplicity and bliss—the white man came; and lo!—the animated chase, the feast, the dance, the song of fearless, thoughtless joy were over; that ever since, they have been made to drink of the bitter cup of humiliation; treated like dogs; their lives, their liberties, the sport of the white men; their country and the graves of their fathers torn from them, in cruel succession: until, driven

from river to river, from forest to forest, and through a period of two hundred years, rolled back, nation upon nation, they find themselves fugitives, vagrants and strangers in their own country, and look forward to the certain period when their descendants will be totally extinguished by wars, driven at the point of the bayonet into the western ocean, or reduced to a fate still more deplorable and horrid, the condition of slaves. Go, administer the cup of oblivion to recollections and anticipations like these, and then you will cease to complain that the Indian refuses to be civilized. But until then, surely it is nothing wonderful that a nation even yet bleeding afresh, from the memory of ancient wrongs, perpetually agonized by new outrages, and goaded into desperation and madness at the prospect of the certain ruin which awaits their descendants, should hate the 166 authors of their miseries, of their desolation, their destruction; should hate their manners, hate their colour, their language, their name, and every thing that belongs to them. No: never, until time shall wear out the history of their sorrows and their sufferings, will the Indian be brought to love the white man, and to imitate his manners.

Great God! To reflect, my S . . . . . ., that the authors of all these wrongs were our own countrymen, our forefathers, professors of the meek and benevolent religion of Jesus! Oh! it was impious; it was unmanly; poor and pitiful! Gracious heaven! what had these poor people done? The simple inhabitants of these peaceful plains, what wrong, what injury had they offered to the English? My soul melts with pity and shame.

As for the present inhabitants, it must be granted that they are comparatively innocent; unless indeed they also have encroached under the guise of treaties, which they themselves have previously contrived to render expedient or necessary to the Indians.

Whether this has been the case or not, I am too much a stranger to the interior transactions of this country to decide. But it seems to me 167 that were I a president of the United States, I would glory in going to the Indians, throwing myself on my knees before them, and saying to them, “Indians, friends, brothers, O! forgive my countrymen! Deeply have our forefathers wronged you; and they have forced us to continue the wrong. Reflect,

brothers; it was not our fault that we were born in your country; but now we have no other home; we have no where else to rest our feet. Will you not, then, permit us to remain? Can you not forgive even us, innocent as we are? If you can, O! come to our bosoms; be, indeed, our brothers; and since there is room enough for us all, give us a home in your land, and let us be children of the same affectionate family." I believe that a magnanimity of sentiment like this, followed up by a correspondent greatness of conduct on the part of the people of the United States, would go further to bury the tomahawk and produce a fraternization with the Indians, than all the presents, treaties and missionaries that can be employed; dashed and defeated as these latter means always are, by a claim of rights on the part of the white people which the Indians know to be false and baseless. Let me not be told that the Indians are too dark and fierce to be affected by generous 168 and noble sentiments. I will not believe it. Magnanimity can never be lost on a nation which has produced an Alknomok, a Logan, and a Pocahuntas.

The repetition of the name of this amiable princess brings me back to the point from which I digressed. I wonder that the Virginians, fond as they are of anniversaries, have instituted no festival or order in honour of her memory. For my own part, I have little doubt, from the histories which we have of the first attempts at colonizing their country, that Pocahuntas deserves to be considered as the patron deity of the enterprise. When it is remembered how long the colony struggled to get a footing; how often sickness or famine, neglect at home, mismanagement here, and the hostilities of the natives, brought it to the brink of ruin; through what a tedious lapse of time, it alternately languished and revived, sunk and rose, sometimes hanging like Addison's lamp, "quivering at a point," then suddenly shooting up into a sickly and short-lived flame; in one word, when we recollect how near and how often it verged towards total extinction, maugre the patronage of Pocahuntas; there is the strongest reason to believe that, but for her patronage, the anniversary cannon of the 169 Fourth of July would never have resounded throughout the United States.

Is it not probable, that this sensible and amiable woman, perceiving the superiority of the Europeans, foreseeing the probability of the subjugation of her countrymen, and

anxious as well to soften their destiny, as to save the needless effusion of human blood, desired, by her marriage with Mr. Rolfe, to hasten the abolition of all distinction between Indians and white men; to bind their interests and affections by the nearest and most endearing ties, and to make them regard themselves as one people, the children of the same great family? If such were her wise and benevolent views, and I have no doubt but they were, how poorly were they backed by the British court? No wonder at the resentment and indignation with which she saw them neglected; no wonder at the bitterness of the disappointment and vexation which she expressed to captain Smith, in London, arising as well from the cold reception which she herself had met, as from the contemptuous and insulting point of view in which she found that her nation was regarded.

Unfortunate princess! She deserved a happier fate! But I am consoled by these reflections: 15 170 first, that she sees her descendants among the most respectable families in Virginia; and that they are not only superior to the false shame of disavowing her as their ancestor; but that they pride themselves, and with reason too, on the honour of their descent; secondly, that she herself has gone to a country, where she finds her noble wishes realized; where the distinction of colour is no more; but where, indeed, it is perfectly immaterial “what complexion an Indian or an African sun may have burned” on the pilgrim.

Adieu, my dear S . . . . This train of thought has destroyed the tone of my spirits; when I recover them you shall hear further from me. Once more, adieu.

171

LETTER V.*

* The donee of the manuscript begs that he may not be considered as responsible for the accuracy with which certain characters are delineated in this letter. He selects it purely for the advantage which, he supposes, youthful readers may derive from the writer's reflections on the characters attempted to be drawn by him.

Richmond, September 23.

This town, my dear S . . . . ., is the residence of several conspicuous characters; some of whose names we have heard on the other side of the Atlantic. You shall be better acquainted with them before we finish this correspondence. For the present permit me to introduce to your acquaintance, the . . . . . of the commonwealth of Virginia, and the . . . . of the United States.

These gentlemen are eminent political opponents; the first belonging to the republican, the latter leading the van of the federal, party. Such is the interest which they both have in the confidence and affections of their respective parties, that it would be difficult, if not impossible, 172 for any Virginian to delineate either of their characters justly. Friendship or hostility would be almost sure to overcharge the picture. But for me, I have so little connexion with this country, or her concerns, either at present or in prospect, that I believe I can look on her most exalted characters without envy or prejudice of any kind; and draw them with the same cool and philosophic impartiality, as if I were a sojourner from another planet. If I fail in the delineation, the fault must be in the hand or in the head, in the pencil or the judgment: and not in any prepossession near my heart.

I choose to bring those two characters before you, together; because they exhibit, with great vivacity, an intellectual *phenomenon,* which I have noticed more than once before; and in the solution of which I should be pleased to see your pen employed: I mean the very different celerity in the movement of two sound minds, which on all subjects, wherein there is no mixture of party zeal, will ultimately come to the same just conclusion. What a pity it is, that Mr. Locke, while he was dissecting the human understanding, with such skill and felicity, did not advert to this characteristic variance in the minds of men. It would have been in his power, by developing 173 its causes either to point to the remedy if it exist at all, or to relieve the man of slow mind, from the labour of fruitless experiments, by showing the total impracticability of his cure. But, to our gentlemen; and in order that you

may know them the more intimately, I will endeavour to prefix to each character a portrait of the person.

The . . . . . of this commonwealth is the same . . . . . who was, not many years ago, the . . . . . at Paris. His present office is sufficient evidence of the estimation in which he is held by his native state. In his stature, he is about the middle height of men, rather firmly set, with nothing further remarkable in his person, except his muscular compactness and apparent ability to endure labour. His countenance, when grave, has rather the expression of sternness and irascibility; a smile however, (and a smile is not unusual with him in a social circle,) lights it up to very high advantage, and gives it a most impressive and engaging air of suavity and benevolence. Judging merely from his countenance, he is between the ages of forty-five and fifty years. His dress and personal appearance are those of a plain and modest gentleman. He is a man of soft, polite and even assiduous 15* 174 attentions; but these, although they are always well-timed, judicious, and evidently the offspring of an obliging and philanthropic temper, are never performed with the striking and captivating graces of a Marlborough or a Bolingbroke. To be plain, there is often in his manner an inartificial and even an awkward simplicity, which while it provokes the smile of a more polished person, forces him to the opinion that Mr. . . . . is a man of a most sincere and artless soul.

Nature has given him a mind neither rapid nor rich; and therefore, he cannot shine on a subject which is entirely new to him. But to compensate him for this, he is endued with a spirit of generous and restless emulation, a judgment solid, strong and clear, and a habit of application, which no difficulties can shake; no labours can tire.

With these aids simply, he has qualified himself for the first honours of this country; and presents a most happy illustration of the truth of the maxim, *Quisque, suœ fortunœ, faber.* For his emulation has urged him to perpetual and unremitting inquiry; his patient and unwearied industry has concentrated before him all the lights which others have thrown on the subjects of his consideration, together with all those which his own 175 mind, by

repeated efforts, is enabled to strike; while his sober, steady and faithful judgment has saved him from the common error of more quick and brilliant geniuses; the too hasty adoption of specious, but false conclusions.

These qualities render him a safe and an able counsellor. And by their constant exertion, he has amassed a store of knowledge, which, having passed seven times through the crucible, is almost as highly corrected as human knowledge can be; and which certainly may be much more safely relied on than the spontaneous and luxuriant growth of a more fertile, but less chastened mind—“a wild, where weeds and flowers promiscuous shoot.”

Having engaged very early, first in the life of a soldier, then of a statesman, then of a laborious practitioner of the law, and finally, again of a politician, his intellectual operations have been almost entirely confined to juridical and political topics. Indeed, it is easy to perceive, that the mind of a man, engaged in so active a life must possess more native suppleness, versatility and vigour, than that of Mr. . . . . ., to be able to make an advantageous tour of the sciences in the rare interval of importunate duties. It is possible that the early habit of contemplating 176 subjects as expanded as the earth itself, with all the relative interests of the great nations thereof, may have inspired him with an indifference, perhaps an inaptitude, for mere points of literature. Algernon Sidney has said that he deems all studies unworthy the serious regard of a man, except the study of the principles of just government; and Mr. . . . ., perhaps, concurs with our countryman in this as well as in his other principles. Whatever may have been the occasion, his acquaintance with the fine arts is certainly very limited and superficial; but, making allowances for his bias towards republicanism, he is a profound and even an eloquent statesmen.

Knowing him to be attached to that political party, who, by their opponents, are called sometimes democrats, sometimes jacobins; and aware also, that he was a man of warm and even ardent temper, I dreaded much, when I first entered his company, that I should have been shocked and disgusted with the narrow, virulent and rancorous invectives of

party animosity.* How agreeably, how delightfully, was I disappointed! Not one sentiment of intolerance polluted his lips. On the contrary, whether they

* The cloven foot of the Briton is visible; or, else, why from the premises could he have expected such a consequence?

177 be the offspring of rational induction, of the habit of surveying men and things on a great scale, of native magnanimity, or of a combination of all those causes, his principles, as far as they were exhibited to me, were forbearing, liberal, widely extended and great.

As the elevated ground, which he already holds, has been gained merely by the dint of application; as every new step which he mounts becomes a mean of increasing his powers still further, by opening a wider horizon to his view, and thus stimulating his enterprise afresh, reinvigorating his habits, multiplying the materials and extending the range of his knowledge; it would be matter of no surprise to me, if, before his death, the world should see him at the head of the American administration. So much for the . . . . . of the commonwealth of Virginia: a living, an honourable, an illustrious monument of self-created eminence, worth and greatness!

Let us now change the scene and lead forward a very different character indeed: a truant, but a highly favoured pupil of nature. It would seem as if this capricious goddess had finished the two characters, purely with the view of exhibiting a vivid contrast. Nor is this contrast confined to their minds.

178

The . . . . . of the United States is, in his person, tall, meager, emaciated; his muscles relaxed, and his joints so loosely connected, as not only to disqualify him, apparently, for any vigorous exertion of body, but to destroy every thing like elegance and harmony in his air and movements. Indeed, in his whole appearance, and demeanour; dress, attitudes, gesture; sitting, standing or walking; he is as far removed from the idolized graces of lord Chesterfield, as any other gentleman on earth. To continue the

portrait: his head and face are small in proportion to his height; his complexion swarthy; the muscles of his face, being relaxed, give him the appearance of a man of fifty years of age, nor can he be much younger ; his countenance has a faithful expression of great good humour and hilarity; while his black eyes—that unerring index—possess an irradiating spirit, which proclaims the imperial powers of the mind that sits enthroned within.

This extraordinary man, without the aid of fancy, without the advantages of person, voice, attitude, gesture, or any of the ornaments of an orator, deserves to be considered as one of the most eloquent men in the world; if eloquence may be said to consist in the power of seizing 179 the attention with irresistible force, and never permitting it to elude the grasp, until the hearer received the conviction which the speaker intends.

2

As to his person, it has already been described. His voice is dry, and hard; his attitude, in his most effective orations, was often extremely awkward; as it was not unusual for him to stand with his left foot in advance, while all his gesture proceeded from his right arm, and consisted merely in a vehement, perpendicular swing of it, from about the elevation of his head, to the bar, behind which he was accustomed to stand.

As to fancy, if she hold a seat in his mind at all, which I very much doubt, his gigantic genius tramples with disdain, on all her flower-decked plats and blooming parterres. How then, you will ask, with a look of incredulous curiosity, how is it possible that such a man can hold the attention of an audience enchained, through a speech of even ordinary length? I will tell you.

2

He possesses one original, and, almost, supernatural faculty; the faculty of developing a subject by a single glance of his mind, and detecting at once, the very point on which every controversy depends, No matter what 2 180 the question: though ten times more knotty than “the gnarled oak,” the lightning of heaven is not more rapid nor more resistless,

than his astonishing penetration. Nor does the exercise of it seem to cost him an effort. On the contrary, it is as easy as vision. I am persuaded that his eyes do not fly over a landscape and take in its various objects with more promptitude and facility, than his mind embraces and analyzes the most complex subject.

2

Possessing while at the bar this intellectual elevation, which enabled him to look down and comprehend the whole ground at once, he determined immediately and without difficulty, on which side the question might be most advantageously approached and assailed. In a bad cause his art consisted in laying his premises so remotely from the point directly in debate, or else in terms so general and so specious, that the hearer, seeing no consequence which could be drawn from them, was just as willing to admit them as not; but his premises once admitted, the demonstration, however distant, followed as certainly, as cogently, as inevitably, as any demonstration in Euclid.

All his eloquence consists in the apparently deep self-conviction, and emphatic earnestness 181 of his manner; the correspondent simplicity and energy of his style; the close and logical connexion of his thoughts; and the easy gradations by which he opens his lights on the attentive minds of his hearers.

The audience are never permitted to pause for a moment. There is no stopping to weave garlands of flowers, to hang in festoons, around a favourite argument. On the contrary, every sentence is progressive; every idea sheds new light on the subject; the listener is kept perpetually in that sweetly pleasurable vibration, with which the mind of man always receives new truths; the dawn advances in easy but unremitting peace; the subject opens gradually on the view; until, rising in high relief, in all its native colours and proportions, the argument is consummated, by the conviction of the delighted hearer.

The success of this gentleman has rendered it doubtful with several literary characters in this country, whether a high fancy be of real use or advantage to any one but a poet.

They contend, that although the most beautiful flights of the happiest fancy, interspersed through an argument, may give an audience the momentary delightful swell of admiration, the transient thrill 16 182 2 of divinest rapture; yet, that they produce no lasting effect in forwarding the purpose of the speaker: on the contrary, that they break the unity and disperse the force of an argument, which otherwise, advancing in close array, like the phalanx of Sparta, would carry every thing before it. They give an instance in the celebrated Curran; and pretend that his fine fancy, although it fires, dissolves and even transports his audience to a momentary frenzy, is a real and a fatal misfortune to his clients; as it calls off the attention of the jurors from the intrinsic and essential merits of the defence; eclipses the justice of the client's cause, in the blaze of the advocate's talents; induces a suspicion of the guilt which requires such a glorious display of refulgence to divert the inquiry; and substitutes a fruitless short-lived ecstasy, in the place of permanent and substantial conviction. Hence, they say, that the client of Mr. Curran is, invariably, the victim of the prosecution, which that able and eloquent advocate is employed to resist.

The doctrine, in the abstract, may be true, or, as doctor Doubty says, it may not be true; for the present, I will not trouble you with the expression of my opinion. I fear however, my dear S . . . . . ., that Mr. Curran's failures may 183 be traced to a cause very different from any fault either in the style or execution of his enchanting defences: a cause . . . . . but I am forgetting that this letter has yet to cross the Atlantic.*

* The sentiment, which is suppressed, seems to wear the livery of Bedford; Moria, and the Prince of Wales.

To return to the . . . . . of the United States. His political adversaries allege that he is a mere lawyer; that his mind has been so long trammelled by judicial precedent, so long habituated to the quart and tierce of forensic digladiation, (as doctor Johnson would probably have called it,) as to be unequal to the discussion of a great question of state. Mr. Curran, in his defence of Rowan, seems to have sanctioned the probability of such an effect from such a cause, when he complains of his own mind as having been narrowed

and circumscribed, by a strict and technical adherence to established forms; but in the next breath, an astonishing burst of the grandest thought, and a power of comprehension to which there seems to be no earthly limit, proves that his complaint, as it relates to himself, is entirely without foundation.

Indeed, if the objection to . . . . . mean any thing more than that he has not had 184 the same illumination and exercise in matters of state as if he had devoted his life to them, I am unwilling to admit it. The force of a cannon is the same, whether pointed at a rampart or a man of war, although practice may have made the engineer more expert in the one case than in the other. So it is clear, that practice may give a man a greater command over one class of subjects than another; but the in?erent energy of his mind remains the same, whither-soever it may be directed. From this impression I have never seen any cause to wonder at what is called a universal genius: it proves only that the man has applied a powerful mind to the consideration of a great variety of subjects, and pays a compliment rather to his superior industry, than his superior intellect. I am very certain that the gentleman of whom we are speaking, possesses the *acumen* which might constitute him a universal genius, according to the usual acceptation of the phrase. But if he be the truant, which his warmest friends represent him to be, there is very little probability that he will ever reach this distinction.

Think you, my dear S . . . . . ., that the two gentlemen, whom I have attempted to portray to you, were, according to the notion of 185 Helvetius, born with equal minds; and that accident or education has produced the striking difference which we perceive to exist between them? I wish it were the case; and that the . . . . . would be pleased to reveal to us, by what accident, or what system of education, he has acquired his peculiar sagacity and promptitude. Until this shall be done, I fear I must consider the hypothesis of Helvetius as a splendid and flattering dream.

But I the you:—adieu, for the present, friend and guardian of my youth.

186

LETTER VI.

Jamestown, September 27.

I have taken a pleasant ride of sixty miles down the river, in order, my dear S . . . ., to see the remains of the first English settlement in Virginia.

The site is a very handsome one. The river is three miles broad; and, on the opposite shore, the country presents a fine range of bold and beautiful hills. But I find no vestiges of the ancient town, except the ruins of a church steeple, and a disordered group of old tombstones. On one of these, shaded by the boughs of a tree, whose trunk has embraced and grown over the edge of the stone, and seated on the head-stone of another grave, I now address you.

What a moment for a lugubrious meditation among the tombs! but fear not; I have neither the temper nor the genius of a Hervey; and, as much as I revere his pious memory, I cannot envy him the possession of such a genius and such a temper. For my own part, I would not have suffered the mournful pleasure of writing 187 his book, and Doctor Young's Night Thoughts, for all the just fame which they have both gained by those celebrated productions. Much rather would I have danced and sung, and played the fiddle with Yorick, through the whimsical pages of Tristram Shandy: that book which every body justly censures and admires alternately; and which will continue to be read, abused and devoured, with ever fresh delight, as long as the world shall relish a joyous laugh, or a tear of the most delicious feeling.

By the by, here on one side is an inscription on a gravestone, which would constitute no bad theme for an occasional meditation from Yorick himself. The stone, it seems, covers the grave of a man who was born in the neighbourhood of London; and his epitaph concludes the short and rudely executed account of his birth and death, by declaring him to have been “a great sinner, in hopes of a joyful resurrection;” as if he had sinned with no

other intention, than to give himself a fair title to these exulting hopes. But awkwardly and ludicrously as the sentiment is expressed, it is in its meaning most just and beautiful; as it acknowledges the boundless mercy of Heaven, and glances at that divinely consoling proclamation, “come unto me, all 188 ye who are weary and heavy laden, and I will give you rest.”

The ruin of the steeple is about thirty feet high, and mantled, to its very summit, with ivy. It is difficult to look at this venerable object, surrounded as it is with these awful proofs of the mortality of man, without exclaiming in the pathetic solemnity of our Shakspeare, “The cloud-capt towers, the gorgeous palaces, The solemn temples, the great globe itself, Yea, all which it inherits, shall dissolve; And, like this insubstantial pageant faded, Leave not a wreck behind.”

Whence, my dear S . . . . ., arises the irrepressible reverence and tender affection with which I look at this broken steeple? Is it that my soul, by a secret, subtile process, invests the mouldering ruin with her own powers; imagines it a fellow being; a venerable old man, a Nestor, or an Ossian, who has witnessed and survived the ravages of successive generations, the companions of his youth, and of his maturity, and now mourns his own solitary and desolate condition, and hails their spirits in every passing cloud? Whatever may be the cause, as I look at it, I feel my 189 soul drawn forward, as by the cords of gentlest sympathy, and involuntarily open my lips to offer consolation to the drooping pile.

Where, my S . . . . ., is the busy, bustling crowd which landed here two hundred years ago? Where is Smith, that pink of gallantry, that flower of chivalry? I fancy that I can see their first, slow and cautious approach to the shore; their keen and vigilant eyes piercing the forest in every direction, to detect the lurking Indian, with his tomahawk, bow and arrow. Good Heavens! what an enterprise! how full of the most fearful perils! and yet how entirely profitless to the daring men who personally undertook and achieved it! Through what a series of the most spirit-chilling hardships, had they to toil! How often did they cast their eyes to England in vain! and with what delusive hopes, day after day, did the little,

famished crew strain their sight to catch the white sail of comfort and relief! But day after day, the sun set, and darkness covered the earth; but no sail of comfort or relief came. How often in the pangs of hunger, sickness, solitude and disconsolation, did they think of London; her shops, her markets groaning under the weight of plenty; her 190 streets swarming with gilded coaches, bustling hacks, with crowds of lords, dukes and commons, with healthy, busy, contented faces of every description; and among them none more healthy or more contented, than those of their ungrateful and improvident directors! But now—where are they, all? the little, famished colony which landed here, and the many-coloured crowd of London—where are they, my dear S. . . . . .? Gone, where there is no distinction; consigned to the common earth. Another generation succeeded them: which, just as busy and as bustling as that which fell before it has sunk down into the same nothingness, Another and yet another billow has rolled on, each emulating its predecessor in height; towering for its moment, and curling its foaming honours to the clouds; then roaring, breaking, and perishing on the same shore.

Is it not strange, that, familiarly and universally as these things are known, yet each generation is as eager in the pursuit of its earthly objects, projects its plans on a scale as extensive as and laborious in their execution, with a spirit as ardent and unrelaxing, as if this life and this world were to last for ever? It is, indeed, a most benevolent interposition of Providence, 191 that these palpable and just views of the vanity of human life are not permitted entirely to crush the spirits, and unnerve the arm of industry. But at the same time, methinks, it would be wise in man to permit them to have, at least, so much weight with him, as to prevent his total absorption by the things of this earth, and to point some of his thoughts and his exertions, to a system of being, far more permanent, exalted and happy. Think not this reflection too solemn. It is irresistibly inspired by the objects around me; and, as rarely as it occurs, (much too rarely,) it is most certainly and solemnly true, my S . . . . . .

It is curious to reflect, what a nation, in the course of two hundred years, has sprung up and flourished from the feeble, sickly germ which was planted here! Little did our

shortsighted court suspect the conflict which she was preparing for herself; the convulsive throe by which her infant colony would in a few years burst from her, and start into a political importance that would astonish the earth.

But Virginia, my dear S . . . . . ., as rapidly as her population and her wealth must continue to advance, wants one most important source of solid grandeur; and that, too, the animating 192 soul of a republic. I mean, public spirit; that sacred *amor patriœ* which filled Greece and Rome with patriots, heroes and scholars.

There seems to me to be but one object throughout the state; *to grow rich:* a passion which is visible, not only in the walks of private life, but which has crept into and poisoned every public body in the state. Indeed, from the very genius of the government, by which all the public characters are, at short periodical elections, evolved from the body of the people, it cannot but happen, that the councils of the state must take the impulse of the private propensities of the country. Hence, Virginia exhibits no great public improvements; hence, in spite of her wealth, every part of the country manifests her sufferings, either from the penury of her guardians, or their want of that attention and noble pride, wherewith it is their duty to consult her appearance. Her roads and highways are frequently impassable, sometimes frightful; the very few public works which have been set on foot, instead of being carried on with spirit, are permitted to languish and pine and creep feebly along, in such a manner, that the first part of an edifice grows grey with age, and almost tumbles in ruins, before the 193 last part is lifted from the dust; highest officers are sustained with so avaricious, so niggardly a hand, that if they are not driven to subsist on roots, and drink ditch-water, with old Fabricius, it is not for the want of republican economy in the projectors of the salaries; and, above all, the general culture of the human mind, that best cure for the aristocratic distinctions which they profess to hate, that best basis of the social and political equality, which they profess to love: this culture, instead of becoming a national care, is intrusted merely to such individuals, as hazard, indigence, misfortunes or crimes, have forced from their native Europe to seek an asylum and bread in the wilds of America.

They have only one public seminary of learning: a college in Williamsburg, about seven miles from this place, which was erected in the reign of our William and Mary, derives its principal support from their munificence, and therefore very properly bears their names. This college, in the fastidious folly and affectation of republicanism, or what is worse, in the niggardly spirit of parsimony which they dignify with the name of economy, these democrats have endowed with a few despicable 17 194 fragments of surveyors' fees, &c., thus converting their national academy into a mere *lazaretto,* and feeding its polite, scientific, and highly respectable professors, like a band of beggars, on the scraps and crumbs that fall from the financial table. And, then, instead of aiding and energizing the police of the college, by a few civil regulations, they permit their youth to run riot in all the wildness of dissipation; while the venerable professors are forced to look on, in the deep mortification of conscious impotence, and see their care and zeal requited, by the ruin of their pupils and the destruction of their seminary.

These are points which, at present, I can barely touch; when I have an easier seat and writing desk, than a grave and a tombstone, it will give me pleasure to dilate on them; for, it will afford an opportunity of exulting in the superiority of our own energetic monarchy, over this republican body without a soul.*

* British insolence! Yet it cannot be denied, however painful the admission, that there is some foundation for his censures.

For the present, my dear S . . . . . ., I bid you adieu.

195

LETTER VII.

Richmond, October 10.

I Have been, my dear S . . . . . ., on an excursion through the countries which lie along the eastern side of the Blue Ridge. A general description of that country and its inhabitants

may form the subject of a future letter. For the present, I must entertain you with an account of a most singular and interesting adventure, which I met with, in the course of the tour.

It was one Sunday, as I travelled through the county of Orange, that my eye was caught by a cluster of horses tied near a ruinous, old, wooden house, in the forest, not far from the road side. Having frequently seen such objects before, in travelling through these states, I had no difficulty in understanding that this was a place of religious worship.

Devotion alone should have stopped me, to join in the duties of the congregation; but I must confess, that curosity, to hear the preacher of such a wilderness, was not the least of my 196 motives. On entering, I was struck with his preternatural appearance, he was a tall and very spare old man; his head, which was covered with a white linen cap, his shrivelled hands, and his voice, were all shaking under the influence of a palsy; and a few moments ascertained to me that he was perfectly blind.

The first emotions which touched my breast, were those of mingled pity and veneration. But ah! sacred God! how soon were all my feelings changed! The lips of Plato were never more worthy of a prognostic swarm of bees, than were the lips of this holy man! It was a day of the administration of the sacrament; and his subject, of course, was the passion of our Saviour. I had heard the subject handled a thousand times: I had thought it exhausted long ago. Little did I suppose, that in the wild woods of America, I was to meet with a man whose eloquence would give to this topic a new and more sublime pathos, than I had ever before witnessed.

As he descended from the pulpit, to distribute the mystic symbols, there was a peculiar, a more than human solemnity in his air and manner which made my blood run cold, and my whole frame shiver.

197

He then drew a picture of the sufferings of our Saviour; his trial before Pilate; his ascent up Calvary; his crucifixion, and his death. I knew the whole history; but never, until then, had I heard the circumstances so selected, so arranged, so coloured! It was all new: and I seemed to have heard it for the first time in my life. His enunciation was so deliberate, that his voice trembled on every syllable; and every heart in the assembly trembled in unison. His peculiar phrases had that force of description that the original scene appeared to be, at that moment, acting before our eyes. We saw the very faces of the Jews: the staring, frightful distortions of malice and rage. We saw the buffet; my soul kindled with a flame of indignation; and my hands were involuntarily and convulsively clinched.

But when he came to touch on the patience, the forgiving meekness of our Saviour; when he drew, to the life, his blessed eyes streaming in tears to heaven; his voice breathing to God, a soft and gentle prayer of pardon on his enemies, “Father, forgive them, for they know not what they do”—the voice of the preacher, which had all along faltered, grew fainter and fainter, until his utterance being entirely obstructed 17* 198 by the force of his feelings, he raised his handkerchief to his eyes, and burst into a loud and irrepressible flood of grief. The effect is inconceivable. The whole house resounded with the mingled groans, and sobs, and shrieks of the congregation.

It was some time before the tumult had subsided, so far as to permit him to proceed. Indeed, judging by the usual, but fallacious standard of my own weakness, I began to be very uneasy for the situation of the preacher. For I could not conceive, how he would be able to let his audience down from the height to which he had wound them, without impairing the solemnity and dignity of his subject, or perhaps shocking them by the abruptness of the fall. But—no; the descent was as beautiful and sublime, as the elevation had been rapid and enthusiastic.

The first sentence, with which he broke the awful silence, was a quotation from Rousseau, “Socrates died like a philosopher, but Jesus Christ, like a God!”

I despair of giving you any idea of the effect produced by this short sentence, unless you could perfectly conceive the whole manner of the man, as well as the peculiar crisis in the 199 discourse. Never before, did I completely understand what Demosthenes meant by laying such stress on *delivery.* You are to bring before you the venerable figure of the preacher; his blindness, constantly recalling to your recollection old Homer, Ossian and Milton, and associating with his performance, the melancholy grandeur of their geniuses; you are to imagine that you hear his slow, solemn, well-accented enunciation, and his voice of affecting, trembling melody; you are to remember the pitch of passion and enthusiasm to which the congregation were raised; and then, the few minutes of portentous, death-like silence which reigned throughout the house; the preacher removing his white handkerchief from his aged face, (even yet wet from the recent torrent of his tears,) and slowly stretching forth the palsied hand which holds it, begins the sentence, “Socrates died like a philosopher”—then pausing, raising his other hand, pressing them both clasped together, with warmth and energy to his breast, lifting his “sightless balls” to heaven, and pouring his whole soul into his tremulous voice—“but Jesus Christ—like a God!” If he had been indeed and in 200 truth an angel of light, the effect could scarcely have been more divine.

Whatever I had been able to conceive of the sublimity of Massillon, or the force of Bourdaloue, had fallen far short of the power which I felt from the delivery of this simple sentence. The blood, which just before had rushed in a hurricane upon my brain, and, in the violence and agony of my feelings, had held my whole system in suspense, now ran back into my heart, with a sensation which I cannot describe—a kind of shuddering delicious horror! The paroxysm of blended pity and indignation, to which I had been transported, subsided into the deepest self-abasement, humility and adoration. I had just been lacerated and dissolved by sympathy, for our Saviour as a fellow creature; but now, with fear and trembling, I adored him as—“a God!”

If this description give you the impression, that this incomparable minister had any thing of shallow, theatrical trick in his manner, it does him great injustice. I have never seen, in any other orator, such a union of simplicity and majesty. He has not a gesture, an attitude or an accent, to which he does not seem forced, by the sentiment which he is expressing. 201 His mind is too serious, too earnest, too solicitous, and, at the same time, too dignified, to stoop to artifice. Although as far removed from ostentation as a man can be, yet it is clear from the train, the style and substance of his thoughts, that he is, not only a very polite scholar, but a man of extensive and profound erudition. I was forcibly struck with a short, yet beautiful character which he drew of our learned and amiable countryman, Sir Robert Boyle: he spoke of him, as if “his noble mind had, even before death, divested herself of all influence from his frail tabernacle of flesh;” and called him, in his peculiarly emphatic and impressive manner, “a pure intelligence: the link between men and angels.”

This man has been before my imagination almost ever since. A thousand times, as I rode along, I dropped the reins of my bridle, stretched forth my hand, and tried to imitate his quotation from Rousseau; a thousand times I abandoned the attempt in despair, and felt persuaded that his peculiar manner and power arose from an energy of soul, which nature could give, but which no human being could justly copy. In short, he seems to be altogether a being of a former age, or of a totally different 202 nature from the rest of men. As I recall, at this moment, several of his awfully striking attitudes, the chilling tide, with which my blood begins to pour along my arteries, reminds me of the emotions produced by the first sight of Gray's introductory picture of his bard:

“On a rock, whose haughty brow, Frowns o'er old Conway's foaming flood, Robed in the sable garb of wo, With haggard eyes the poet stood; (Loose his beard and hoary hair Streamed, like a meteor, to the troubled air:) And with a poet's hand and prophet's fire, Struck the deep sorrows of his lyre.”

Guess my surprise, when, on my arrival at Richmond, and mentioning the name of this man, I found not one person who had ever before heard of *James Waddell!!* Is it not

strange, that such a genius as this, so accomplished a scholar, so divine an orator, should be permitted to languish and die in obscurity, within eighty miles of the metropolis of Virginia? To me it is a conclusive argument, either that the Virginians have no taste for the highest strains of the most sublime oratory, or that they are destitute of a much more important 203 quality, the love of genuine and exalted religion.

Indeed, it is too clear, my friend, that this soil abounds more in weeds of foreign birth, than in good and salubrious fruits. Among others, the noxious weed of infidelity has struck a deep, a fatal root, and spread its pestilential branches far around. I fear that our eccentric and fanciful countryman, Godwin, has contributed not a little to water and cherish this pernicious exotic. There is a novelty, a splendour, a boldness in his scheme of morals, peculiarly fitted to captivate a youthful and ardent mind. A young man feels his delicacy flattered, in the idea of being emancipated from the old, obsolete and vulgar motives of moral conduct; and acting correctly from motives quite new, refined and sublimated in the crucible of pure, abstracted reason. Unfortunately, however, in this attempt to change the motives of his conduct, he loses the old ones, while the new, either from being too etherial and sublime, or from some other want of congeniality, refuse to mix and lay hold of the gross materials of his nature. Thus he becomes emancipated indeed; discharged not only from ancient and vulgar shackles; but 204 also, from the modern, finespun, tinselled restraints of his divine Godwin. Having imbibed the high spirit of literary adventure, he disdains the limits of the moral world; and advancing boldly to the throne of God, he questions him on his dispensations, and demands the reasons of his laws. But the counsels of heaven are *above* the ken, *not contrary to* the voice of human reason; and the unfortunate youth, unable to reach and measure them, recoils from the attempt, with melancholy rashness, into infidelity and deism. Godwin's glittering theories are on his lips. Utopia or Mezorania, boast not of a purer moralist, *in words,* than the young Godwinian; but the unbridled licentiousness of *his conduct* makes it manifest, that if Godwin's principles be true in the abstract, they are not fit for this system of things; whatever they might be in the republic of Plato.

From a life of inglorious indolence, by far too prevalent among the young men of this country, the transition is easy and natural to immorality and dissipation. It is at this giddy period of life, when a series of dissolute courses have debauched the purity and innocence of the heart, shaken the pillars of the 205 understanding, and converted her sound and wholesome operations into little more than a set of feverish starts and incoherent and delirious dreams; it is in such a situation that a new-fangled theory is welcomed as an amusing guest, and deism is embraced as a balmy comforter against the pangs of an offended conscience. This coalition, once formed and habitually consolidated, “farewell, a long farewell” to honour, genius and glory! From such a gulf of complicated ruin, few have the energy even to attempt an escape. The moment of cool reflection, which should save them, is too big with horror to be endured. Every plunge is deeper, until the tragedy is finally wound up by a pistol or a halter. Do not believe that I am drawing from fancy: the picture is unfortunately true. Few dramas, indeed, have yet reached their catastrophe; but, too many are in a rapid progress toward it.

These thoughts are affecting and oppressive. I am glad to retreat from them, by bidding you adieu; and offering my prayers to heaven, that you may never, lose the pure, the genial consolations of unshaken faith, and an approving conscience. Once more, my dear S . . . . . ., adieu. 18

206

LETTER VIII.

Richmond, October 15.

Men of talents in this country, my dear S . . . . . ., have been generally bred to the profession of the law; and indeed, throughout the United States, I have met with few persons of exalted intellect, whose powers have been directed to any other pursuit. The bar, in America is the road to honour; and hence, although the profession is greed by the most shining geniuses on the continent, it is incumbered also by a melancholy group of

young men, who hang on the rear of the bar, like Goethe's sable clouds in the western horizon. I have been told that the bar of Virginia was, a few years ago, pronounced by the supreme court of the United States, to be the most enlightened and able on the continent. I am very incompetent to decide on the merit of their legal acquirements; but, putting aside the partiality of a Briton, I do not think either of the gentlemen by any means so eloquent or 207 so erudite as our countryman Erskine. With your permission, however, I will make you better acquainted with the few characters who lead the van of the profession.

Mr. . . . . has great personal advantages. A figure large and portly; his features uncommonly fine; his dark eyes and his whole countenance lighted up with an expression of the most conciliating sensibility; his attitudes dignified and commanding; his gesture easy and graceful; his voice perfect harmony; and his whole manner that of an accomplished and engaging gentleman. I have reason to believe that the expression of his countenance does no more than justice to his heart. If I be correctly informed, his feelings are exquisite; and the proofs of his benevolence are various and clear beyond the possibility of doubt. He has filled the highest offices in this commonwealth and has very long maintained a most respectable rank in his profession. His character, with the people, is that of a great lawyer and an eloquent speaker; and, indeed, so many men of discernment and taste entertain this opinion, and my prepossessions in his favour are so strong, on account of the amiable qualities of his character, that I am very well disposed 208 to doubt the accuracy of my own judgment as it relates to him.

To me, however, it seems, that his mind, as is often but not invariably the case, corresponds with his personal appearance: that is, that it is turned rather for ornament than for severe use: *pompœ, quam pugnœ aptior,* as Tully expresses it. His speeches, I think, deserve the censure which lord Verulam pronounces on the writers posterior to the reformation of the church. “Luther,” says he, “standing alone, against the church of Rome, found it necessary to awaken all antiquity in his behalf: this introduced the study of the dead languages, a taste for the fulness of the Ciceronean manner; and hence the still prevalent error of hunting more after words than matter, and more after the choiceness of

the phrase and the round and clean composition of the sentence, and the sweet fallings of the clauses, and the varying and illustration of their works with tropes and figures, than after the weight of matter, worth of subject, soundness of argument, life of invention, or depth of judgment."

Mr. . . . . 's temper and habits lead him to the swelling, stately manner of Bolingbroke; 209 but either from the want of promptitude and richness of conception, or his too sedulous concern and "hunting after words," he does not maintain that manner, smoothly and happily. On the contrary, the spirits of his hearers, after having been awakened and put into sweet and pleasant motion, have their tide, not unfrequently checked, ruffled and painfully obstructed by the hesitation and perplexity of the speaker. It certainly must demand, my dear S . . . . ., a mind of very high powers to support the swell of Bolingbroke, with felicity. The tones of voice, which naturally belong to it, keep the expectation continually "on tiptoe," and this must be gratified not only by the most oily fluency, but by a course of argument clear as light, and an alternate play of imagination as grand and magnificent as Herschell's dance of the sidereal system. The work requires to be perpetually urged forward. One interruption in the current of the language, one poor thought or abortion of fancy, one vacant aversion of the eye, or relaxation in the expression of the face, entirely breaks and dissolves the whole charm. The speaker, indeed, may go on and evolve, here and there, a pretty 18* 210 thought; but the wondrous magic of the whole is gone for ever.

Whether it be from any defect in the organization of Mr. . . . . .'s mind, or that his passion for the fine dress of his thoughts is the master passion, which, "like Aaron's serpent, swallows up the rest," I will not undertake to decide; but perhaps it results from one of those two causes, that all the arguments, which I have ever heard from him, are defective in that important and most material character, the *lucidus ordo.*

I have been sometimes inclined to believe, that a man's division of his argument would be generally found to contain a secret history of the difficulties which he himself has

encountered in the investigation of his subject. I am firmly persuaded that the extreme prolixity of many discourses to which we are doomed to listen, is chargeable, not to the fertility, but to the darkness and impotence of the brain which produces them. A man, who sees his object in a strong light, marches directly up to it, in a right line, with the firm step of a soldier; while another, residing in a less illumined zone, wanders and reels in the twilight of the brain, and ere he attain his object, treads a 211 maze as intricate and perplexing as that of the celebrated labyrinth of Crete.

It was remarkable of the . . . . . of the United States, whom I mentioned to you in a former letter as looking through a subject at a single glance, that he almost invariably seized one strong point only, the pivot of the controversy; this point he would enforce with all his powers, never permitting his own mind to waver, nor obscuring those of his hearers, by a cloud of inferior, unimportant considerations. But this is not the manner of Mr. . . . . I suspect, that in the preparatory investigation of a subject, he gains his ground by slow and laborious gradations; and that his difficulties are numerous and embarrassing. Hence it is, perhaps, that his points are generally too multifarious; and although, among the rest, he exhibits the strong point, its appearance is too often like that of Issachar, “bow'd down between two burthens.” I take this to be a very ill-judged method. It may serve indeed to make the multitude stare; but it frustrates the great purpose of the speaker. Instead of giving a simple, lucid and animated view of a subject, it overloads, confounds and fatigues the listener. Instead of leaving him under the vivacity of 212 clear and full conviction, it leaves him bewildered, darkling, asleep; and when he awakes, he —“wakes, emerging from a sea of dream Tumultuous; where his wreck'd, desponding thought, From wave to wave of wild uncertainty, At random drove,—her helm of reason lost.”

I incline to believe that if there be a blemish in the mind of this amiable gentleman, it is the want of a strong and masculine judgment. If such an agent had wielded the sceptre of his understanding, it is presumable, that, ere this, it would have chastised his exuberant fondness for literary finery, and the too ostentatious and unfortunate parade of points in his argument, on which I have just commented. If I may confide in the replies which I

have heard given to him at the bar, this want of judgment is sometimes manifested in his selection and application of law cases. But of this I can judge only from the triumphant air with which his adversaries seize his cases and appear to turn them against him.

He is certainly a man of close and elaborate research. It would seem to me, however, my dear S . . . . . ., that in order to constitute a scientific lawyer, something more is necessary 213 than the patient and persevering revolution of the leaves of the author. Does it not require a discernment sufficiently clear and strong to eviscerate the principles of each case; a judgment potent enough to digest, connect and systematize them, and to distinguish, at once, in any future combination of circumstances, the very feature which gives or refuses to a principle, a just application? Without such intellectual properties, I should conjecture, (for on this subject I can only conjecture,) that a man could not have the fair advantage and perfect command of his reading. For, in the first place, I should apprehend, that he would never discover the application of a case, without the recurrence of all the same circumstances; in the next place, that his cases would form a perfect chaos, a *rudis indigestaque moles,* in his brain; and lastly, that he would often and sometimes perhaps fatally mistake the identifying feature, and furnish his antagonist with a formidable weapon against himself.

But let me fly from this entangled wilderness, of which I have so little knowledge, and return to Mr. . . . . Although when brought to the standard of perfect oratory, he may be subject to the censures which I have passed 214 on him; yet it is to be acknowledged, and I make the acknowledgment with pleasure, that he is a man of extensive reading, a well-informed lawyer, a fine *belles lettres* scholar, and sometimes a beautiful speaker.

The gentleman who has been pointed out to me as holding the next if not an equal grade in the profession, is Mr. . . . . He is, I am told, upwards of forty years of age; but his look, I think, is more juvenile. As to stature, he is about the ordinary height of men; his form genteel, his person agile. He is distinguished by a quickness of look, a sprightly step, and that peculiarly jaunty air, which I have heretofore mentioned, as characterizing the people

of New-York. It is an air, however, which, (perhaps, because I am a plain son of *John Bull,*) is not entirely to my taste. Striking, indeed, it is; highly genteel, and calculated for *eclat;* but then, I fear, that it may be censured as being to artificial: as having, therefore, too little appearance of connexion with the heart; too little of that amiable simplicity, that winning softness, that vital warmth, which I have felt in the manner of a certain friend of mine. This objection, however, is not meant to touch his heart. I do not mean 215 to censure his sensibility or his virtues. The remark applies only to the mere exterior of *his manners;* and even the censure which I have pronounced on *that,* is purely the result of a different taste, which is, at least, as probably wrong as that of Mr. . . . . .

Indeed, my dear S . . . . ., I have seen few eminent men in this or any other country, who have been able so far to repress the exulting pride of conscious talents, as to put on the behaviour which is calculated to win the hearts of the people. I mean that behaviour, which steers between a low-spirited, cringing sycophancy and ostentatious condescension on the one hand, and a haughty self-importance and supercilious contempt of one's fellow creatures on the other; that behaviour, in which, while a man displays a just respect for his own feelings and character, he seems, nevertheless, to concentre himself with the disposition and inclination of the person to whom he speaks; in a word, that happy behaviour, in which versatility and candour, modesty and dignity, are sweetly and harmoniously tempered and blended. Any Englishman, but yourself, my S . . . . ., would easily recognize the original from which this latter picture is drawn.

216

This leads me off from the character of Mr. . . . . ., to remark a moral defect, which I have several times observed in this country. Many well meaning men, having heard much of the hollow, ceremonious professions and hypocritical grimace of courts; disgusted with every thing which savours of aristocratic or monarchic parade; and smitten with the love of republican simplicity and honesty; have fallen into a ruggedness of deportment, a thousand times more proud, more intolerable and disgusting, than Shakspeare's foppish lord, with his chin new reaped and pouncet box. They scorn to conceal their thoughts; and

in the expression of them confound bluntness with honesty. Their opinions are all *dogmas.* It is perfectly immaterial to them what any one else may think. Nay, many of them seem to have forgotten, that others can think, or feel at all. In pursuit of the haggard phantom of republicanism,* they dash on, like Sir Joseph Banks, giving chase to the emperor of Morocco, regardless of the sweet and tender blossoms of sensibility, which fall and bleed, and

* This phrase is scarcely excusable, even in a Briton and a lord.

217 die behind them. What an error is this, my dear S . . . . . .! I am frequently disposed to ask such men, “think you, that the stem and implacable Achilles was an honester man than the gentle, humane and considerate Hector? Was the arrogant and imperious Alexander an honester man than the meek, compassionate, and amiable Cyrus? Was the proud, the rough, the surly Cato, more honest than the soft, polite and delicate Scipio Africanus? In short, are not honesty and humanity compatible? And what is the most genuine and captivating politeness, but humanity refined?”

But to return from this digression. The qualities, by which Mr. . . . . strikes the multitude, are his ingenuity and his wit. But those, who look more closely into the anatomy of his mind, discover many properties of much higher dignity and importance. This gentleman, in my opinion, unites in himself a greater diversity of talents and acquirements, than any other at the bar of Virginia. He has the reputation, and I doubt not a just one, of possessing much legal science. He has an exquisite and a highly cultivated taste for polite literature; a genius quick and fertile; a style pure and classic; a stream of perspicuous and beautiful 19 218 elocution; an ingenuity which no difficulties can entangle or embarrass; and a wit, whose vivid and brilliant coruscation, can gild and decorate the darkest subject. He chooses his ground, in the first instance with great judgment; and when, in the progress of a cause, an unexpected evolution of testimony, or intermediate decisions from the bench, have beaten that ground from under him, he possesses a happy, an astonishing versatility, by which he is enabled at once, to take a new position, without appearing to have lost an atom, either in the measure or stability of his basis. This is a faculty which I have observed

before in an inferior degree; but Mr. . . . . is so adroit, so superior in the execution of it, that in him it appears a new and peculiar talent; his statements, his narrations, his arguments, are all as transparent as the light of day. He reasons logically, and declaims very handsomely. It is true, he never brandishes the Olympic thunder of Homer, but then he seldom, if ever, sinks beneath the chaste and attractive majesty of Virgil.

His fault is, that he has not veiled his ingenuity with sufficient address. Hence, I am told, that he is considered as a Proteus; and the 219 courts are disposed to doubt their senses even when he appears in his proper shape. But in spite of this adverse and unpropitious distrust, M . . . . .'s popularity is still in its flood; and he is justly considered as an honour and an ornament to his profession.

Adieu, my friend, for the present. Ere long we may take another tour through this gallery of portraits, if more interesting objects do not call us off. Again, my S . . . . ., good night.

220

LETTER IX.

Richmond, October 30.

Talents, my dear S . . . . ., wherever they have had a suitable theatre, have never failed to emerge from obscurity and assume their proper rank in the estimation of the world. The celebrated Camden is said to have been the tenant of a garret. Yet from the darkness, poverty and ignominy, of this residence, he advanced to distinction and wealth, and graced the first offices and titles of our island. It is impossible to turn over the British biography, without being struck and charmed by the multitude of correspondent examples; a venerable group of *novi homines,* as the Romans called them; men, who, from the lowest depths of obscurity and want, and without even the influence of a patron, have risen to the first honours of their country, and founded their own families anew. In every nation, and in every age, great talents, thrown fairly into the point of pubic observation, will invariably produce 221 the same ultimate effect. The jealous pride of power may attempt

to repress and crush them; the base and malignant rancour of impotent spleen and envy may strive to embarrass and retard their flight; but these efforts, so far from achieving their ignoble purpose, so far from producing a discernible obliquity in the ascent of genuine and vigorous talents, will serve only to increase their momentum and mark their transit with an additional stream of glory.

When the great earl of Chatham first made his appearance in our house of commons, and began to astonish and transport the British parliament, and the British nation, by the boldness, the force and range of his thoughts, and the celestial fire and pathos of his eloquence, it is well known, that the minister Walpole, and his brother Horace, (from motives very easily understood,) exerted all their wit, all their oratory, all their acquirements of every description, sustained and enforced by the unfeeling “insolence of office,” to heave a mountain on his gigantic genius, and hide it from the world. Poor and powerless attempt! The tables were turned. He rose upon them in the might and irresistible energy of his 19* 222 genius; and in spite of all their convolutions, frantic agonies and spasms, he strangled them and their whole faction with as much case as Hercules did the serpent ministers of jealousy that were sent to assail his infant cradle. Who can turn over the debates of the day, and read the account of this conflict between youthful ardour and hoary headed cunning and power, without kindling in the cause of the tyro, and shouting at his victory? That they should have attempted to pass off the grand, yet solid and judicious operations of a mind like his, as being mere theatrical start and emotion; the giddy, hair-brained eccentricities of a romantic boy! That they should have had the presumption to suppose themselves capable of chaining down to the floor of the parliament, a genius so ethereal, towering, and sublime! Why did they not, in the next breath, by way of crowning the climax of vanity, bid the magnificent fireball to descend from its exalted and appropriate region, to perform its splendid tour along the surface of the earth?*

* See a beautiful note in Darwin's Botanic Garden, in which the writer suggests the probability of three concentric strata of our atmosphere, in which, or between them, are

produced four kinds of meteors; in the lowest, the common lightning; in the next, shooting stars; and the highest region, which he supposes to consist of inflammable gas tenfold ligher than the common atmospheric air, he makes the theatre of the northern light, and fireball or draco volans. He recites the history of one of the latter, seen in the year 1758, which was estimated to have been a mile and a half in circumference; to have been one hundred miles high; and to have moved toward the north, thirty miles in a second. It had a real tail, many miles long, which threw off sparks in its course; and the whole exploded with a sound like that of distant thunder.— *Bot. Garden, Part* 1, *Note* 1.

223

When the son of this great man too, our present minister and his compeer and rival, our friend, first commenced their political career, the public papers teemed with strictures on their respective talents; the first was censured as being merely a dry and even a flimsy reasoner; the last was stigmatized as an empty declaimer. But error and misrepresentation soon expire, and are forgotten; while truth rises upon their ruins, and “flourishes in eternal youth.” Thus, the false, the light, fugacious newspaper criticisms, which attempted to dissect and censure the arrangement of those gentlemen's talents, have been long since swept away by the besom of oblivion. They wanted truth, that soul, which alone can secure immortality to any literary 224 work. And Mr. Pitt and Mr. Fox have for many years been reciprocally and alternately recognized, just as their subject demands it, either as close and cogent reasoners, or as beautiful and superb rhetoricians.

Talents, therefore, which are before the public, have nothing to dread, either from the jealous pride of power, or from the transient misrepresentations of party, spleen, or envy. In spite of opposition from any cause, their buoyant spirit will lift them to their proper grade: it would be unjust that it should lift them higher.

It is true, there always are, and always will be, in every society, individuals, who will fancy themselves examples of genius overlooked, underrated, or invidiously oppressed. But the

misfortune of such persons is imputable to their own vanity, and not to the public opinion, which has weighed and graduated them.

We remember many of our schoolmates, whose geniuses bloomed and died within the walls of *Alma Mater;* but whose bodies still live, the moving monuments of departed splendour, the animated and affecting remembrances of the extreme fragility of the human 225 intellect. We remember others, who have entered on public life with the most exulting promise; have flown from the earth, like rockets; and, after a short and brilliant flight, have bursted with one or two explosions—to blaze no more. Others, by a few premature scintillations of thought, have led themselves and their partial friends, to hope that they were fast advancing to a dawn of soft and beauteous light, and a meridian of bright and gorgeous effulgence; but their day has never yet broken; and never will it break. They are doomed for ever to that dim, crepuscular light, which surrounds the frozen poles, when the sun has retreated to the opposite circle of the heavens. Theirs is the eternal glimmering of the brain; and their most luminous displays are the faint twinklings of the glow-worm. We have seen others, who, at their start, gain a casual projectility, which rises them above their proper grade; but by the just operation of their specific gravity, they are made to subside again, and settle ultimately in the sphere to which they properly belong.

All these characters, and many others who have had even slighter bases for their once sanguine, but now blasted hopes, form a querulous 226 and melancholy hand of moonstruck declaimers against the injustice of the world, the agency of envy, the force of destiny, &c., charging their misfortune on every thing but the true cause: their own want of intrinsic sterling merit; their want of that copious, perennial spring of great and useful thought, without which a man may hope in vain for growing reputation. Nor are they always satisfied with wailing their own destiny, pouring out the bitterest imprecations of their souls on the cruel stars which presided at their birth, and aspersing the justice of the public opinion which has scaled them: too often in the contortions and pangs of disappointed ambition, they cast a scowling eye over the world of man; start back and blanch at the lustre of superior merit; and exert all the diabolical incantations of their black

art, to conjure up an impervious vapour, in order to shroud its glories from the world. But it is all in vain. In spite of every thing, the public opinion will finally do justice to us all. The man who comes fairly before the world, and who possesses the great and vigorous *stamina* which entitle him to a *nich* in the temple of glory, has no reason to dread the 227 ultimate result; however slow his progress may be, he will in the end most indubitably receive that distinction. While the rest, “the swallows of science,” the butterflies of genius, may flutter for their spring; but they will soon pass away and be remembered no more. No enterprising man, therefore, (and least of all the truly great man,) has reason to droop or repine at any efforts which he may suppose to be made with the view to depress him; since he may rely on the universal and unchanging truth, that talents, which are before the world, will most inevitably find their proper level; and this is, certainly, all that a just man should desire. Let, then, the tempest of envy or of malice howl around him. His genius will consecrate him; and any attempt to extinguish that, will be as unavailing as would a human effort “to quench the stars.”

I have been led further into these reflections than I had anticipated. The train was started by casting my eyes over Virginia; observing the very few who have advanced on the theatre of public observation, and the very many who will remain for ever behind the scenes.

What frequent instances of high, native genius have I seen springing in the wildernesses 228 of this country; genius, whose blossoms the light of science has never courted into expansion; genius, which is doomed to fall and die, far from the notice and the haunts of men! How often, as I have held my way through the western forests of this state, and reflected on the vigorous shoots of superior intellect, which were freezing and perishing there for the want of culture; how often have I recalled the moment, when our pathetic Gray, reclining under the mouldering elm of his country churchyard, while the sigh of genial sympathy broke from his heart, and the tear of noble pity started in his eye, exclaimed,

“Perhaps in this neglected spot is laid Some heart once pregnant with celestial fire, Hands that the rod of empire might have sway'd, Or wak'd to ecstacy the living lyre.

But knowledge to their eyes, her ample page, Rich with the spoils of time, did ne'er unroll; Chill penury repress'd their noble rage, And froze the genial current of their soul.

Full many a gem of purest ray serene, The dark, unfathom'd caves of ocean bear; Full many a flower is born to blush unseen, And waste its sweetness on the desert air.

229

Some village Hampden, that with dauntless breast, The little tyrant of his fields withstood; Some mute, inglorious Milton, here may rest; Some Cromwell, guiltless of his country's blood.

Th'applause of list'ning senates to command, The threats of pain and ruin to despise, To scatter plenty o'er a smiling land, *And read their history in a nation's eyes.*

Their lot forbade”—

The heart of a philanthropist, no matter to what country or what form of government he may belong, immediately inquires, “And is there no mode to prevent this melancholy waste of talents? Is there no mode by which the rays of science might be so diffused over the state, as to call forth each latent bud into life and luxuriance?” There is such a mode: and what renders the legislature of this state still more inexcusable, the plan by which these important purposes might be effected, has been drawn out and has lain by them for nearly thirty years. The declaration of the independence of this commonwealth was made in the month of May, 1776.* In the fall of that 20

* This is a fact which the public journals of the state established beyond controversy; although the legal process and other public acts of Virginia modestly waive this

precedence, and date the foundation of the commonwealth on the 4th of July, 1776, the day on which the declaration of the independence of the United States was promulged.

230 year, a statute, or, as it is called here, “an act of assembly,” was made, providing that a committee of five persons should be appointed to prepare a code of laws, adapted to the change of the state government. This code was to be submitted to the legislature of the country, and to be ratified or rejected by their suffrage.

In the ensuing November, by a resolution of the same legislature, Thomas Jefferson, Edmund Pendleton, George Wythe, George Mason and Thomas Ludwell Lee, esquires, were appointed a committee to execute the work in question. It was prepared by the three first named gentlemen; the first of them now the President of the United States; the second, the president of the supreme court of appeals of Virginia, and the third, the judge of the high court of chancery at this place.

I have perused this system of state police with admiration. It is evidently the work of minds of most astonishing greatness; capable, at once, of a grand, profound and comprehensive survey of the present and future interest 231 and glory of the whole state; and of pursuing that interest and glory through all the remote and minute ramifications of the extensive and elaborate detail.

Among other wise and highly patriotic bills which are proposed, there is one for the more general diffusion of knowledge. After a preamble, in which the importance of the subject to the republic is most ably and eloquently announced, the bill proposes a simple and beautiful scheme, whereby science (like justice under the institutions of our Alfred) would have been “carried to every man's door.” Genius, instead of having to break its way through the thick opposing clouds of native obscurity, indigence and ignorance, was to be sought for through every family in the commonwealth; the sacred spark, wherever it was detected, was to be tenderly cherished, fed and fanned into a flame; its innate properties

and tendencies were to be developed and examined, and then cautiously and judiciously invested with all the auxiliary energy and radiance of which its character was susceptible.

What a plan was here to give stability and solid glory to the republic! If you ask me why it has never been adopted, I answer, that as a 232 foreigner, I can perceive no possible reason for it, except that the comprehensive views and generous patriotism which produced the bill, have not prevailed throughout the country, nor presided in the body on whose vote the adoption of the bill depended. I have new reason to remark it, almost every day, that there is throughout Virginia, a most deplorable destitution of public spirit, of the noble pride and love of country. Unless the body of the people can be awakened from this fatal apathy; unless their thoughts and their feelings can be urged beyond the narrow confines of their own private affairs; unless they can be strongly inspired with the public zeal, the *amor patriœ* of the ancient republics, the national embellishment, and the national grandeur of this opulent state, must be reserved for very distant ages.

Adieu, my S . . . . . .; perhaps you will hear from me again before I leave Richmond.

233

AN APOLOGY IN REPLY TO A HINT.

The letters of the British Spy were furnished to amuse the citizens of the town and country, and not to give pain to any one human being. Accordingly, nothing has been said in censure of the integrity, the philanthropy, benevolence, charity, or any other moral or religious virtue or grace of any one Virginian, who has been introduced into those letters. Nothing, indeed, could be justly said on those heads, in censure of either of the gentlemen. It is true, that some letters have been published, which have attempted to analyze the *minds* of three or four well known citizens of this state, and in order to designate them more particularly, a description of the *person* and *manner* of each gentleman was given. This has been called “throwing stones at other people's glass

houses," and the person who has communicated those letters (gratuitously styled their "author") is politely reminded that he himself resides "in a glass house." 20*

234

If this be correctly understood, it implies a threat of *retaliation;* but all that the laws of *retaliation* could justify, would be to amuse the town and country with a description of the *person, manner* and *mind* of the author (as he is called) of the British Spy. He fears, however, that it would puzzle the hinter, whatever his genius may be, to render so barren a subject interesting and amusing to the public; and he would be much obliged to the hinter if he could make it appear that he (the furnisher of the letters) deserves to be drawn into comparison, either as to person, manner, or mind, with any one of the gentlemen delineated by the British Spy. As to his person, indeed, he is less solicitous; the defects of that were imposed on him by?ature; and there is no principle better established than this general principle of eternal truth and justice, that no man ought to be censured for the contingencies over which he had no controul. As to his manner, he has as little objection to a public description of that as his person.

To save the trouble of others, however, he relinquishes all pretensions either to the striking elegance which is calculated to excite admiration and respect, or to the conciliating grace 235 and vital warmth which are qualified to gain enthusiastic friends. His manner is probably such as would be produced, nine times out of ten, by the rustic education to which he was exposed.

As to his mind, it is almost such as nature made it. He cannot boast with Gray, that "science frowned not on his humble birth." But what of this? A man may very accurately anatomize the powers of a mind far superior to his own. It is not improbable Zoilus's criticisms of Homer were just; since every nod of Homer's was a fair subject of criticism. Yet who will suppose that Zoilus would have produced such a work as the Iliad? It is impossible to read Dennis's criticisms of Addison's Cato without being forcibly struck with their justice, and wondering that they have never before occurred to ourselves. Yet there

is no man, who will therefore pronounce the genius of Dennis equal to that of Addison. These facts are so palpable and so well understood, that the person who furnished the letters of the British Spy (even if he had been their author) could scarcely have had the presumption to suppose, nor, I trust, the injustice to desire, that the public would pronounce his mind free 236 from the defects, much less indued with the energies and beauties of those which he criticises.

But where is the harm which has been done? Who are the gentlemen introduced into the British Spy? Are they young men just emerging into notice, and concerning whom the public have yet to form an opinion? Far from it. They are gentlemen, who have long been, and who still are displaying themselves in the very centre of the circle of general observation. They have not hid their light under a bushel. Their city is built on a high hill. There is not a feature of their persons, nor a quality of their mind or manner, which has not been long and well known, and remarked, commented on, criticised, repeated and reiterated a thousand and ten thousand times in every circle and every corner of the country.

Was it in the power, then, of any remarks in an anonymous and fugitive newspaper publication, either to injure or serve gentlemen so well and so eminently known? On the contrary, if those remarks were untrue, they would be instantaneously and infallibly corrected by the public opinon and knowledge of the subject; if the remarks were true, they would add 237 no new fact to the public opinion and the public knowledge. Thinking thus, nothing was more distant, either from the expectation or wish of the person who has furnished the press with the letters of the British Spy, than that he was about to do an injury to the character, or to inflict a wound on the feelings of any citizen of the country. Why could he have expected or wished any such effect? He could not have been actuated by resentment; for neither of those gentlemen have ever done him an injury. He could not have been moved by personal interest; since his conscious inferiority, as well as the nature of his pursuits, remove him far from the possibility of being ever brought into collision with either of those gentlemen. He could not have been impelled by

diabolical envy, or the malicious agony of blasted ambition; since his country has already distinguished him far, very far, beyond his desert. And of the malevolence of heart which could intentionally do a wicked, a wanton and unprovoked injury, he is persuaded that either of the gentlemen, if they knew him, would most freely and cheerfully acquit him.

If he be asked why he published the letters describing those characters? He answers,

238

First, For the same reason that he would, if he could, present to the town a set of landscape paintings, representing all the lovely prospects which belong to their beautiful city; to furnish them with the amusement and pleasure which arise from surveying an accurate picture of a well known original: and this implies, that he could not have believed himself adding new information as to the originals themselves.

Secondly, He hoped that the abstracted and miscellaneous remarks, which were blended with the description of those characters, might not be without their use to the many literary young men who are growing up in Virginia.

If the letters of the British Spy have gone beyond these purposes; if they have given pain to the gentlemen described; (for as to doing them an injury, it is certainly out of the question,) there is no man in the community disposed to regret it more sensibly than the man who furnished those letters for publication.

But while honour and justice compel the writer of this article to give these explanations, and make these acknowledgments to the gentlemen immediately interested, he begs he may not be considered as descending to the meanness of begging mercy on his own “glass 239 house.” On the contrary, the person who has published the polite hint in question, is welcome to commence his assault as soon as he pleases. He can scarcely point out one defect in the person, manner, or mind of this writer, of which he is not already conscious. And if he meant by his menace any thing more; if he meant to insinuate a suspicion to the public, that the honesty, integrity, or moral purity, of the man who

furnished the letters of the British Spy for publication, are assailable on any ground of truth; if such was his intention he has intended an injury, at which this writer laughs in proud security; an injury, for which his own heart, if it be a good one, will not forgive him so soon, as will the heart of the man whom he has attempted to injure.

The writer of this article tenders in return this hint to the hinter; that before he commences his hostile operations, he will be sure of his man. As to the person who really did furnish the British Spy—the finger of conjecture has been erroneously pointed at several who reside in this state. It would be unjust and barbarous to punish the innocent for the guilty, if guilt can be justly charged on the British Spy.

240

LETTER X.

Richmond, December 10.

In one of my late rides into the surrounding country, I stopped at a little inn to refresh myself and my horse; and, as the landlord was neither a Boniface, nor “mine host of the garter,” I called for a book, by way of killing time, while the preparations for my repast were going forward. He brought me a shattered fragment of the second volume of the Spectator, which he told me was the only book in the house, for “he never troubled his head about reading;” and by way of conclusive proof, he further informed me, that this fragment, the only book in the house, had been sleeping unmolested in the dust of his mantel-piece, for ten or fifteen years. I could not meet my venerable countryman, in a foreign land, and in this humiliating plight, nor hear of the inhuman and gothic contempt with which he had been treated, without the liveliest emotion. So I read my host a lecture on the subject, to which he appeared to pay as little attention as he had 241 before done to the Spectator; and, with the *sang froid* of a Dutchman, answered me in the cant of the country, that he “had other fish to fry,” and left me.

It had been so long since I had had an opportunity of opening that agreeable collection, that the few numbers which were now before me, appeared almost entirely new; and I cannot describe to you, the avidity and delight with which I devoured those beautiful and interesting speculations.

Is it not strange, my dear S . . . . . ., that such a work should have ever lost an inch of ground? A style so sweet and simple, and yet so ornamented! a temper so benevolent, so cheerful, so exhilarating! a body of knowledge, and of original thought, so immense and various! so strikingly just, so universally useful! What person, of any age, sex, temper, calling, or pursuit, can possibly converse with the Spectator, without being conscious of immediate improvement?

To the spleen, he is as perpetual and never-failing an antidote, as he is to ignorance and immorality. No matter for the disposition of mind in which you take him up; you catch, as you go along, the happy tone of spirits which 21 242 prevails throughout the work; you smile at the wit, laugh at the drollery, feel your mind enlightened, your heart opened, softened and refined; and when you lay him down, you are sure to be in a better humour, both with yourself and every body else. I have never mentioned the subject to a reader of the Spectator, who did not admit this to be the invariable process; and in such a world of misfortunes, of cares, and sorrows, and guilt, as this is, what a prize would this collection be, if it were rightly estimated!

Were I the sovereign of a nation, which spoke the English language, and wished my subjects cheerful, virtuous and enlightened, I would furnish every poor family in my dominions (and see that the rich furnished themselves) with a copy of the Spectator; and ordain that the parents or children should read four or five numbers, aloud, every night in the year. For one of the peculiar perfections of the work is, that while it contains such a mass of ancient and modern learning, so much of profound wisdom, and of beautiful composition, yet there is scarcely a number throughout the eight volumes, which is not

level to the meanest capacity. Another perfection is, that 243 the Spectator will never become tiresome to any one whose taste and whose heart remain uncorrupted.

I do not mean that this author should be read to the exclusion of others; much less that he should stand in the way of the generous pursuit of science, or interrupt the discharge of social or private duties. All the counsels of the work itself have a directly reverse tendency. It furnishes a store of the clearest argument and of the most amiable and captivating exhortations, “to raise the genius, and to mend the heart.” I regret, only, that such a book should be thrown by, and almost entirely forgotten, while the gilded blasphemies of infidels, and “the noontide trances” of penicious theorists, are hailed with rapture, and echoed around the world. For such, I should be pleased to see the Spectator universally substituted: and, throwing out of the question its morality, its literary information, its sweetly contagious serenity, and the pure and chaste beauties of its style; and considering it merely as a curiosity, as concentring the brilliant sports of the finest cluster of geniuses that ever graced the earth, it surely deserves perpetual attention, respect and consecration.

244

There is, methinks, my S . . . . . ., a great fault in the world, as it respects this subject: a giddy instability, a light and fluttering vanity, a prurient longing after novelty, an impatience, a disgust, a fastidious contempt of every thing that is old. You will not understand me as censuring the progress of sound science. I am not so infatuated an antiquarian, nor so poor a philanthropist, as to seek to retard the expansion of the human mind. But I lament the eternal oblivion into which our old authors, those giants of literature, are permitted to sink, while the world stands open-eyed and open-mouthed to catch every modern, tinselled abortion as it falls from the press. In the polite circles of America, for instance, perhaps there is no want of taste, and even zeal, for letters. I have seen several gentlemen who appear to have an accurate, a minute, acquaintance with the whole range of literature, in its present state of improvement: yet, you will be surprised to hear, that I have not met with more than one or two persons in this country, who have ever read

the works of Bacon or of Boyle. They delight to saunter in the upper story, sustained and adorned, as it is, with the delicate proportions, the foliage and flourishes of the Corinthian order; but they 245 disdain to make any acquaintance, or hold communion at all, with the Tuscan and Doric plainness and strength which base and support the whole edifice.

As to lord Verulam, when he is considered as the father of experimental philosophy; as the champion, whose vigour battered down the idolized chimeras of Aristotle, together with all the appendant and immeasurable webs of the brain, woven and hung upon them, by the ingenious dreamers of the schools; as the hero who not only rescued and redeemed the world from all this darkness, jargon, perplexity and error; but, from the stores of his own great mind, poured a flood of light upon the earth, straightened the devious paths of science, and planned the whole paradise, which we now find so full of fragrance, beauty and grandeur; when he is considered, I say, in these points of view, I am astonished that literary gentlemen do not court his acquaintance, if not through reverence, at least through curiosity. The person who does so will find every period filled with pure and solid golden bullion: that bullion, which several much admired posterior writers have merely moulded into various forms, 21* 246 or beaten into leaf, and taught to spread its floating splendours to the sun.

This insatiate palate for novelty which I have mentioned, has had a very striking effect on the style of modern productions. The plain language of easy conversation will no longer do. The writer who contends for fame, or even for truth, is obliged to consult the reigning taste of the day. Hence too often, in opposition to his own judgment, he is led to encumber his ideas with a gorgeous load of ornaments; and when he would present to the public a body of pure, substantial and useful thought, he finds himself constrained to encrust and bury its utility within a dazzling case; to convert a feast of reason into a concert of sounds: a rich intellectual boon into a mere bouquet of variegated pinks and blushing roses. In his turn he contributes to establish and spread wider the perversion of the public taste; and thus, on a principle resembling that of action and reaction, the author and the public

reciprocate the injury; just as, in the licentious reign of our Charles the 2d, the dramatist and his audience were wont to poison each other.

247

A history of style would indeed be a curious and highly interesting one: I mean a philosophical, as well as chronological history; one which, beside marking the gradations, changes and fluctuations exhibited in the style of different ages and different countries, should open the regular or contingent causes of all those gradations, changes and fluctuations. I should be particularly pleased to see a learned and penetrating mind employed on the question: Whether the gradual adornment, which we observe in a nation's style, result from the progress of science; or whether there be an infancy, a youth, and a manhood, in a nation's sensibility, which rising in a distant age, like a newborn billow, rolls on through succeeding generations, with accumulating height and force, and bears along with it the concurrent expression, of that sensibility, until they both swell and tower into the sublime—and sometimes break into the *bathos.*

The historical facts, as well as the metaphysical consideration of the subject, perplex these questions extremely; and, as Sir Roger de Coverly says, “much may be said on both sides.” For the present I shall say nothing on either; except that from some of Mr. Blair's 248 remarks, it would seem that neither of those hypotheses will solve the phenomenon before us. If I remember his opinion correctly, the most sublime style is to be sought in a state of nature; when, anterior to the existence of science, the scantiness of a language forces a people to notice the points of resemblance between the great natural objects with which they are surrounded; to apply to one the terms which belong to another; and thus, by compulsion, to rise at once into simile and metaphor, and launch into all the boldness of trope and figure. If this be true, it would seem that the progress of a civilized nation toward sublimity of style is perfectly a retrograde manœuvre: that is, that they will be sublime according to the nearness of their approach to the primeval state of nature.

This is a curious, and to me, a bewitching subject, But it leads to a volume of thought, which is not to be condensed in a letter. I will remark only one extraordinary fact as it relates to style. The Augustan age is pronounced by some critics to have furnished the finest models of style, embellished to the highest endurable point; and of this, Cicero is always adduced as the most illustrious example. 249 Yet it is remarkable, that seventy or eighty years afterwards, when the Roman style had become much more luxuriant, and was denounced by the critics of the day* as having transcended the limits of genuine ornament, Pliny, the younger, in a letter to a friend, thought it necessary to enter into a formal vindication of three or four metaphors, which he had used in an oration, and which had been censured in Rome for their extravagance; but which, by the side of the meanest of Curran's figures, would be poor, insipid and flat. Yet who will say that Curran's style has gone beyond the point of endurance? Who is not pleased with its purity? Who is not ravished by its sublimity.

* See Quinctillian's Institutes.

In England, how wide is the chasm between the style of Lord Verulam and that of Edmund Burke, or M'Intosh's introduction to his *Vindicœ Gallicœ!* That of the first is the plain dress of a Quaker; that of the two last the magnificent paraphernalia of Louis XIV. of France. In lord Verulam's day, his style was distinguished for its superior ornament; and in this respect, it was thought impossible to surpass 250 pass it. Yet Mr. Burke, Mr. M'Intosh, and the other *fine* writers of the present age, have, by contrast, reduced lord Verulam's flower garden to the appearance of a simple culinary square.

Perhaps it is for this reason, and because, as you know, I am an epicure, that I am very much interested by lord Verulam's manner. It is indeed a most agreeable relief to my mind to turn from the stately and dazzling rhapsodies of the day, and converse with this plain and sensible old gentleman. To me his style is gratifying on many accounts; and there is this advantage in him, that instead of having three or four ideas rolled over and over again,

like the fantastic evolutions and ever-changing shapes of the same sun-embroidered cloud, you gain new materials, new information at every breath.

Sir Robert Boyle is, in my opinion, another author of the same description, and therefore an equal, if not a higher favourite with me. In point of ornament he is the first grade in the mighty space, (through the whole of which the gradations maybe distinctly traced,) between Bacon and Burke. Yet he has no redundant verbiage; has about him a perfectly patriarchal simplicity; and every period is pregnant with 251 matter. He has this advantage too over lord Verulam; that he not only investigates all the subjects which are calculated to try the clearness, the force and the comprehension of the human intellect: he introduces others also, in handling of which he shows the masterly power with which he could touch the keys of the heart, and awaken all the tones of sensibility which belong to man. Surely, if ever a human being deserved to be canonized for great, unclouded intelligence, and seraphic purity and ecstasy of soul, that being was Sir Robert Boyle.

When I reflect that this “pure intelligence, this link between men and angels,” was a Christian, and look around upon the petty infidels and deists with which the world swarms, I am lost in amazement! Have they seen arguments against religion, which were not presented to Sir Robert Boyle? His religious works show that they have not. Are their judgments better able to weigh those arguments than his was? They have not the vanity even to believe it. Is the beam of their judgments more steady, and less liable to be disturbed by passion than his? No; for in this he seems to have excelled all mankind. Are 252 their minds more elevated and more capable of comprehending the whole of this great subject, with all its connexions and dependencies, than was the mind of Sir Robert Boyle? Look at the men: and the question is answered. How then does it happen that they have been conducted to a conclusion so perfectly the reverse of his? It is for this very reason; because their judgments are less extricated from the influence and raised above the mists of passion: it is because their minds are less ethereal and comprehensive; less capable than his was “to look through nature up to nature's God.” And let them hug their precious,

barren, hopeless infidelity: they are welcome to the horrible embrace! May we, my friend, never lose the rich and inexhaustible comforts of religion. Adieu, my S . . . . .

253

The author of “An Inquirer” on the theory of the earth, begs leave to offer the following observations to the publisher of “the British Spy,” in answer to some of his additional notes.

When the Inquirer read, in the second letter of the British Spy, that “the perpetual revolution of the earth, from west to east, has the obvious tendency to conglomerate the loose sands of the sea on the eastern coast,”—“that whether the rolling of the earth to the east give to the ocean an actual counter-current to the west or not, the newly emerged pinnacles are whirled, by the earth's motion, through the waters of the deep;” and from the continued operation of the causes which produced them, that “all continents and islands will be caused, reciprocally to approximate;” when he read these and other similar passages, he saw no reason to doubt, that the British Spy considered the ocean *now,* as well as formerly, affected by the rotation of the earth; or, to express the 22 254 same thing more correctly, that the rotatory motion of the earth is but partially communicated to the ocean. This opinion, which a thousand facts may be brought to disprove, and which the favourite cosmogonist of the British Spy says* no man can entertain who has the least knowledge of physics, it was decorous to suppose, had been advanced from inadvertence. If the meaning of the writer were taken by the Inquirer in a greater latitude than was meant, he is not the less sorry for his mistake, because it was not a natural one, and was not confined to himself.

* The passage in Smellie's translation of Buffon stands thus: but every man who has the least knowledge of physics, must allow, that no fluid which surrounds the earth, can be affected by its rotation.— *Vol. I. On Regular Winds.*

But the annotator of the Spy, without saying whether the supposed current now exist or not, thinks the *former* existence of such a current not improbable, and puts a case by way of illustrating his hypotheses. My reasoning on the subject, somewhat different from his, is briefly this:

If the whole surface of the earth, when it first received its rotatory impulse, were covered with water, *and this impulse were communicated* 255 *to its solid part alone,* then, indeed, a current to the west would be produced; and would continue, until the resistance, occasioned by the friction of the waters, gradually communicated the whole motion of the earth to the ocean. It is not easy to say, when this current would cease; but it seems to me it would be more likely to wear the bed of the ocean smooth, than to raise protuberances; and even, though it were to cause sand banks, it could never elevate them above its own level.

I should observe that, to avoid circumlocution, I admit a *current of the west;* because the effect is the same, as to alluvion, whether the earth revolve under the waters, or the waters roll over the earth; though the fact is, that the ocean, like the oil in the plate, in the experiment proposed, would have a tendency to remain at rest, and whatever motion it acquired, must be *to the east,* like that of the earth from which it was derived.

If we suppose a few solitary mountains to lift their heads above the circumfluous ocean, we may infer, by the rules of strict analogy, that they would be worn away by the friction of the passing waters, rather than that they would receive any accessions of soil.

256

But let us suppose some ridges of mountains running from north to south, and of sufficient extent and elevation to obstruct the course of the waters. In this case, the sudden whirling of the earth to the east would force the ocean on its western shores, where it would accumulate, until the gravity of the mass thus elevated, overcome the force which raised it. Then one vast undulation of the stupendous mass would take place, from shore to shore,

and would continue until it gradually yielded to the united effect of friction and gravity. A comparison between vessels of different sizes, partly filled with water, might enable us to form a rational conjecture of the term of this oscillation; but be it in one year, or many years, I think the effect would more probably be, an abrasion of the mountain, than the formation of a continent.

But the *postulatum,* that the first impulse to the earth was communicated to its solid part alone, on which all these suppositions rest, is but a possibility: whether we suppose that the cause, which first whirled the earth on its axis, is an ascending link in nature's chain of causes, or the immediate act of the first Great Cause of all, it is not unlikely that it penetrated and 257 influenced every particle of matter, whether it were solid, liquid or æriform.

On this subject, our suppositions are to be limited only by our invention. One man may resort to electricity, according to an alleged property of that fluid; another, to magnetism; a third, to the action of the sun's rays; and a fourth, to a quality inherent in matter; according to either of which hypotheses, no current could have existed.

Monsieur de Buffon, indeed, ascribes the earth's rotation to a mechanical and partial impulse, the *oblique* stroke of a comet; but as, according to him, the earth was then one entire globe of melted glass, its rotatory motion must have been uniform, long before the ocean existed.

Whoever would dispel the clouds in which this question is enveloped, and make it as clear “as the light of heaven,” should indeed be *mihi magnus Apollo:* but hypotheses, of which nothing can be said, but that they are not impossible, though they may beguile the lounger of a heavy hour, are little likely to further our knowledge of nature. In so boundless a field of conjecture, with scarce one twinkling star to guide us, we can hardly hope to find, among 22* 258 the numberless tracts of error, that which singly leads to truth.

When the Inquirer spoke of the general *bouleversement* which many subterranean appearances indicated, he did not mean even to hint at their cause, but simply to express, as the word imports, the topsyturvy disorder, in which vegetable and marine substances are found; the one far *above,* and the other far *below,* the seat of its original production. At the moment he was attempting to show, that every explanation of these phenomena was imperfect and premature, he hardly would have ventured to give one himself; for though “we should not suffer ourselves to be passively fed on the pap of science,” *when we have attained our maturity,* yet until we have attained it, he thinks it is better to be in leading-strings, than to stumble at every step.

In the progress of science, I doubt whether sound principles are abandoned for those that are less true. Novelty in moral speculation, aided as it may be, by our passions, may dazzle and mislead, but in physics, though one error may give place to another, when truth once gets possession, she holds it firm, ever after. Thus the theories of cosmogonists follow 259 one another, like wave obtruding upon wave; each demonstrating the fallacy of those which went before, and proved absurd in turn; while the philosophy of Newton, in spite of the continued opposition of French academicians, and the later reveries of St. Pierre, gradually gains universal credit and respect. The member of the Royal Society, who accounted for the trade winds by the transpiration of tropical sea-weed, may have had his admirers; but he has not been able to shake the theory of Dr. Halley. If Harvey's system of generation had been as well supported by facts, as his discovery of the circulation of the blood, all hostility to the one, as well as the other, would have ended with his life.

It certainly is not philosophical “to discard a theory,” because it may be unsupported by a name, nor yet because there are other more recent theories. In these and many other general remarks, I entirely concur with the writer, though I do not clearly discern their application.

I cannot conclude, without regretting, that I should be compelled to differ with a writer whose talents I so much admire, and whose sentiments I so often approve; but to borrow 260 a celebrated sentiment, my esteem for truth exceeds even my esteem for the British Spy. Though neither of us may chance to convince the other, yet, if our discussion should lead those who have not the same parental tenderness for particular hypotheses or doubts, to a better understanding of the subject, the light, that is thus elicited, will console me for the collision which produced it.

October 12, 1803.

THE END.

STANDARD WORKS.

GIBSON'S SURVEYING. Improved and enlarged. By James Ryan. 8vo. With the necessary plates.

DAVIES'S SURVEYING. 8vo. A new work.

SURVEYORS' TABLES. 12mo. Carefully revised.

BROWN'S DICTIONARY OF THE HOLY BIBLE. From the last genuine Edinburgh edition. 8vo.

BROWN'S (J.) CONCORDANCE. 32mo.

SERMONS ON IMPORTANT SUBJECTS. By Rev. Samuel Davies, A.M. In 3 vols. 8vo.

THE WORKS OF REV. JOHN WESLEY, M.A. With his Life. 8vo. With a portrait.

LETTERS FROM THE ÆGEAN. BY James Emerson, Esq. 8vo. With Engravings.

THE LITERARY REMAINS of the late HENRY NEELE, Author of the “Romance of History.” 8vo.

RELIGIOUS DISCOURSES. By Walter Scott.

PRESENT STATE OF CHRISTIANITY IN ALL PARTS OF THE WORLD. By Frederic Schoberl. 12mo.

THE CONDITION OF GREECE in 1827 and 1828. By J. P. Miller. 12mo.

LIFE AND REMAINS OF DR. EDWARD DANIEL CLARKE. 8vo.

VAN HALEN'S NARRATIVE of his Imprisonment in the Dungeons of the Inquisition, his Escape, his Journey to Madrid, &c. &c. 8vo.

HISTORY OF THE REVOLUTION IN FRANCE IN 1830. 12mo.

SMART'S HORACE. 2 vols. 18mo.

STANDARD HISTORIES.

THE HISTORY OF MODERN EUROPE; from the Rise of the Modern Kingdoms to the present period. By William Russell, LL.D. and William Jones, Esq. With Annotations by an American. In 3 vols. 8vo. With plates. Fine edition.

THE HISTORICAL WORKS of the Rev. William Robertson, D.D.; comprising his HISTORY OF AMERICA; CHARLES V.; SCOTLAND; and INDIA. In 3 vols. 8vo. With plates. Fine edition.

GIBBON'S HISTORY OF THE DECLINE AND FALL OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE. In 4 vols. 8vo. With plates. Fine edition.

[???] Harper's editions of the above works are stereotyped, and printed uniformly. Great pains have been taken to render them perfect in every respect. They are decidedly the best editions ever published in this country.

MEDICAL WORKS.

HOOPER'S MEDICAL DICTIONARY. From the last London Edition. With Additions, by Samuel Akerly, M.D. 8vo.

COOPER'S SURGICAL DICTIONARY. New edition, greatly enlarged. 8vo.

GOOD'S (Dr. J. Mason) STUDY OF MEDICINE. In 5 vols. 8vo. A new edition. With Additions, by Samuel Cooper, M.D.

“Dr. Good's extensive reading and retentive memory enable him to enliven the most common elementary details by interweaving curious, uncommon, or illustrative examples in almost every page. We have no hesitation in p?ronouncing the work, beyond all comparison, the best of the kind in the English language.”— *Medico-Chirurgical Review.*

BULWER'S WORKS.

PELHAM; or, THE ADVENTURES OF A GENTLEMAN. A Novel. In 2 vols. 12mo.

“If the most brilliant wit, a narrative whose interest never flags, and some pictures of the most riveting interest can make a work popular, ‘Pelham’ will be as first-rate in celebrity as it is in excellence. The scenes are laid in fashionable life.”— *Literary Gazette.*

THE DISOWNED. A Novel. In 2 vols. 12mo.

“We have examined ‘The Disowned,’ and find it fully equal in plot, character, and description to ‘Pelham,’ and vastly more philosophic and reflecting. It is by far the most intellectual fiction that we have seen for a long time; and in it may be found some of the

finest maxims, and from it may be drawn some of the best morals for the guidance of the human heart."— *The Albion.*

DEVEREUX. A Novel. In 2 vols. 12mo.

"The author possesses the most brilliant qualifications of a successful novelist. His conception of character is exquisite; his descriptive powers are unequalled; he has wit, pathos, energy, and discrimination in an eminent degree; and he is, moreover, a ripe scholar. In one particular he is not surpassed by any writer of the present or of any other day—we mean the faculty of imparting deep and uncontrollable interest to his stories."— *New-York Mirror.*

PAUL CLIFFORD. A Novel. In 2 vols. 12mo.

"'Paul Clifford' is the most original of all Mr. Bulwer's works, and cannot fail to add largely to its writer's reputation. For the man of the world it contains shrewdness and satire; for the moralist matter of deep thought, and for the young all the interest of narrative and all the poetry of feeling."— *The Albion.*

FALKLAND. A Novel. 12mo.

"In the powerful description of intense feeling and passion, it does not fall short of any subsequent work of the same author."— *New-York Monthly Review.*

"He has shown the rock of passion which has produced the wreck of character. If he has introduced crime, he has denounced it; if a criminal, he has punished."— *N. Y. Cabinet of Religion, &c. July,* 1830.

THE SIAMESE TWINS. 12mo.

“We have read Bulwer's new poem with close attention and with much pleasure. It is worthy of the reputation of the author of ‘Pelham,’ and has passages of poetry inferior to nothing of modern times, not excluding the days of Byron.”— *Pennsylvania Inquirer.*

“Bulwer does not write trifles . . . It is a production of powerful genius. The work is well worth reading.”— *N. Y. Daily Sentinel.*

FAMILY CLASSICAL LIBRARY.

To those who are desirous of obtaining a knowledge or the most esteemed authors of Greece and Rome, but possess not the means or leisure for pursuing a regular course of study, the present undertaking must prove a valuable acquisition.

To him who, as Dr. Knox observes, although engaged in other pursuits, is still anxious to “retain a tincture of that elegance and liberality of sentiment which the mind acquires by the study of the Classics, and which contributes more to form the true gentleman than all the unsubstantial ornaments of modern affectation,” such a collection will, it is confidently hoped, prove acceptable.

As the learned languages do not form part of the education of females, the only access which they have to the valuable stores of antiquity through the medium of correct translation.

The selection is intended to include those authors whose works may with propriety be read by persons of both sexes; and it will be obvious that the nature of the publication is of so permanent a character, as to prove equally interesting to posterity as to the present generation. The whole will be presented to the public in a cheap, handsome, and uniform size, forming a complete “Family Classical Library,” alike useful for the purpose of instruction and amusement. Indeed, as Dr. Parr says, “if you desire your son, though no

great scholar, to read and reflect, it is your duty to place in his hands the best translation of the best *Classical* Authors."

XENOPHON. In 2 vols. 18mo. With a Portrait.

The Anabasis; translated by Edward Spelman, Esq.

The Cyropædia; translated by Sir M. A. Cooper.

"Spelman's 'Anabasis' is one of the most accurate and elegant translations that any language has produced."— *Gibbon.*

"The soldier has always admired the talents of Xenophon in conducting, and the scholar in describing, the 'Retreat of the Ten Thousand;' and the philosopher and statesman have alike been delighted with his charming work denominated the 'Cyropædia.'"— *Robinson's Antiquities of Greece.*

"There are various and obvious reasons which make a publication of this kind highly desirable in this country."— *The Churchman.*

"Good translations of the ancient classics have always been a great desideratum."— *N. Y. American.*

"The publication deserves the most liberal encouragement."— *N. Y. Constellation.*

"It is truly one of the most valuable works that could be presented to the public."— *Providence American.*

"Independently of their library merit, it is in these works that the history and manners of the ancients are best studied."— *Balt. American.*

"The reputation of the present works is too well established to need commendation at this day. Blair, in his Lectures, briefly remarks that 'they are extremely beautiful. The

circumstances are finely selected, and the narrative is easy and engaging.'"— *U. S. Gazette.*

ENGLISH SYNONYMES; with copious Illustrations and Explanations drawn from the best Writers. By George Crabb, M.A. A new Edition, 8vo.

This valuable work is now used in several Colleges in the United States.

"The importance of a knowledge of synonymes is very great—indeed, indispensable to an accurate scholar; yet the study is much neglected, as the loose style of many of our best writers but too amply attests."— *New-york Daily Advertiser.*

"It deserves a place in every library, and on the table of every student who desires a correct knowledge of the English language."— *New-York Journal of Commerce.*

"This has now become a standard work, and ought to find a place in the library of every gentleman who aspires to elegance or precision of style"— *New-York Morning Herald.*

THE BOOK OF NATURE; being a popular Illustration of the general Laws and Phenomena of Creation, &c. By John Mason Good, M.D., F.R.S. 8vo. Sixth Edition. To which is prefixed the Life of the Author.

"From a man of Dr. Good's acknowledged talents and learning, it is natural to expect something uncommon. Such expectations will be fully realized in his 'Book of Nature.' We have read the work with much interest and instruction. The author possessed, in an eminent degree, the happy talent of tracing his subjects from their elementary principles to their sublime results, and of interspersing his lectures with pertinent and interesting anecdotes. No person who thirsts for knowledge can read his 'Book of Nature' without having his mind enriched in the principles of natural philosophy far beyond he would have thought possible by a book of its size. It is a safe book for any person to read. There is no skepticism, in it."— *New-England Christian Herald.*

LETTERS AND JOURNALS OF LORD BYRON; with Notices of his Life. By Thomas Moore, Esq. In 2 vols. 8vo. With a Portrait.

“We do not know where the letters are to be found in any language which better repay a perusal. Perhaps, as mere models of the epistolary style, they are not so exquisite as some that might be cited. Even of this, however, we are far from being sure. If they do not equal, for instance, in grace and elegance those of Gray or Lady Mary,—if they are not specimens of that inimitable, ineffable *bavardage* which makes those of Madame de Sévigné so entirely unique,—they fully rival the best of them in spirit, piquancy, and, we venture to add, *wit;* while, like the epistles of Cicero, they not unfrequently rise from the most familiar colloquial ease and freedom into far loftier regions of thought and eloquence. We were particularly struck with this peculiarity. We scarcely read one of them without being surprised into a smile—occasionally into a broad laugh—by some felicitous waggery some sudden descent from the sublime to the ridiculous, while there is many a passage in which the least critical reader will not fail to recognise the hand that drew Childe Harold.”—*South. Review.*

DOMESTIC DUTIES; or Instructions to Married. Ladies, on the Management of their Household, and the Regulation of their Conduct in the various relations and duties of Married Life. By Mrs. WILLIAM PARKES. Fifth American from the last London Edition, with Notes and Alterations adapted to the American Reader. In 1 vol. 12mo.

“The volume before us is one of those practical works, which are of real value and utility. It is a perfect *vade mecum* for the young married lady, who may resort to it on all questions of household economy and etiquette.—There is nothing omitted with which it behooves a lady to be acquainted.”— *New Monthly Magazine.*

“We have not space to notice this work as it deserves. We cannot however, allow the present opportunity to pass without strongly recommending it to the attention of the

general reader, and to the housekeeper in particular. It would be a useful as well as elegant holyday present—worth all the annual gifts ever published."— *N. Y. Mirror.*

"We do not hesitate to say, that the most fastidious and perfect mind can find nothing in this book from which to dissent. It is an admirable condensation of the physical and intellectual duties of women; and we recommend it to all young ladies, married or not."— *Boston Statesman.*

"This work is calculated to arrest the attention of those young married ladies who wish to shine in the domestic circle."— *Commercial Adv.*

"Were the sentiments this book inculcates but understood and practised by our ladies, it would be of more real advantage to them than all the fine theories to be deduced from the collective wisdom of all the novels since the days of chivalry."— *Ladies' Magazine* [*Boston*]. *By Mrs. Hale.*

"This book contains an amount of useful and interesting information rarely to be met with."— *Chronicle of the Times.*

THE COOK'S ORACLE and Housekeeper's Manual. Containing Receipts for Cookery, and Directions for Carving. Also, the Art of composing the most simple and highly-finished Broths, Gravies, Soups, Sauces, Store Sauces, and flavouring Essences; Pastry, Preserves, Puddings, Pickles, &c.; with a Complete System of Cookery for Catholic Families. The Quantity of each Article is accurately stated by Weight and Measure; being the Result of actual Experiments in the kitchen of WILLIAM KITCHINER, M.D. Adapted to the American Public, by a Medical Gentleman. 12mo.

"This is a very good book—not calculated, as many may suppose, to promote luxury and excess in eating, but imparting information that will enable housekeepers to diminish their expenses, while they add to their enjoyments."— *N Y. American.*

“Dr. Kitchener's Manual, combining, as it does for every rank of life, all that is useful in domestic arrangements, with much that is amusing, will, we venture to say, be in possession of every one that can possibly obtain it. The poor man will soon gain from its maxims of frugality more than its cost, and the rich will find its price tenfold in the increased delicacies of his table.”— *N. Y. Courier and Enquirer.*

“How valuable should not that book be considered, which affects to instruct the amiable wife how to increase the enjoyments of that fireside where Love has ever built his brightest throne, and Innocence, Temperance, and Piety have found their safest shelter.”— *Truth Teller.*

“There need be no bad cooks, and all may be good cooks, if they will take this book for their guide.”— *Albany Daily Advertiser.*

“The Cook's Oracle is better than a mere book of cookery; it is a medical book, and ought to be installed in every family, in the place of Buchan.”— *New-England Palladium.*

AFFECTING SCENES; being Passages from the Diary of a late Physician. In 2 vols. 18mo.

“The scenes the author describes are truly affecting—they possess a thrilling interest. No one who reads these sketches, taken from real life, will have occasion to look though works of fiction for scenes to excite and amuse him. The vivacity and good taste discovered in the author's manner, and the impressive moral given by his affecting narratives, will render his work highly acceptable to the public.”— *S. Religious Telegraph.*

“It amuses, excites our sympathies, lets us into the knowledge of the depths of the human soul, and sets forth the value of the ‘balm of Gilead and the physician there.’”— *Christian Intelligencer.*

“They are written in a masterly style, calculated to attract and fix the attention of all classes of readers, and we think they will produce a salutary effect.”— *N. E. Baptist Register.*

“We have read this work with unusual interest. It is evidently the production of a powerful writer.”— *Wesleyan M. Visiter.*

“Exceedingly well written and entertaining sketches.”— *Evening Post.*

“They are drawn with a masterly hand, and apparently from real life. Many of them are not only interesting but instructive, and fitted to convey important moral lessons.”— *Journal of Commerce.*

“In style, language, and matter, these sketches are unequalled by any thing of the kind we have met with, and are evidently from the pen of one who unites to the acquirements of the scholar, a profound knowledge of human nature.”— *Courier & Enquirer.*

“It is a collection of sketches from real life portrayed in a most vivid and masterly manner.”— *Daily Sentinel.*

“The sketches are drawn with a masterly pen, and the moral has not been forgotten.”— *Standard.*

THE INVALID'S ORACLE. Containing Directions for Invigorating and Prolonging Life; Peptic Precepts, pointing out agreeable and effectual methods to prevent and relieve Indigestion, and to regulate and strengthen the action of the stomach and bowels, &c. &c. By WILLIAM KITCHINER, M.D. From the sixth London edition. Revised and improved by Rev. T. S. BARRETT, M.D., of New-York. 18mo.

“It is replete with valuable information to all those who deem the study of health essential to human happiness.”— *Albion.*

“It presents a very handsome appearance, and contains ‘much of deep concern’ to the invalid as well as the healthful man.”— *Standard.*

“We find in it many valuable directions and maxims well meriting the study of all invalids, and all who consider themselves liable at any time of life to the assaults of disease.”— *Evening Journal.*

“The treatises contained in this work are worthy of general attention, and are well calculated to instruct, benefit, and interest, not only the invalid, but the man of health.”— *N. Y. Gazette.*

“It must be a popular work.”— *Pennsylvania Inquirer.*

“The work is well known to the public for its information, amusement, and interest.”— *Courier & Enquirer.*

“The author's works on the culinary art are the most popular in the language, and the work before us has passed through six editions in London.”— *Evening Post.*

“It certainly appears to be one of the best works extant on the important subject of which it treats.”— *A. Daily Advertiser.*

“The most important work that has recently appeared among us.”— *Boston Statesman.*

ROXOBEL. A Novel. In 3 vols. 18mo. By Mrs. Sherwood.

“It is in Mrs. Sherwood's happiest manner, and will rivet the attention of readers of unvitiated taste of every age. We recommend it as an excellent and instructive book.”— *New-York American.*

“The author has acquired much celebrity for her works of fancy, in which she is always careful to mingle the useful with the agreeable, and to render the whole highly attractive to the reader.”— *New-York Evening Journal.*

“Mrs. Sherwood is well known as an agreeable writer of fiction. But this is not the extent of her character as an author; she has an object beyond the mere amusement of her readers —to wit, the improvement of the mind, by blending moral and religious instruction with interesting narrative.”— *New-York Constellation.*

“Mrs. Sherwood's reputation as an author is such that her name is a sufficient recommendation in the line of composition to which she has so successfully and usefully devoted her time and talents.”— *Atlas.*

SIR EDWARD SEAWARD'S NARRATIVE of his Shipwreck, and consequent Discovery of certain Islands in the Caribbean Sea; with a Detail of many extraordinary and highly interesting Events in his Life, from the year 1733 to to 1749, as written in his own Diary. Edited by Miss Jane Porter. In 3 vols. 12mo.

“There is no finer picture in historical records or in poetry, of conjugal affection strong in danger and in death, and uniformly tender and pious, than that of this affectionate couple, as it is preserved in these plain and old-fashioned, but graphic memoirs; which, for a time, at least, will eclipse all the “ *Voyages Imaginaires,* ” not excepting even the admirable fiction of Defoe.”— *Commercial Advertiser.*

THE LIFE AND DEATH OF LORD EDWARD FITZGERALD. By Thomas Moore. In 2 vols. 12mo. With a Portrait.

“Mr. Moore has acquitted himself creditably in the performance of this work.”— *Boston Statesman.*

Library of Congress

“These volumes are from the pen of the poet Thomas Moore, and picture the eventful life of Lord Edward Fitzgerald in such a manner that all who have a sympathy for oppressed Erin will feel a strong desire to gain a knowledge of these details.”— *American Traveller.*

EVIDENCE OF THE TRUTH OF THE CHRISTIAN RELIGION, derived from the literal Fulfilment of Prophecy; particularly as illustrated by the History of the Jews, and by the Discoveries of recent Travellers. By the Rev. Alexander Keith. From the *sixth* Edinburgh edition. 12mo.